

July 17, 2013

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Ms. Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, N.E.
Washington, D.C. 20426

**Re: North American Electric Reliability Corporation
Petition for Approval of Amendments to the Delegation Agreement with Texas
Reliability Entity, Inc. and Request for Expedited Action – Amendments to Exhibit
D-Texas Reliability Entity, Inc.’s Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement
Program; FERC Docket No. RR13-__ -000**

Dear Ms. Bose:

The North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC) hereby submits the “Petition of the North American Electric Reliability Corporation for Approval of Amendments to the Delegation Agreement with Texas Reliability Entity, Inc. – Amendments to Exhibit D-Texas Reliability Entity, Inc.’s Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Program.” NERC requests expedited approval of these amendments which update the hearing body procedures in the Texas Reliability Entity, Inc.’s (“Texas RE”) Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Program to conform to the procedures adopted by the other seven Regional Entities.

Under the existing Delegation Agreement, the Public Utility Commission of Texas (“PUC of Texas”) serves as the Hearing Body to conduct hearings and make recommendations to the Texas RE in cases in which a Registered Entity contests a finding of alleged violation, a proposed penalty or sanction or proposed mitigation plan. Under the proposed amendments, the PUC of Texas will no longer serve as the Texas RE hearing body. Rather, the Texas RE hearing

3353 Peachtree Road NE
Suite 600, North Tower
Atlanta, GA 30326
404-446-2560 | www.nerc.com

body will be a compliance committee comprised of all or a portion of the Texas RE Directors, with a majority of independent directors.

This filing consists of: (1) this transmittal letter, (2) the narrative text of the Petition which follows this transmittal letter, and (3) Attachments 1 and 2 to the Petition which contain a clean version and a redline version of the proposed amendments to the Delegation Agreement respectively. All of these documents are transmitted in a single pdf file.

Please contact the undersigned if you have any questions concerning this filing.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Rebecca J. Michael

Rebecca J. Michael
Associate General Counsel for Corporate
and Regulatory Matters
North American Electric Reliability
Corporation
1325 G Street, N.W., Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20005

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Before the
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

NORTH AMERICAN ELECTRIC)
RELIABILITY CORPORATION) Docket No. RR13-__-000

PETITION OF THE
NORTH AMERICAN ELECTRIC RELIABILITY CORPORATION
FOR APPROVAL OF AMENDMENTS TO THE DELEGATION AGREEMENT WITH
TEXAS RELIABILITY ENTITY, INC. AND REQUEST FOR EXPEDITED ACTION –
AMENDMENTS TO EXHIBIT D-TEXAS RELIABILITY ENTITY, INC.’S
COMPLIANCE MONITORING AND ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM

Gerald W. Cauley
President and Chief Executive Officer
North American Electric Reliability Corporation
3353 Peachtree Road
Suite 600, North Tower
Atlanta, GA 30326
(404) 446-2560
(404) 467-0474 – facsimile

Charles A. Berardesco
Senior Vice President and General
Counsel
Rebecca J. Michael
Associate General Counsel for Corporate
and Regulatory Matters
Meredith M. Jolivert
Senior Counsel for Corporate and
Regulatory Matters
North American Electric Reliability
Corporation
1325 G Street, N.W., Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 400-3000
(202) 644-8099 – facsimile
charles.berardesco@nerc.net
rebecca.michael@nerc.net
meredith.jolivert@nerc.net

July 17, 2013

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. NOTICES AND COMMUNICATIONS	2
III. PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE DELEGATION AGREEMENT BETWEEN NERC AND TEXAS RELIABILITY ENTITY, INC.	2
IV. REQUEST FOR EXPEDITED ACTION	4
V. TEXAS RELIABILITY ENTITY, INC. AND NERC APPROVALS OF THE PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE DELEGATION AGREEMENT	4
VI. CONCLUSION	5

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment 1: Amended Exhibit D to the Delegation Agreement Between North American Electric Reliability Corporation and Texas Reliability Entity, Inc. – Clean version

Attachment 2: Amended Exhibit D to the Delegation Agreement Between North American Electric Reliability Corporation and Texas Reliability Entity, Inc. – Redlined version

I. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to § 215(e)(4) of the Federal Power Act¹ (“FPA”) and 18 C.F.R. §§ 39.8 and 39.10 (2012), the North American Electric Reliability Corporation (“NERC”) requests expedited Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (“Commission”) approval of amendments to the Delegation Agreement between NERC and the Texas Reliability Entity, Inc. (“Texas RE”), one of the eight NERC Regional Entities. Specifically, NERC is amending the Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Program of Texas Reliability Entity, Inc. (the “Texas RE CMEP”), Exhibit D to the Delegation Agreement. The proposed amendments to the Delegation Agreement consist of amendments to Sections 1.0, 2.0, and 3.0 of Exhibit D and also consist of the removal of Attachments 1 and 2 to Exhibit D, in their entirety. The rules set forth in the Texas RE CMEP are “Regional Entity Rules” as defined in 18 C.F.R. § 39.10; thus, in accordance with 18 C.F.R. § 39.10, amendments to Regional Entity Rules require Commission approval. These amendments to the Delegation Agreement update the hearing procedures in the Delegation Agreement with Texas RE to conform to the procedures adopted by the other seven Regional Entities.

Attachments 1 and 2 to this Petition are clean and redlined versions, respectively, of the proposed amended Exhibit D of the Delegation Agreement. **Attachment 2** shows the proposed amendments to Exhibit D of the Delegation Agreement.

¹ 16 U.S.C. § 824o (2005).

II. NOTICES AND COMMUNICATIONS

Notices and communications with respect to this filing may be addressed to:

Gerald W. Cauley
President and Chief Executive Officer
North American Electric Reliability Corporation
3353 Peachtree Road
Suite 600, North Tower
Atlanta, GA 30326
(404) 446-2560
(404) 467-0474 – facsimile

Charles A. Berardesco*
Senior Vice President and General Counsel
Rebecca J. Michael*
Associate General Counsel for Corporate and
Regulatory Matters
Meredith M. Jolivert
Senior Counsel for Corporate and Regulatory
Matters
North American Electric Reliability Corporation
1325 G Street, N.W., Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 400-3000
(202) 644-8099 – facsimile
charles.berardesco@nerc.net
rebecca.michael@nerc.net
meredith.jolivert@nerc.net

*Persons to be included on the Commission's
official service list

III. PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE DELEGATION AGREEMENT BETWEEN NERC AND TEXAS RELIABILITY ENTITY, INC.

The proposed amendments to the Delegation Agreement will enable Texas RE to make changes to its procedures governing contested enforcement case hearings. The following paragraphs describe the proposed amendments to Exhibit D of the Texas RE Delegation Agreement on a section-by-section basis.

1. Section 1.0 Regional Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Program
 - a. Deleted the following “(which for purposes of this section 1.0 shall not include Attachment 2, Hearing Procedures)”
2. Section 2.0 Regional Hearing of Compliance Matters
 - a. Section 2.0 Regional Hearing of Compliance Matters
 - i. **Changed** the following “Texas RE’s hearing body is the Public Utility Commission of Texas (PUCT).” to “Texas RE’s hearing body is a

compliance committee comprised of all or a portion of the Texas RE Directors, with a majority of independent directors.”

