



VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Ms. Kimberly D. Bose Secretary Federal Energy Regulatory Commission 888 First Street, NE Washington, D.C. 20426

Re: North American Electric Reliability Corporation,
Docket No. RM08-7-000

Dear Ms. Bose:

The North American Electric Reliability Corporation ("NERC") hereby submits this petition in accordance with Section 215(d)(1) of the Federal Power Act ("FPA") and Part 39.5 of the Commission's regulations seeking approval for interpretation (b) of Requirement R17 as found in BAL-005-0 — Automatic Generation Control, a Commission-approved NERC Reliability Standard, that is contained in Exhibit A-1 to this petition. In addition, NERC hereby withdraws its request for approval of interpretation (a) of Requirement R17 in BAL-005-0 — Automatic Generation Control that NERC submitted for approval on December 19, 2007.

The formal interpretation (b) and the request to withdraw formal interpretation (a) have been approved by the NERC Board of Trustees. NERC requests this interpretation be made effective immediately after approval by the Commission.

D

¹ Petition of the North American Electric Reliability Corporation for Approval of Formal Interpretations to Reliability Standards (RM08-7-00). NERC is not, however, withdrawing its request for approval of the three other interpretations contained in the December 19 filing.

NERC's petition consists the following:

- This transmittal letter;
- A table of contents for the entire petition;
- A narrative description explaining how the formal interpretation meets the reliability goal of BAL-005-0;
- Formal interpretation submitted for approval (**Exhibit A-1**);
- Reliability Standard BAL-005-0b that includes the appended interpretation (**Exhibit A-2**); and
- The complete development record of the formal interpretation (**Exhibit A-3**).

Please contact the undersigned if you have any questions.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Rebecca J. Michael
Rebecca J. Michael

Attorney for North American Electric Reliability Corporation

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

NORTH AMERICAN ELECTRIC RELIABILITY) Docket No. RM08-7-000
CORPORATION)

PETITION OF THE NORTH AMERICAN ELECTRIC RELIABILITY CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL OF FORMAL INTERPRETATION TO RELIABILITY STANDARDS AND WITHDRAWAL OF PRIOR FORMAL INTERPRETATION

Rick Sergel
President and Chief Executive Officer
David N. Cook
Vice President and General Counsel
North American Electric Reliability
Corporation
116-390 Village Boulevard
Princeton, NJ 08540-5721
(609) 452-8060
(609) 452-9550 – facsimile
david.cook@nerc.net

Rebecca J. Michael
Assistant General Counsel
North American Electric Reliability
Corporation
1120 G Street, N.W.
Suite 990
Washington, D.C. 20005-3801
(202) 393-3998
(202) 393-3955 – facsimile
rebecca.michael@nerc.net

April 15, 2008

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction	1
II.	Notices and Communications	2
III.	Background:	3
	a. Regulatory Framework:	3
	b. Basis for Approval of Additional Proposed Reliability Standards	3
	c. Reliability Standards Development Procedure	۷
IV.	BAL-005-0 — Automatic Generation Control, Requirement R17	5
	a. Justification for Approval of Formal Interpretation (b)	6
	b. Summary of the Reliability Standard Development Proceedings	10
V.	Conclusion	11
Exhi	ibit A-1 – Interpretation of Reliability Standard BAL-005-0, Requirement RI Proposed for Approval	7
Exhi	ibit A-2 – Reliability Standard BAL-005-0b	
Exhi	ibit A-3 – Record of Development of Formal Interpretation for BAL-005-0, Requirement R17	

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

The North American Electric Reliability Corporation ("NERC")² hereby requests the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (the "Commission" or "FERC") to approve, in accordance with Section 215(d)(1) of the Federal Power Act ("FPA")³ and Section 39.5 of the Commission's regulations, 18 C.F.R. § 39.5, interpretation (b) to BAL-005-0 — Automatic Generation Control, Requirement R17, a Commission-approved NERC Reliability Standard.