- ii. **Changed** the following: “**Texas RE** shall conduct all compliance hearings in which a Registered Entity may contest a finding of alleged violation, proposed penalty or sanction, proposed Mitigation Plan, or a proposed Remedial Action Directive, in accordance with Attachment 2, Hearing Procedures, to the NERC Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Program, subject to the following deviations, if any: **Detailed Hearing Procedures.** The details of the Texas RE Regional Hearing Process are attached hereto as **Attachment 1 and Attachment 2.** **Attachment 1** consists of a summary of the NERC CMEP procedures that must be altered to accommodate Texas RE’s request to have the PUCT act as its Hearing Body. **Attachment 1** is a summary of necessary revisions to Attachment 2 of the CMEP, and together with **Attachment 2** hereto and the incorporated PUCT Chapter 22 Procedural Rules, provides the details of the regional hearing process Texas RE has adopted. In addition to the requested modifications to procedures set forth in Attachment 2 of the CMEP, Texas RE also varies from Section 5.5 of the main body of the CMEP, allowing the Board’s decision (instead of the hearing body’s decision) to be appealed to NERC. This language is contained as subsection 9.2 of **Attachment 1**: “The Registered Entity may appeal the Board’s decision to NERC, as provided for in NERC Rules of Procedure, Sections 407.3 and 410.” to: “**Texas RE** shall conduct all compliance hearings in which a Registered Entity may contest a finding of alleged violation, proposed penalty or sanction, proposed Mitigation Plan, or a proposed Remedial Action Directive, in accordance with Attachment 2, Hearing Procedures, to the NERC Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Program, subject to the following deviations, if any: None.”

b. Section 2.0 A. Hearing Body

- i. **Deleted** Section 2.0 A. Hearing Body in its entirety.

c. Section 2.0 B. Public Hearings

- i. **Deleted** Section 2.0 B. Public Hearings in its entirety.

d. Section 2.0 C. Hearing Administration

- i. **Deleted** Section 2.0 C. Hearing Administration in its entirety.

e. Section 2.0 D. Regional Hearing of Compliance Matters

- i. **Deleted** Section 2.0 D. Regional Hearing of Compliance Matters in its entirety.

3. Section 3.0 Other Decision-Making Bodies

- a. Section 3.0 Other Decision-Making Bodies
Changed the following “Texas RE uses only its board as its decision-making body.” to “None.”
- 4. Attachment 1 to Exhibit D – Texas RE Regional Hearing Process
 - a. Attachment 1 to Exhibit D – Texas RE Regional Hearing Process
 - i. **Deleted** Attachment 1 to Exhibit D in its entirety.
- 5. Attachment 2 to Exhibit D – Texas RE Regional Hearing Process
 - a. Attachment 2 to Exhibit D – Texas RE Regional Hearing Process
 - i. **Deleted** Attachment 2 to Exhibit D in its entirety.

IV. REQUEST FOR EXPEDITED ACTION

NERC also requests that the Commission expedite approval of this petition.² The changes to Exhibit D to the Delegation Agreement will ensure that Texas RE is using the same hearing procedures as the other seven Regional Entities. Thus, good cause exists to grant this request for expedited action.

V. TEXAS RELIABILITY ENTITY, INC. AND NERC APPROVALS OF THE PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE DELEGATION AGREEMENT

The amendments in this filing to the Delegation Agreement were approved by voice vote of the Board of Directors of Texas RE at the April 23, 2013 Board Meeting. Texas RE submitted the amended Delegation Agreement to NERC with a request for approval on June 3, 2013.

The NERC Board of Trustees approved the amended Delegation Agreement by written consent on June 18, 2013. In making the determination to approve the proposed amendments to

² A five-day answer period is consistent with the Commission’s decision in Order No. 769 to modify the Commission’s regulations to establish a five-day answer period for certain motions for extension of time. *See Filing of Privileged Materials and Answers to Motions*, Order No. 769, 141 FERC ¶ 61,049 at P 85 (2012)(“We find that the five day answer period strikes an appropriate balance for the need to expedite action on such requests while preserving interested parties ability to respond to such requests.”).

the Delegation Agreement, NERC determined that the amended Delegation Agreement continues to satisfy the five governance criteria stated in Exhibit B to the Delegation Agreement.

VI. CONCLUSION

NERC respectfully requests that the Commission approve the proposed amendments to the Texas RE Delegation Agreement as shown in **Attachment 2** to this Petition as amendments to Regional Entity Rules on an expedited basis.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Meredith M. Jolivert
Meredith M. Jolivert
*Counsel for North American Electric
Reliability Corporation*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have served a copy of the foregoing document upon all parties listed on the official service list compiled by the Secretary in this proceeding.

Dated at Washington, D.C. this 17th day of July, 2013.

/s/ Meredith M. Jolivert
Meredith M. Jolivert
*Counsel for North American Electric
Reliability Corporation*

ATTACHMENT 1

**AMENDED EXHIBIT D TO THE DELEGATION AGREEMENT BETWEEN
NORTH AMERICAN ELECTRIC RELIABILITY CORPORATION
AND
TEXAS RELIABILITY ENTITY, INC.**

CLEAN VERSION

EXHIBIT D – COMPLIANCE MONITORING AND ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM

1.0 REGIONAL COMPLIANCE MONITORING AND ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM

Texas RE will implement the NERC Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Program, Appendix 4C to the NERC Rules of Procedure, to monitor and enforce compliance with Reliability Standards by the owners, operators, and users within **Texas RE's** geographic or electrical boundaries, and such other scope, set forth on **Exhibit A** of this Agreement.

2.0 REGIONAL HEARING OF COMPLIANCE MATTERS

Texas RE shall establish and maintain a hearing body with authority to conduct and render decisions in compliance hearings in which a Registered Entity may contest a finding of alleged violation, proposed penalty or sanction, or a proposed mitigation plan, which shall be either **Texas RE's** board or a balanced compliance panel reporting directly to **Texas RE's** board. **Texas RE's** hearing body is a compliance committee comprised of all or a portion of the Texas RE Directors, with a majority of independent directors.

Texas RE shall conduct all compliance hearings in which a Registered Entity may contest a finding of alleged violation, proposed penalty or sanction, proposed Mitigation Plan, or a proposed Remedial Action Directive, in accordance with Attachment 2, Hearing Procedures, to the NERC Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Program, subject to the following deviations, if any: None.

3.0 OTHER DECISION-MAKING BODIES

None.

ATTACHMENT 2

**AMENDED EXHIBIT D TO THE DELEGATION AGREEMENT BETWEEN
NORTH AMERICAN ELECTRIC RELIABILITY CORPORATION
AND
TEXAS RELIABILITY ENTITY, INC.**

REDLINED VERSION

EXHIBIT D – COMPLIANCE MONITORING AND ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM

1.0 REGIONAL COMPLIANCE MONITORING AND ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM

Texas RE will implement the NERC Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Program, Appendix 4C to the NERC Rules of Procedure ~~(which for purposes of this section 1.0 shall not include Attachment 2, Hearing Procedures)~~, to monitor and enforce compliance with Reliability Standards by the owners, operators, and users within Texas RE's geographic or electrical boundaries, and such other scope, set forth on Exhibit A of this Agreement.

2.0 REGIONAL HEARING OF COMPLIANCE MATTERS

Texas RE shall establish and maintain a hearing body with authority to conduct and render decisions in compliance hearings in which a Registered Entity may contest a finding of alleged violation, proposed penalty or sanction, or a proposed mitigation plan, which shall be either Texas RE's board or a balanced compliance panel reporting directly to Texas RE's board. Texas RE's hearing body is a compliance committee comprised of all or a portion of the Public Utility Commission of Texas (PUCT)-RE Directors, with a majority of independent directors.

~~A. **Hearing Body.** Texas RE will use the PUCT as its Hearing Body, and the PUCT (as Hearing Body) will issue recommendations to the Texas RE Board of Directors ("Board") which will make final decisions following regional hearings of compliance matters. The PUCT is comprised of three Commissioners who are appointed by the Governor of the State of Texas. The PUCT has extensive experience in conducting contested case hearings and other adjudicatory proceedings in a manner that assures due process of law to all participants. Texas RE intends to rely upon the PUCT's experience and expertise in conducting the hearing process under the Delegation Agreement. Texas RE believes that it is more efficient and cost effective to use existing PUCT procedures than to attempt to establish a redundant hearing process within Texas RE. The PUCT is uniquely well positioned to perform this function for the ERCOT Region since electric utilities operating in the ERCOT Region do not synchronously interconnect with electric utilities operating outside of Texas, and ERCOT market participants have experience in participating in PUCT proceedings.~~

~~B. **Public Hearings.** The PUCT as Hearing Body will hold public hearings on all matters referred to it by the Texas RE for hearing and recommendation. The PUCT's performance of Hearing Body responsibilities is fully consistent with the NERC Rules of Procedure and with Section 39.7 of FERC Order 672, with the exception of Section 39.7(b)(4), which requires "[e]ach violation or alleged violation [to] be treated as nonpublic until the matter is filed with [FERC] as a notice of penalty or resolved by an admission ... or by a settlement or other negotiated disposition." Because the PUCT is a "governmental body" under the Texas Open Meetings Act (Texas Government Code § 551.002), the PUCT is required to conduct any deliberations and render a decision in a meeting that is open to the public. The Texas Open Meetings Act also requires that any evidence or other submissions concerning a PUCT hearing, except for information that is confidential or privileged under law, be publicly available. Texas Attorney General~~

~~opinions have determined that the need to consider confidential information does not justify conducting a closed meeting or executive session. Although PUCT hearings, including those contemplated under this Exhibit D, are conducted as open meetings, steps are taken to prevent the disclosure of confidential information during the hearing process. Direct testimony in such cases is generally presented in written question and answer format, with any confidential information redacted, filed under seal and provided to parties pursuant to a protective order. In hearings conducted under these rules, the Hearing Body shall use best efforts to avoid the inadvertent disclosure of confidential information. The Presiding Officer may use the following methods to protect confidential information, in addition to the entry of an appropriate protective order: (1) Requiring the aggregation of confidential information aggregated to eliminate its confidentiality; (2) Permitting or requiring the redaction of testimony where the non-public information is not material to the merits; (3) Closing the public hearings on a temporary basis to those not bound under the terms of any case specific protective order in place while the specific, confidential data is the subject of testimony or argument; and (4) other reasonable means in the discretion of the Presiding Officer.~~

~~Under the Texas Public Utility Regulatory Act (PURA) §39.151(j), market participants in the ERCOT market are required to comply with all scheduling, operating, planning, reliability, and settlement policies, rules, guidelines, and procedures ERCOT establishes. The PUCT is given authority to enforce this obligation through the imposition of penalties, revocation of certifications or other means. In any enforcement proceeding under PURA, PUCT deliberations are conducted in an open meeting in accordance with the procedures outlined above. ERCOT is thus unlike other power regions that may be implementing an enforcement mechanism for the first time. The history of public availability of this information in the ERCOT power region argues in favor of the continued public availability of information considered in enforcement hearings the PUCT conducts as Hearing Body for the Texas RE.~~

~~Moreover, elsewhere in Order No. 672, FERC stated that: "If the ERO or a Regional Entity wishes to conduct a public investigation, enforcement audit or permit interventions when determining whether to impose a penalty, the ERO or the Regional Entity must receive advance authorization from the Commission."⁴~~

~~In response to the request by Texas RE's predecessor to be permitted to hold public hearings as outlined herein, FERC issued *In the matter of Delegation Agreement Between the North American Electric Reliability Corporation and Texas Regional Entity, a division of ERCOT*, Docket No. RR07-1-000, *Order Accepting ERO Compliance Filing, Accepting ERO/Regional Entity Delegation Agreements and Accepting Regional Entity 2007 Business Plans*, 119 FERC 61,060 at ¶253 (Issued April 19, 2007)(Delegation Agreement Acceptance Order). The Delegation Agreement Acceptance Order provides for open hearings as requested.~~

~~C. **Hearing Administration.** PUCT, as Hearing Body, is authorized to hear cases and render its recommendations through the PUCT Commissioners. The Hearing Body is authorized to use the PUCT staff of Administrative Law Judges (ALJs) and other trained~~

⁴ Order 672, ¶511.

~~employees to establish the procedures and timelines that will be followed in the regional hearings, including the conduct of hearings and the preparation of draft recommendations. These presiding officers will not, however, have any authority to issue a final recommendation on any alleged violation. The ALJs and staff may preside over hearings before the PUCT, may establish the procedural schedule for these proceedings, take evidence, prepare a draft recommendation, and perform all tasks delegated from the PUCT, except the final rendition and approval of the final recommendation to be provided to the Board.~~

~~**D. Regional Hearing of Compliance Matters.** Texas RE shall establish the PUCT as the hearing body, with authority to conduct compliance hearings in which a Registered Entity may contest a finding of alleged violation, proposed penalty or sanction, or a proposed mitigation plan. The PUCT will issue a final recommendation to the Board rather than a final order.~~

Texas RE shall conduct all compliance hearings in which a Registered Entity may contest a finding of alleged violation, proposed penalty or sanction, proposed Mitigation Plan, or a proposed Remedial Action Directive, in accordance with Attachment 2, Hearing Procedures, to the NERC Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Program, subject to the following deviations, if any: None.

~~**Detailed Hearing Procedures.** The details of the Texas RE Regional Hearing Process are attached hereto as *Attachment 1 and Attachment 2*. *Attachment 1* consists of a summary of the NERC CMEP procedures that must be altered to accommodate Texas RE's request to have the PUCT act as its Hearing Body. *Attachment 1* is a summary of necessary revisions to Attachment 2 of the CMEP, and together with *Attachment 2* hereto and the incorporated PUCT Chapter 22 Procedural Rules, provides the details of the regional hearing process Texas RE has adopted.~~

~~In addition to the requested modifications to procedures set forth in Attachment 2 of the CMEP, Texas RE also varies from Section 5.5 of the main body of the CMEP, allowing the Board's decision (instead of the hearing body's decision) to be appealed to NERC. This language is contained as subsection 9.2 of *Attachment 1*: "The Registered Entity may appeal the Board's decision to NERC, as provided for in NERC Rules of Procedure, Sections 407.3 and 410."~~

3.0 OTHER DECISION-MAKING BODIES

~~Texas RE uses only its board as its decision-making body.~~

None.