This petition is the second request by NERC for Commission approval of a formal interpretation to BAL-005-0 — Automatic Generation Control, Requirement R17.

NERC submitted a request to approve formal interpretation (a) to BAL-005-0 —

Automatic Generation Control, Requirement R17, on December 19, 2007. In conjunction with this request to approve formal interpretation (b) to BAL-005-0 — Automatic Generation Control, Requirement R17, NERC respectfully withdraws its request for approval of formal interpretation (a) to BAL-005-0 — Automatic Generation Control, Requirement R17 that it submitted on December 19, 2007. No modification to the language contained in the specific requirement is being proposed. However, NERC has included for the Commission's information the approved Reliability Standard to which the proposed interpretation is appended.

The NERC Board of Trustees approved the formal interpretation (b) to BAL-005-0—Automatic Generation Control, Requirement R17 on February 12, 2008. At that time, the NERC Board of Trustees also approved the withdrawal of formal interpretation

_

 $^{^2}$ NERC has been certified by the Commission as the electric reliability organization ("ERO") authorized by Section 215 of the Federal Power Act. The Commission certified NERC as the ERO in its order issued July 20, 2006 in Docket No. RR06-1-000. 116 FERC ¶ 61,062 (2006) ("ERO Certification Order"). 3 16 U.S.C. 824o.

(a) to BAL-005-0 — Automatic Generation Control, Requirement R17. NERC requests that the Commission approve this formal interpretation (b) and make it effective immediately after approval in accordance with the Commission's procedures. Exhibit A-1 to this filing sets forth the formal interpretation. Exhibit A-2 contains the affected Reliability Standard containing the appended interpretation, in this case, BAL-005-0b — Automatic Generation Control. Exhibit A-3 contains the complete development record of the formal interpretation (b) to BAL-005-0 — Automatic Generation Control, Requirement R17.

NERC also is filing this formal interpretation (b) and request for withdrawal of formal interpretation (a) with governmental authorities in Canadian provinces and with the National Energy Board of Canada.

II. NOTICES AND COMMUNICATIONS

Notices and communications with respect to this filing may be addressed to the following:

Rick Sergel
President and Chief Executive Officer
David N. Cook*
Vice President and General Counsel
North American Electric Reliability Corporation
116-390 Village Boulevard
Princeton, NJ 08540-5721
(609) 452-8060
(609) 452-9550 – facsimile
david.cook@nerc.net

Rebecca J. Michael*
Assistant General Counsel
North American Electric Reliability
Corporation
1120 G Street, N.W.
Suite 990
Washington, D.C. 20005-3801
(202) 393-3998
(202) 393-3955 – facsimile
rebecca.michael@nerc.net

*Persons to be included on the Commission's service list are indicated with an asterisk.

III. **BACKGROUND**

a. Regulatory Framework

By enacting the Energy Policy Act of 2005, 4 Congress entrusted FERC with the duties of approving and enforcing rules to ensure the reliability of the Nation's bulk power system, and with the duties of certifying an electric reliability organization ("ERO") that would be charged with developing and enforcing mandatory reliability standards, subject to Commission approval. Section 215 states that all users, owners and operators of the bulk power system in the United States will be subject to the Commission-approved Reliability Standards.

b. Basis for Approval of Proposed Reliability Standard

Section 39.5(a) of the Commission's regulations requires the ERO to file with the Commission for its approval each reliability standard that the ERO proposes to become mandatory and enforceable in the United States, and each modification to a reliability standard that the ERO proposes to be made effective. The Commission has the regulatory responsibility to approve reliability standards that protect the reliability of the bulk power system. In implementing its responsibility to review, approve and enforce mandatory reliability standards, the Commission is authorized to approve those proposed reliability standards that meet the criteria detailed by Congress:

The Commission may approve, by rule or order, a proposed reliability standard or modification to a reliability standard if it determines that the standard is just, reasonable, not unduly discriminatory or preferential, and in the public interest.⁵

⁴ Energy Policy Act of 2005, Pub. L. No. 109-58, Title XII, Subtitle A, 119 Stat. 594, 941 (2005) (to be codified at 16 U.S.C. § 824o).