~~ATTACHMENT 1 TO EXHIBIT D – TEXAS RE REGIONAL HEARING PROCESS~~

~~4.0 Designation of Hearing Body~~

~~All formal compliance hearings shall be held before the Compliance Enforcement Authority's Hearing Body. The Hearing Body shall be the Public Utility Commission of Texas. All hearings shall be conducted under the hearing procedures set forth in Attachment 2 to Exhibit D ("Attachment 2 Rules of Procedure"), supplementing this Attachment 1. As set forth in Attachment 2 Rules of Procedure, the Hearing Body may delegate any hearing related task to a Presiding Officer, except for the issuance of the final recommendation.~~

~~The Compliance Enforcement Authority shall initiate the hearing process following the completion of the Notice of Alleged Violation and proposed sanction and registered entity response processes in accordance with Section 5.1 of the North American Electric Reliability Corporation ("NERC") Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Program ("NERC CMEP"), as set forth below.~~

~~Following the filing of a proceeding contesting an Alleged Violation or a Remedial Action Directive, no person shall engage in ex parte communications with the Hearing Body, including without limitation any appointed Presiding Officer, concerning the matter in dispute until the written decision of the Board of Directors or a compliance committee of the Board of Directors ("Board") is issued pursuant to Section 9.1 is appealed or the deadline for filing an appeal has passed; provided, however, that: (a) a member of the Hearing Body or the Presiding Officer may communicate ex parte with another member of the Hearing Body unless prohibited by other law, and (b) a member of the Hearing Body or the Presiding Officer may communicate ex parte with a Commission employee who has not participated in a hearing in the case for the purpose of using the special skills or knowledge of the agency and its staff in evaluating the evidence.~~

~~The Hearing Body may rule on all procedural and discovery matters pursuant to Attachment 2 Rules of Procedure.~~

~~The Hearing Body may delegate to a single commissioner, a hearings examiner, or an administrative law judge (a "Presiding Officer") the authority to establish the procedures and dates for the presentation of all materials concerning the alleged violation and the power to hear evidence and to issue a draft recommendation, but the Hearing Body may not delegate its authority to issue a final recommendation on the alleged violation to the Board of the Compliance Enforcement Authority.~~

~~In accordance with Attachment 2 Rules of Procedure, the Hearing Body may provide for additional procedures as it deems necessary to effectively carry out a compliance hearing. To the extent permitted by law, any provision in this Attachment 1 may be waived, suspended, or modified by the Presiding Officer or the Hearing Body, as defined in Attachment 2 Rules of Procedure §1.1.5, for good cause shown, either upon the Presiding Officer's or the Hearing Body's own motion or upon the motion of any Party.~~

2.0 Recusal of Member of Hearing Body

~~A Hearing Body member, Presiding Officer, or Technical Advisor shall recuse himself or herself if participation in the enforcement proceeding would violate the Compliance Enforcement Authority's Conflict of Interest or Code of Conduct policy.~~

~~The Registered Entity may raise an objection to any member of the Hearing Body, a Presiding Officer or Technical Advisor on grounds of a conflict of interest or the existence of other circumstances that could interfere with the that person's impartial performance of his or her duties. Such objections must be provided in writing and filed reasonably in advance of the start of the hearing and the Presiding Officer shall make a decision on the objection promptly. Upon request of the Registered Entity, the Hearing Body (without participation of the Hearing Body member, Presiding Officer, or Technical Advisor) may review the determination and, if so, shall issue a decision on the objection promptly.~~

3.0 Authorized Representatives

~~Both the Compliance Enforcement Authority and the Registered Entity shall submit to the Hearing Body the names of the persons authorized to represent them in the Hearing Process pursuant to Attachment 2 Rules of Procedure. Such persons shall be officers or equivalents of the Regional Entity and the Registered Entity that have the authority to act on behalf of the Regional Entity and the Registered Entity, respectively. In addition, a party shall advise the Hearing Body and the other party if the party will be represented by legal counsel.~~

4.0 Statement of Alleged Violation and Response by Registered Entity

~~The Registered Entity shall initiate the compliance hearing process in accordance with Section 5.1 of the NERC GMEP and Attachment 2 Rules of Procedure by filing with the Hearing Body Clerk a statement or complaint contesting the written Notice of Alleged Violation and proposed sanction and serving a copy upon the Compliance Enforcement Authority. Specifically, the Registered Entity shall file with the Hearing Body (with service of copies upon the Compliance Enforcement Authority) a written statement of reasons why the Alleged Violation is in error or a written statement of reasons why the proposed penalty or sanction is inappropriate (if applicable in the particular case), along with copies of all documents relied on by the Registered Entity to support its position. If the dispute involves a Registered Entity's proposed mitigation plan ("Registered Entity's Mitigation Proposal") that has not been accepted by the Compliance Enforcement Authority, the Registered Entity may initiate the hearing process by filing a request for hearing with the Hearing Body Clerk and serving a copy upon the Compliance Enforcement Authority.~~

~~Within five (5) business days after the request for review of the Alleged Violation, penalty, or mitigation plan or Remedial Action Directive is filed, the Compliance Enforcement Authority's designated representative shall file with the Hearing Body (with copies to the Registered Entity) a copy of the written Notice of the Alleged Violation and proposed sanction that was originally provided to the Registered Entity, along with copies of any non-privileged or non-exempt documents gathered and reviewed by the Compliance Enforcement Authority in the course of determining an Alleged Violation has occurred and in determining the proposed sanction or penalty.~~

~~If the hearing involves the question of whether a Registered Entity's Mitigation Proposal~~

~~should be accepted, within twenty (20) days after the request for review of the Alleged Violation, penalty, or mitigation plan or Remedial Action Directive is filed, the Compliance Enforcement Authority shall file a report stating why the Registered Entity's Mitigation Proposal was not accepted. If the hearing involves a Registered Entity's Mitigation Proposal, the Registered Entity shall file its proposed Mitigation Plan and supporting information stating why the Mitigation Plan should be accepted within thirty (30) days after the date the request for review of the Alleged Violation, penalty, or mitigation plan or Remedial Action Directive is filed.~~

~~5.0 Setting of Hearings and Conferences~~

~~The Hearing Body shall set a date for an initial conference within thirty (30) days after the date the request for review of the Alleged Violation, penalty, or mitigation plan or Remedial Action Directive is filed. At the initial conference, the Hearing Body shall establish specific procedures for the hearing including (1) any procedures for exchange of additional documents, (2) any written testimony, (3) the hearing date(s), and (4) dates for any briefs. Subject to Section 6.0 and the Attachment 2 Rules of Procedure, each party shall be entitled to (1) present the testimony of witnesses, (2) cross examination of opposing witnesses, (3) make an oral presentation of position, and (4) file a written post hearing brief.~~

~~The Hearing Body may hold additional conferences. All notices of conferences and hearings shall set forth the date, time and place of hearing. The Hearing Body shall issue a written order setting forth the agreements and rulings made at each conference.~~

~~By agreement of the parties or order of the Hearing Body, any conference or hearing may be conducted via teleconference, except that, subject to section 6.0 of this hearing process, witnesses shall personally appear at the hearing.~~

~~All prehearing conferences and hearings shall be open to the public, except when the use of a closed meeting is authorized by Texas law.~~

~~6.0 Conduct of Hearing~~

~~The hearing will be conducted under the provisions of this section 6.0 and the Attachment 2 Rules of Procedure.~~

~~The hearing need not be held on consecutive days, and shall be held at the offices of the Hearing Body unless the Hearing Body decides on a different location after consulting with the parties.~~

~~The party requesting transcription of the hearing, the Registered Entity or Compliance Enforcement Authority, will arrange and pay for transcription of the hearing.~~

~~The Hearing Body shall direct the direct testimony of any witnesses be in written form in accordance with Attachment 2 Rules of Procedure. All witnesses shall be required to appear in person, unless waived by the parties and the Hearing Body. Motions shall be made and decided, evidence shall be presented, and a record shall be made in accordance with Attachment 2 Rules of Procedure.~~

~~7.0 Submission of Post-Hearing Briefs~~

~~The parties may submit post hearing briefs on a schedule established by the Hearing Body pursuant to Attachment 2 Rules of Procedure. The parties may, and on request of the Hearing Body shall, submit proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law.~~

8.0 ~~Record of the Compliance Hearing~~

~~If applicable, copies of the following documents shall be maintained by the Hearing Body as the record of the hearing process:~~

- ~~(1) The written notice that a request for review of the Alleged Violation, penalty, or mitigation plan or Remedial Action Directive has been filed with the Hearing Body Clerk;~~
- ~~(2) The Notice of Alleged Violation and sanction issued by the Compliance Enforcement Authority and the response filed by the Registered Entity, including in each case all attachments thereto and documents provided therewith;~~
- ~~(3) If the hearing involves a Registered Entity's Mitigation Proposal, (a) the Registered Entity's Mitigation Proposal and supporting information as to why the Registered Entity's Mitigation Proposal should be accepted and (b) the report of the Compliance Enforcement Authority stating why the Registrant's Mitigation Proposal was not accepted;~~
- ~~(4) Any requests for recusal of a member of the Hearing Body, a Presiding Officer, or a Technical Advisor, and any responses to such requests;~~
- ~~(5) All motions, notices and responses filed by the parties during the hearing process;~~
- ~~(6) All documents that set forth or that summarize any ex parte communications;~~
- ~~(7) All notices and rulings issued by the Hearing Body during the hearing process;~~
- ~~(8) All interlocutory orders;~~
- ~~(9) All written testimony and all exhibits received into evidence;~~
- ~~(10) All written testimony and documentary exhibits that were proffered but not admitted into evidence;~~
- ~~(11) Any transcript(s);~~
- ~~(12) The parties' post hearing briefs, any exceptions to the draft recommendation, any motions for reconsideration or rehearing, and any other post decision briefing or motion;~~
- ~~(13) The draft recommendation of the Presiding Officer, if any; and~~
- ~~(14) The final recommendation of the Hearing Body.~~

9.0 ~~Timing of Written Recommendation to the Board~~

~~The Hearing Body shall issue its written final recommendation to the Board within thirty (30) days following the submission of post hearing briefs, or, if briefing is waived, following the conclusion of the hearing. The Hearing Body may in its discretion extend the time for the issuance of the written final recommendation to the Board for up to an additional sixty (60) days. The written final recommendation shall state the opinion of the Hearing Body with respect to Alleged Violations of Reliability Standards and proposed penalties or sanctions at issue in the hearing. If the hearing involves a Registered Entity's Mitigation Proposal, the written final recommendation shall either~~

~~propose acceptance or rejection of the Registered Entity's Mitigation Proposal. If the proposed Registered Entity's Mitigation Proposal is recommended for rejection, the Hearing Body may specify the provisions of an alternative plan of mitigation that the Registered Entity should be required to implement. The written final recommendation shall explain the reasons for the Hearing Body's conclusions and cite the testimony and exhibits relied on by the Hearing Body in reaching its opinions. Copies of the written final recommendation shall be served electronically and by certified mail on the Registered Entity and on the Compliance Enforcement Authority's designated representative at the time it is issued to the Board.~~

~~9.1 Written Decision by the Board~~

~~The Board shall issue its written decision accepting, rejecting or modifying the Hearing Body's recommendation, within twenty (20) business days following the issuance of the Hearing Body's written final recommendation. The Board may extend the date for issuance of its written decision for an additional twenty (20) business days in its sole discretion. The Board's written decision shall state the conclusion of the Board with respect to Alleged Violations of Reliability Standards and proposed penalties or sanctions at issue in the hearing. If the hearing involves a Registered Entity's Mitigation Proposal, the written decision shall either accept or reject the Registered Entity's Mitigation Proposal. If the proposed Registered Entity's Mitigation Proposal is rejected, the Board may specify the provisions of the Registered Entity's Mitigation Proposal that the Registered Entity should be required to implement, together with other mitigation measures the Board shall require. The written decision shall explain the reasons for the Board's conclusions and cite the testimony and exhibits relied on by the Board in reaching its conclusions. Copies of the written decision shall be served electronically and by certified mail on the Registered Entity, on the Compliance Enforcement Authority's designated representative, and on the Hearing Body.~~

~~9.2 NERC Appeal Process~~

~~The Registered Entity may appeal an adverse decision of the Board to NERC, as provided for in NERC Rules of Procedure, Sections 407.3 and 410.~~

~~10.0 Expedited Hearing Process for Disputes Concerning Remedial Action Directives~~

~~A Registered Entity that disputes a Remedial Action Directive issued by a Compliance Enforcement Authority may request an expedited hearing. To facilitate the expedited hearing, the Compliance Enforcement Authority may request that the Hearing Body convene for purposes of the expedited hearing process. The following expedited procedures shall be followed:~~

- ~~(1) The Registered Entity shall file its written response the Remedial Action Directive and request for emergency hearing with the Hearing Body, with a copy to the Compliance Enforcement Authority's designated representative within two (2) business days after receipt of the Remedial Action Directive. The Hearing Body may appoint a Presiding Officer to conduct all proceedings under this Section 10.0, except for the issuance of a final recommendation to the Board.~~
- ~~(2) The Hearing Body shall be convened for purposes of a prehearing, and if requested, for interim relief, not less than two (2) nor more than five (5) business days after receipt of the Registered Entity's request for a hearing.~~