⁵ Section 215(d)(2) of the FPA, to be codified at 16 U.S.C. § 824o(d)(2) (2000).

When evaluating proposed reliability standards, the Commission is expected to give "due weight" to the technical expertise of the ERO. Order No. 672 provides guidance on the factors the Commission will consider when determining whether proposed reliability standards meet the statutory criteria. While this formal interpretation does not represent new or modified reliability standard requirements, it does provide formal instruction with regard to the intent and in some cases application of the requirement that will guide compliance to it. In this regard, NERC requests approval from the Commission on this interpretation.

c. Reliability Standards Development Procedure

NERC develops reliability standards in accordance with Section 300 (Reliability Standards Development) of its Rules of Procedure and the NERC *Reliability Standards*Development Procedure, which is incorporated into the Rules of Procedure as Appendix

3A. In its ERO Certification Order, the Commission found that NERC's proposed rules provide for reasonable notice and opportunity for public comment, due process, openness, and a balance of interests in developing reliability standards and thus satisfies certain of the criteria for approving reliability standards.⁷

The development process is open to any person or entity with a legitimate interest in the reliability of the bulk power system. NERC considers the comments of all stakeholders and a vote of stakeholders and the NERC Board of Trustees is required to approve a reliability standard for submission to the Commission.

⁶ See Rules Concerning Certification of the Electric Reliability Organization; Procedures for the Establishment, Approval and Enforcement of Electric Reliability Standards, FERC Stats. & Regs., ¶ 31,204 at PP 320-36 ("Order No. 672"), order on reh'g, FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 31,212 (2006) ("Order No. 672-A").

⁷ Order No. 672 at PP 268, 270.

Additionally, all persons who are directly or materially affected by the reliability of the North American bulk power systems are permitted to request an interpretation of the reliability standard, as discussed in NERC's *Reliability Standards Development Procedure*. When requested, NERC will assemble a team with the relevant expertise to address the interpretation request and, within 45 days, present a formal interpretation for industry ballot. If approved by the ballot pool and the NERC Board of Trustees, the interpretation is appended to the reliability standard and filed for approval by the Commission and regulatory authorities in Canada to be made effective when approved. When the affected reliability standard is next revised using the reliability standards development process, the interpretation will then be incorporated into the reliability standard.

The formal interpretation set out in Exhibit A-1 has been developed and approved by industry stakeholders using NERC's *Reliability Standards Development Procedure*; it has been approved by the NERC Board of Trustees as outlined in the Introduction section above.

IV. BAL-005-0 — Automatic Generation Control, Requirement R17

The Commission approved Reliability Standard BAL-005-0 in Order No. 693.
In Section IV(a), NERC explains the need for and development of the formal interpretation (b) of BAL-005-0 — Automatic Generation Control, Requirement R17. In addition, NERC demonstrates that the formal interpretation is consistent with the stated reliability goal of the Commission-approved Reliability Standards and the requirements thereunder. Set forth immediately below in Section IV(b) are the stakeholder ballot

 $^{^{8}\,}$ See Order No. 693 at P 396 and Appendix A.

results and how stakeholder comments were considered and addressed by the team assembled to provide the interpretation.

The complete development record for the formal interpretation is set forth in Exhibit A-3. Exhibit A-3 includes the request for interpretation, the response to the request for interpretation, the ballot pool and the final ballot results by registered ballot body members, stakeholder comments received during the balloting and how those comments were considered.

a. Justification for Approval of Formal Interpretation

The stated purpose of BAL-005-0 — Automatic Generation Control ("AGC"), in relevant part, is to establish requirements for balancing AGC necessary to calculate Area Control Error ("ACE"). Requirement R17 of this Reliability Standard states:

Requirement R17. Each Balancing Authority shall at least annually check and calibrate its time error and frequency devices against a common reference. The Balancing Authority shall adhere to the minimum values for measuring devices as listed below:

Device	Accuracy
Digital frequency transducer	≤ 0.001 Hz
MW, MVAR, and voltage transducer	≤ 0.25 % of full scale
Remote terminal unit	≤ 0.25 % of full scale
Potential transformer	≤ 0.30 % of full scale
Current transformer	≤ 0.50 % of full scale

On July 31, 2007, NERC received a request for formal interpretation from Portland General Electric ("PGE") of Requirement R17 of BAL-005-0 – Automatic Generation Control. As noted above, NERC previously filed for approval of an interpretation (a) for this same requirement that explained that the phrase "annually check and calibrate" applies only to devices within the operations control room. The Board of

Trustees approved that interpretation at its May 2, 2007 meeting. PGE subsequently stated that it believes that interpretation (a) still leaves several areas of ambiguity and asks:

Which equipment is included in the phrase "measuring devices as listed below," in particular, does this phrase apply:

- (a) Only to equipment within the operations control room?
- (b) Only to equipment that provides values used to calculate AGC ACE?
- (c) Only to equipment that provides values to PGE's SCADA system?
- (d) Only to equipment owned or operated by the Balancing Authority?
- (e) Only to new of replacement equipment?
- (f) To all such equipment that a Balancing Authority owns or operates?

PGE believes this standard is intended to apply to Balancing Authorities' new or replacement equipment that provides values used to calculate AGC ACE. A broader interpretation to include existing equipment could cause utilities to spend significant amounts of money with little or no actual improvement to system reliability.

In accordance with the *Reliability Standards Development Procedure*, NERC selected the Resources Subcommittee of the NERC Operating Committee as its subject matter expert to consider the question and develop the interpretation response. The Resources Subcommittee designated its Frequency Task Force the activity. The task force provided the following interpretation (b):

"As noted in the existing interpretation (a), BAL-005-1 Requirement 17 applies only to the time error and frequency devices that provide, or in the case of back-up equipment may provide, input into the reporting or compliance ACE equation or provide real-time time error or frequency information to the system operator. Frequency inputs from other sources that are for reference only are excluded. The time error and frequency measurement devices may not necessarily be located in the system operations control room or owned by the Balancing Authority; however the Balancing Authority has the responsibility for the accuracy of the frequency and time error measurement devices. No other devices are included in R17. The other devices listed in the table at the end of R17 are for reference only and do not have any mandatory calibration or accuracy

requirements.

New or replacement equipment that provides the same functions noted above requires the same calibrations. Some devices used for time error and frequency measurement cannot be calibrated as such. In this case, these devices should be cross-checked against other properly calibrated equipment and replaced if the devices do not meet the required level of accuracy."

Thus, the formal interpretation (b) is that BAL-005-0, Requirement 17 requires that time error and frequency devices that serve as input into the reporting or compliance of the ACE equation, whether in the operations control room or external to the operations control room, must be annually checked and calibrated. This formal interpretation (b) acknowledges and expands upon interpretation (a) such that time error and frequency devices located external to the operations control room, such as instrument transformers and transducers that serve to transmit said time error and frequency information from a source remote to the control center are included in the scope of the requirement per interpretation (b).

This formal interpretation is consistent with the reliability objective of Requirement R17 of BAL-005-0 and with the overall goal of the Reliability Standard itself. The interpretation properly acknowledges that Requirement R17 expressly pertains to time error and frequency devices and identifies the Balancing Authority as the applicable entity. The interpretation also recognizes that the Balancing Authority has ultimate responsibility for compliance with the requirement as part of its core functions but may not necessarily be the owner of the time error and frequency devices on which the accuracy of the ACE equation depends. This interpretation establishes an obligation for the Balancing Authority to ensure the equipment owner has an established program to comport with this expectation; alternately, the Balancing Authority could choose to

install and maintain its own equipment to obtain this valuable information. Further, this requirement is directed only to time error and frequency devices and not to other inputs to the ACE equation.