- ~~(3) The Hearing Body shall conduct a hearing on the matter, in person or by teleconference, within thirty (30) days after the Hearing Body is convened. At the hearing, the Compliance Enforcement Authority shall explain why the Remedial Action Directive should be complied with, and the Registered Entity shall explain why the Remedial Action Directive is not necessary or should be modified.~~
- ~~(4) The Hearing Body shall issue a summary written recommendation to the Board within twenty (20) business days following the hearing, stating whether the Registered Entity should or should not be required to comply with the Remedial Action Directive and identifying any modifications to the directive that it finds appropriate.~~
- ~~(5) The Board shall issue a summary written decision within ten (10) business days following the Hearing Body's issuance of its summary written recommendation, stating whether the Registered Entity shall or shall not be required to comply with the Remedial Action Directive and identifying any modifications to the directive that it finds appropriate.~~
- ~~(6) If the Board's summary written decision concludes that the Registered Entity is required to comply with the Remedial Action Directive or any modification to such directive (including adjustments to the timetable for implementation), the Registered Entity shall be required to begin implementing the Remedial Action Directive upon receipt of the summary written decision, if it has not already implemented the Remedial Action Directive.~~
- ~~(7) Within thirty (30) days following issuance of its summary written decision, the Board shall issue a full written decision regarding the Remedial Action Directive to the requirements of Section 9.0, above, that may be appealed consistent with Section 9.2.~~
- ~~(8) This Section 10.0 provides procedures for the expeditious determination of the propriety of a contested Remedial Action Directive. Nothing in this Section shall be read to impair the Compliance Enforcement Authority's authority to issue a Notice of Alleged Violation and proposed sanction on alleged violations of standards addressed by a Remedial Action Directive or on other alleged violations occurring contemporaneously with the Remedial Action Directive or at any other time using the non-expedited procedures of this Attachment 1 or Attachment 2 Rules of Procedure.~~

~~ATTACHMENT 2 TO EXHIBIT D – TEXAS RE RULES OF PROCEDURE~~

~~1.1 Applicability, Definitions and Interpretation~~

~~1.1.1 Procedure Governed~~

~~The provisions set forth in this Attachment 2 to the Texas Reliability Entity, Inc. (“Texas RE” or “Compliance Enforcement Authority”) (“Rules of Procedure”) shall apply to and govern practice and procedure before the Compliance Enforcement Authority and Hearing Board, as defined herein, in hearings in the ERCOT region of the United States conducted into (a) whether Registered Entities within the Compliance Enforcement Authority’s area of responsibility have violated Reliability Standards, and (b) if so, to determine the appropriate Mitigation Plans as well as any remedial actions, penalties or sanctions in accordance with the NERC ERO Sanction Guidelines and other applicable penalty guidelines approved by FERC pursuant to 18 C.F.R. Section 39.7(g)(2). Any hearing conducted pursuant to these Rules of Procedure shall be conducted before the Public Utility Commission of Texas (“Commission”), as is further provided herein.~~

~~1.1.2 Deviations and Exceptions~~

- ~~(a) To the extent permitted by law, any provision in these Rules of Procedure may be waived, suspended or modified by the Presiding Officer or the Hearing Body, as defined in Section 1.1.5, for good cause shown, either upon the Presiding Officer’s or the Hearing Body’s own motion or upon the motion of any Party.~~
- ~~(b) Where an issue is not addressed by the terms of these Rules, the Hearing Body shall use the Chapter 22 Procedural Rules.~~
- ~~(c) The following provisions of Chapter 22 shall not be applicable to proceedings brought under these Procedural Rules:
 - ~~(1) P.U.C. PROC. R. § 22.32;~~
 - ~~(2) P.U.C. PROC. R. § 22.33;~~
 - ~~(3) P.U.C. PROC. R. § 22.35;~~
 - ~~(4) P.U.C. PROC. R. §§ 22.51–22.54;~~
 - ~~(5) P.U.C. PROC. R. § 22.56;~~
 - ~~(6) P.U.C. PROC. R. § 22.71(j);~~
 - ~~(7) P.U.C. PROC. R. §§ 22.102(a)(3), (4) and (e);~~
 - ~~(8) P.U.C. PROC. R. §§ 22.103–22.105;~~
 - ~~(9) P.U.C. PROC. R. §§ 22.125–22.126;~~
 - ~~(10) P.U.C. PROC. R. § 22.202(e);~~
 - ~~(11) P.U.C. PROC. R. §§ 22.206–22.207;~~
 - ~~(12) P.U.C. PROC. R. §§ 22.241–22.246;~~
 - ~~(13) P.U.C. PROC. R. §§ 22.251–22.252;~~
 - ~~(14) P.U.C. PROC. R. § 22.263(d); and~~~~

~~(15) P.U.C. PROC. R. §§ 22.281-22.284.~~

~~(d) For purposes of this Attachment 2— Rules of Procedure, the following shall supplement the terms of a Chapter 22 Rule, as specified:~~

~~(1) P.U.C. PROC. R. § 22.31. The following subsection (d) shall be added:~~

~~“(d) The Hearing Body Clerk shall designate each proceeding brought under these rules as a docket.”~~

~~(2) P.U.C. PROC. R. § 22.72(e). The following sentence shall be added at the end of this subsection:~~

~~“A party or its authorized representative shall also provide in its signature block one or more electronic mail addresses to which service may be made.”~~

~~(3) P.U.C. PROC. R. § 22.74(b). The following sentence shall be added at the end of this subsection:~~

~~“(b) . . . Service may be made by electronic mail to the email address included in a signature block of a party or its authorized representative.~~

~~* * *~~

~~“(4) Service by email shall be complete upon transmission of the communication from the electronic mail server of the serving party.”~~

~~(e) All proceedings filed under these rules shall be conducted under the Commission’s Chapter 22 Procedural Rules, as modified herein, but may not be referred to the State Office of Administrative Hearings.~~

~~1.1.3 Standards for Discretion~~

~~The Hearing Body’s discretion under these Rules of Procedure shall be exercised to accomplish the following goals:~~

~~(a) Integrity of the Fact Finding Process—The principal goal of the hearing process is to assemble a complete factual record to serve as a basis for a correct and legally sustainable ruling, decision or order.~~

~~(b) Fairness—Persons appearing in Compliance Enforcement Authority proceedings should be treated fairly. To this end, Parties should be given fair notice and opportunity to present explanations, factual information, documentation and legal argument. Action shall be taken as necessary to eliminate any disadvantage or prejudice to a Party that would otherwise result from another Party’s failure to act diligently and in good faith.~~

~~(c) Independence—The hearing process should be tailored to protect against undue influence from any Person, Party or interest group.~~

~~(d) Balanced Decision Making—Decisions should be based solely on the facts and arguments of record in a proceeding and by individuals who satisfy the Compliance Enforcement Authority’s conflict of interest policy.~~

- (e) ~~Impartiality—Persons appearing before the Hearing Body should not be subject to discriminatory or preferential treatment. Registered Entities should be treated consistently unless a reasonable basis is shown in any particular proceeding to depart from prior rulings, decisions or orders.~~
- (f) ~~Expedition—Proceedings shall be brought to a conclusion as swiftly as is possible in keeping with the other goals of the hearing process.~~