In the first instance, other inputs to the ACE equation are not captured in the first sentence of Requirement R17, namely tie-line flows for calculation of net actual interchange. The interpretation cannot expand or change the requirement in a NERC Reliability Standard. By allowing the inclusion of other metering devices in the interpretation of Requirement R17, the scope of the requirement as stated and as approved by the Commission is dramatically expanded. The use of an interpretation for this purpose does not support the intent of an interpretation process as included in the *Reliability Standards Development Procedure*. Modifications to the Reliability Standard would more appropriately be considered when NERC addresses BAL-005-0 Reliability Standard as part of Project 2007-05 of its Reliability Standards Development Plan: 2008-2010, a project currently in progress. This plan was submitted to the Commission for information purposes on October 5, 2007.

In the second instance, the Balancing Authority is already required to perform tieline MWh checks hourly through Requirement R13 of BAL-005-0. If errors are found, the Balancing Authority shall then adjust the metering error component of its ACE equation to compensate until such time the equipment is re-calibrated or replaced. Therefore, Requirement R13 addresses the accuracy of tie-line values in the calculation of ACE. Coupled with the requirement to calibrate frequency and time error devices in Requirement R17, the major inputs to the ACE equation are addressed.

b. Summary of the Reliability Standard Development Proceedings

On July 31, 2007, NERC received a request for formal interpretation of Requirement 17 of the BAL-005-0 Reliability Standard, the second formal request for interpretation of this requirement. Pursuant to its Reliability Standards Development *Procedure*, NERC selected the Resources Subcommittee of the NERC Operating Committee to prepare the interpretation, who assigned its Frequency Task Force the responsibility to develop the requested interpretation. The Resources Subcommittee, through it Frequency Task Force, provided the formal interpretation (b) that directs that time error and frequency devices that are located within and external to the control center are to be included in this requirement. Further, the interpretation provided that devices and the tolerances listed in Requirement R17 that do not serve to provide time error or frequency inputs to the ACE equation are for informational purposes only. The interpretation (b) further stated that Requirement R17 pertains to existing, modified, or new installation of time error and frequency devices and that the devices without the capability of being calibrated should be verified to be accurate against other benchmarks or be replaced.

NERC conducted the initial ballot of interpretation (b) from October 18–October 29, 2007 and achieved a quorum of 96.48 percent and a weighted segment approval of 85.91 percent, but the initial ballot also included a number of negative ballots with comments. When the Frequency Task Force reviewed the comments, they decided to revise the interpretation to improve its clarity, and posted the revised interpretation for a new 30-day pre-ballot review period that took place from November 19–December 18, 2007. A second initial ballot took place from December 19, 2007–January 4, 2008. The

ballot results indicated a 98.44 percent weighted segment approval with 84.77 percent of the ballot pool participating in the vote. However, three negative votes with comments were received that required a recirculation ballot.

Two commenters indicated that the interpretation (b) conflicts with the previous interpretation (a) and that NERC should clearly state that the current interpretation (b) supersedes interpretation (a). NERC agreed with this comment and noted the approach when the recirculation ballot took place. Two commenters stated that the devices listed in Requirement R17 for reference only should be removed from the requirement. The task force agreed but indicated that the interpretation process cannot make changes to requirements.

NERC conducted the recirculation ballot to the second initial ballot from January 14–January 23, 2008. With 87.65 percent quorum, the interpretation achieved a weighted segment approval of 98.17 percent. NERC thereby recommended that the Board of Trustees approve interpretation (b) for Requirement R17 of BAL-005-0 at its February 12, 2008 meeting and retire interpretation (a) that the Board approved in May 2007. The NERC Board of Trustees approved both actions at the February 2008 meeting.

VII. CONCLUSION

NERC requests that the Commission approve the formal interpretation (b) to BAL-005-0 — Automatic Generation Control, Requirement R17, a Commission-approved NERC Reliability Standard, as set out in Exhibit A-1 in accordance with Section 215(d)(1) of the FPA and Part 39.5 of the Commission's regulations. Further, NERC withdraws its request for approval of formal interpretation (a) of BAL-005-0 — Automatic Generation Control, Requirement R17 that NERC submitted for approval on

December 19, 2007. NERC requests that this interpretation be made effective immediately upon issuance of the Commission's order in this proceeding.