~~1.1.4 Interpretation~~

- (a) ~~These Rules of Procedure shall be interpreted in such a manner as will aid in effectuating the Standards for Discretion set forth in Section 1.1.3, and so as to require that all practices in connection with the hearings shall be just and reasonable.~~
- (b) ~~Unless the context otherwise requires, the singular of a term used herein shall include the plural and the plural of a term shall include the singular.~~
- (c) ~~To the extent that the text of a rule is inconsistent with its caption, the text of the rule shall control.~~

~~1.1.5 Definitions~~

- (a) ~~Unless otherwise defined, as used in these Rules of Procedure (i) definitions in Section 1.1 of the NERC Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Program shall apply, and (ii) the following terms shall have the following meanings:~~

~~“Board” means the Board of Directors of Texas Reliability Entity.~~

~~“Bulk Power System,” for the purposes of these Rules of Procedure, has the meaning set forth in 16 U.S.C. §824o(a)(1).~~

~~“Chapter 22” or “Commission Procedural Rules” shall mean the Chapter 22 Procedural Rules of the Commission, 16 TEX. ADMIN. CODE ch. 22., and be cited as “P.U.C. PROC. R. § [].”~~

~~“Commission” means the Public Utility Commission of Texas.~~

~~“Compliance Enforcement Authority Clerk,” as designated by the Compliance Enforcement Authority.~~

~~“Compliance Enforcement Authority” means the Regional Entity, by and through its Chief Executive Officer.~~

~~“Compliance Enforcement Authority’s area of responsibility” means the Texas Reliability Entity’s corporate region.~~

~~“Critical Energy Infrastructure Information” means specific engineering, vulnerability, or detailed design information about proposed or existing critical infrastructure that: (i) relates details about the production, generation, transportation, transmission, or distribution of energy; (ii) could be useful to a person in planning an attack on critical infrastructure; and (iii) does not simply give the location of the critical infrastructure.~~

~~“Critical infrastructure” means existing and proposed systems and assets, whether physical or virtual, the incapacity or destruction of which would negatively affect security, economic security, public health or safety, or any combination of these matters.~~

~~“Cybersecurity Incident” means a malicious act or suspicious event that disrupts, or was an attempt to disrupt, the operation of those programmable electronic devices and communications networks including hardware, software, and data that are essential to the Reliable Operation of the Bulk Power System.~~

~~“ERO” means the Electric Reliability Organization, currently the North American Electric Reliability Corporation, or any successor organization, certified by FERC pursuant to 18 C.F.R. §39.3.~~

~~“FERC” means the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.~~

~~“Filing Clerk” or “Hearing Body Clerk” means the Central Records filing clerk of the Public Utility Commission of Texas.~~

~~“Hearing Body” means the Public Utility Commission of Texas.~~

~~“Mitigation Plan” means an action plan developed by a Registered Entity to (i) correct a violation of a Reliability Standard and (ii) prevent reoccurrence of the violation. A Mitigation Plan is required when a Registered Entity violates a Reliability Standard as determined by any means including Compliance Enforcement Authority Decision, settlement agreement, or otherwise.~~

~~“Party” means any Person who is allowed or required to participate in a proceeding conducted pursuant to these Rules of Procedure. The term “Party” as used herein shall include the members of the Compliance Staff of the Compliance Enforcement Authority that participate in a proceeding.~~

~~“Penalty” as used herein includes all penalties and sanctions that may be imposed pursuant to 16 U.S.C. §824e-1 and applicable regulations, including but not limited to a monetary or non-monetary penalty; a limitation on an activity, function, operation or other appropriate sanction; or the addition of the Registered Entity to a reliability watch list composed of major violators. Penalties must be within the range set forth in the NERC ERO Sanction Guidelines approved by FERC pursuant to 18 C.F.R. Section 39.7(g)(2), and shall bear a reasonable relation to the seriousness of a Registered Entity's violation and take into consideration any timely efforts made by the Registered Entity to remedy the violation.~~

~~“Person” means any individual, partnership, corporation, limited liability company, governmental body, association, joint stock company, public trust, organized group of persons, whether incorporated or not, or any other legal entity.~~

~~“Presiding Officer” or “Hearing Examiner” means an individual employed or contracted by the Hearing Body and designated by the Hearing Body to preside over hearings conducted pursuant to these Rules of Procedure.~~

~~“North American Electric Reliability Council” or “NERC” means North American Electric Reliability Corporation.~~

~~“Registered Entity” means each user, owner and operator of the Bulk Power System within the United States that is required to register with the Regional Entity pursuant to 18 C.F.R. § 39.2.~~

~~“Regional Entity” means Texas Reliability Entity or Texas RE.~~

~~“Reliable Operation” has the meaning set forth in Section 215 of the Federal Power Act.~~

~~“Reliability Standards” means standards approved by FERC pursuant to Section 215 of the Federal Power Act and 18 C.F.R. Section 39.5, as such standards are authorized and in effect from time to time.~~

~~“Remedial Action Directive” means an action (other than a penalty or sanction) required that (1) is to bring a Registered Entity into compliance with a Reliability Standard or to avoid a Reliability Standard violation, and (2) is immediately necessary to protect the reliability of the Bulk Power System from an imminent threat of harm.~~

~~“Respondent” means the Registered Entity who is the subject of the Notice of Alleged Violation or contested Mitigation Plan that is the basis for the proceeding, whichever is applicable.~~

~~“Staff” or “Compliance Staff” means individuals employed or contracted by the Compliance Enforcement Authority who have the authority to make initial determinations of Registered Entities’ compliance with or violation of the Reliability Standards and associated Penalties and Mitigation Plans.~~

~~“Technical Advisor” means any Staff member, Hearing Body employee, third party contractor, or industry stakeholder who satisfies the Compliance Enforcement Authority’s conflict of interest policy and is selected to assist in a proceeding by providing technical advice to the Presiding Officer and/or the Hearing Body.~~

- ~~(b) For purposes of this Attachment 2 Rules of Procedure and in application to any proceeding brought under these rules, the following terms shall be substituted for the term used in a Chapter 22 rule:~~

~~“Administrative law judge” shall mean and refer to the defined term “Presiding Officer.”~~

~~“Central records” shall mean “Hearing Body Clerk.”~~

~~“Final order” shall mean “final recommendation.”~~

~~“Proposal for decision” shall mean “draft recommendation.”~~

~~“Public utility” shall mean “party.”~~

- ~~(c) If a term is defined in this Attachment 2 Rules of Procedure and in Chapter 22, the meaning expressed herein shall prevail.~~

~~1.1.6 Interventions Are Not Permitted~~

~~The Respondent(s) and Compliance Staff shall be Parties to the proceeding. Unless otherwise authorized by FERC, no other Persons shall be permitted to intervene or otherwise become a Party to the proceeding.~~

~~1.1.7. Proceedings Open to the Public~~

~~All hearings, oral arguments, and meetings of the Hearing Body shall be open to the public, and every notice, ruling, order or any other issuance of the Presiding Officer or Hearing Body, and any transcript, made in any proceeding shall be publicly released unless a Party has requested that it be kept confidential in accordance with Texas law, and the Presiding Officer or Hearing Body determines that the information should not be released publicly.~~

~~1.1.8 Numbering and Docketing System~~

~~The Staff of the Compliance Enforcement Authority shall maintain a system of numbering proceedings before they are sent to the Hearing Body for a hearing under these procedures. A numbered proceeding shall be created within the Compliance Enforcement Authority upon the issuance of a notice of Alleged Violation. Unless NERC provides a different docketing system that will be used uniformly by the Compliance Enforcement Authority, proceeding numbers shall be assigned sequentially beginning with a two digit number that relates to the last two digits of the year in which the docket is initiated, followed by a dash (" "), followed by the letters "[RE]", followed by a dash (" "), followed by a four digit number that will be "0001" on January 1 of each calendar year and ascend sequentially until December 31 of the same calendar year. If the proceeding is not settled and becomes a contested matter before the Hearing Body, the Hearing Body's numbering and docketing system shall govern the tracking of such filings while under the Hearing Body's administration.~~

~~1.2 Hold Harmless~~

~~A condition of a Party invoking these Rules of Procedure and participating in a hearing is that the Party agrees that the Compliance Enforcement Authority, including without limitation its members, board of directors or trustees, compliance committee, any other committees or subcommittees, Staff, contracted employees, attorneys and experts (outside or in house), Hearing Body members, Presiding Officers and Technical Advisors, shall not be liable, and shall be held harmless against the consequences of, or any action or inaction arising out of, the hearing process, or of any agreement reached in resolution of a dispute or any failure to reach agreement as a result of a proceeding. This "hold harmless" provision does not extend to matters constituting gross negligence, intentional misconduct, or breach of confidentiality.~~

~~1.3 Initiation of the Hearing Process~~

~~Except when contesting a Remedial Action Directive pursuant to section 1.5 of these Rules of Procedure, a Registered Entity may file a response or complaint with the Compliance Enforcement Authority and the Filing Clerk requesting a hearing if:~~

- ~~(a) The Registered Entity contests a Notice of Alleged Violation as to the existence or scope of the alleged violation, the proposed Penalty, or both;~~
~~or~~
- ~~(b) The Registered Entity contests the Compliance Enforcement Authority's rejection of Registered Entity's Mitigation Proposal in whole or in part.~~

~~A Registered Entity must file its hearing request within forty (40) days after (i) the Registered Entity files its response to the notice of Alleged Violation; or (ii) the Compliance Staff submits to the Registered Entity its statement identifying a disagreement with the Registered Entity's Mitigation Proposal, whichever is applicable. If the Registered Entity does not file a hearing request within the time period set forth in this Section, then the Registered Entity will be deemed to have agreed and waived any objection to the proposed Penalty, the Alleged Violation or the Compliance Staff's stated position on the Registered Entity's Mitigation Proposal, whichever is applicable.~~

~~Either a notice of Alleged Violation issued to a Registered Entity or a Staff statement setting forth its disagreement with a Registered Entity's Mitigation Proposal shall clearly state that the Registered Entity has the option to contest the Alleged Violation, proposed Penalty, or both, or the Compliance Staff's position on the Registered Entity's Mitigation Proposal.~~

~~A Registered Entity shall attach to a request for hearing whichever of the following are applicable:~~

- ~~(a) The Registered Entity's Self Reporting of a violation;~~
- ~~(b) The Notice of Alleged Violation and the Registered Entity's response thereto; or~~
- ~~(c) The Registered Entity's Mitigation Proposal and the Compliance Staff's statement identifying its disagreement with the Registered Entity's Mitigation Proposal.~~

~~1.4 General Hearing Procedure~~

~~Except as otherwise specified in this Attachment 2 Rules of Procedure, the procedures and timelines set forth in Chapter 22 shall govern the conduct of a hearing arising under these rules.~~

~~1.4.1 Hearing Body~~

~~The Hearing Body, consisting of a quorum of the Commission, shall hear all proceedings brought under these Rules of Procedure, unless the Commission elects to delegate all or part of the proceeding to a Presiding Officer who is a member of the Commission Staff. The Hearing Body is vested with the exclusive authority to issue a final recommendation to the Board for the resolution of the issue(s) presented. The following procedures shall also apply:~~

- ~~(a) The Hearing Body or any individual member thereof may, but is not required to, attend any prehearing conference, status hearing or evidentiary hearing, or to submit questions to the Presiding Officer to submit to a Party or any witness at any such hearing. No more than one member of the Hearing Body may be present for any prehearing conference, status hearing, or evidentiary hearing unless the Hearing Body has complied with the Open Meetings requirements of Texas law.~~
- ~~(b) The Hearing Body shall resolve the issue(s) in every hearing through the issuance of a final recommendation to the Board. In issuing a final recommendation to the Board, the Hearing Body shall consider the Presiding Officer's draft recommendation but shall have the authority to~~

~~reject, modify or approve the draft recommendation in whole or in part in issuing its final recommendation.~~

~~1.4.2 Technical Advisor~~

~~The Presiding Officer or the Hearing Body may elect to use one or more Technical Advisors to assist in any proceeding. Such an election may be made at any time during the course of a proceeding. Any Staff member who serves as a Technical Advisor shall not have been involved in or consulted at any time in regard to any Compliance Staff investigation, initial determination of Alleged Violation or Penalty, or assessment of a Registered Entity's proposed Mitigation Plan that resulted in the proceeding in which technical advice would be rendered, and shall not otherwise participate in the proceeding on which such technical advice would be rendered.~~

~~If the Presiding Officer or Hearing Body uses a Technical Advisor to assist in any hearing, the Presiding Officer or Hearing Body shall disclose the identity, employment history and professional affiliations of the Technical Advisor within two (2) days of the Technical Advisor's assignment to the proceeding, and Parties to the hearing may raise objections to the Technical Advisor's participation within 10 business days of disclosure.~~

~~1.5 Initiation of Remedial Action Directive Hearing~~

~~Staff may issue a Remedial Action Directive to a Registered Entity at any time, including during any proceeding related to an alleged violation of a Reliability Standard. The Compliance Enforcement Authority will notify NERC within two (2) days after its Staff issues a Remedial Action Directive.~~

~~The Registered Entity may contest the Remedial Action Directive in accordance with these Rules of Procedure and Delegation Agreement, Exhibit D, Attachment 1, §10, by filing a written notice with the Compliance Enforcement Authority that states that the Registered Entity contests the Remedial Action Directive and that the Registered Entity requests a Remedial Action Directive hearing. The Registered Entity shall attach a copy of the Remedial Action Directive to its written notice. The Registered Entity must provide such notice within two (2) business days following issuance of the Remedial Action Directive. If the Registered Entity does not give written notice to the Compliance Enforcement Authority within the required time period, the Registered Entity shall be deemed to have waived its right to contest the Remedial Action Directive.~~

~~The Registered Entity shall simultaneously file with the Hearing Body Clerk a copy of the notice that it is contesting the Remedial Action Directive.~~

~~The Hearing Body Clerk shall assign a docket number, and issue a Notice of Hearing that sets forth the date, time and place at which the hearing will convene.~~