Rick Sergel
President and Chief Executive Officer
David N. Cook
Vice President and General Counsel
North American Electric Reliability Corporation
116-390 Village Boulevard
Princeton, NJ 08540-5721
(609) 452-8060
(609) 452-9550 – facsimile
david.cook@nerc.net

/s/ Rebecca J. Michael
Rebecca J. Michael
Assistant General Counsel
North American Electric Reliability
Corporation
1120 G Street, N.W.
Suite 990
Washington, D.C. 20005-3801
(202) 393-3998
(202) 393-3955 – facsimile

rebecca.michael@nerc.net

Respectfully submitted,

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have served a copy of the foregoing document upon all parties listed on the official service list compiled by the Secretary in this proceeding.

Dated at Washington, D.C. this 15th day of April, 2008.

/s/ Rebecca J. Michael Rebecca J. Michael

Attorney for North American Electric Reliability Corporation

Exhibit A-1

Interpretation of Reliability Standard BAL-005-0, Requirement R17 Proposed for Approval

Request: *PGE* requests clarification regarding the measuring devices for which the requirement applies, specifically clarification if the requirement applies to the following measuring devices:

- Only equipment within the operations control room
- Only equipment that provides values used to calculate AGC ACE
- Only equipment that provides values to its SCADA system
- Only equipment owned or operated by the BA
- Only to new or replacement equipment
- To all equipment that a BA owns or operates

BAL-005-1

R17. Each Balancing Authority shall at least annually check and calibrate its time error and frequency devices against a common reference. The Balancing Authority shall adhere to the minimum values for measuring devices as listed below:

Device	Accuracy
Digital frequency transducer	≤ 0.001 Hz
MW, MVAR, and voltage transducer	≤ 0.25% of full scale
Remote terminal unit	≤ 0.25% of full scale
Potential transformer	≤ 0.30% of full scale
Current transformer	≤ 0.50% of full scale

Existing Interpretation Approved by Board of Trustees May 2, 2007

BAL-005-0, Requirement 17 requires that the Balancing Authority check and calibrate its control room time error and frequency devices against a common reference at least annually. The requirement to "annually check and calibrate" does not address any devices outside of the operations control room.

The table represents the design accuracy of the listed devices. There is no requirement within the standard to "annually check and calibrate" the devices listed in the table, unless they are included in the control center time error and frequency devices.

Interpretation:

As noted in the existing interpretation, BAL-005-1 Requirement 17 applies only to the time error and frequency devices that provide, or in the case of back-up equipment may provide, input into the reporting or compliance ACE equation or provide real-time time error or frequency information to the system operator. Frequency inputs from other sources that are for reference only are excluded. The time error and frequency measurement devices may not necessarily be located in the system operations control room or owned by the Balancing Authority; however the Balancing Authority has the responsibility for the accuracy of the frequency and time error measurement devices. No other devices are included in R 17. The other devices listed in the table at the end of R17 are for reference only and do not have any mandatory calibration or accuracy requirements.

New or replacement equipment that provides the same functions noted above requires the same calibrations. Some devices used for time error and frequency measurement cannot be calibrated as such. In this case, these devices should be cross-checked against other properly calibrated equipment and replaced if the devices do not meet the required level of accuracy.

Exhibit A-2

Reliability Standard BAL-005-0b

A. Introduction

1. Title: Automatic Generation Control

2. Number: BAL-005-0b

3. Purpose:

This standard establishes requirements for Balancing Authority Automatic Generation Control (AGC) necessary to calculate Area Control Error (ACE) and to routinely deploy the Regulating Reserve. The standard also ensures that all facilities and load electrically synchronized to the Interconnection are included within the metered boundary of a Balancing Area so that balancing of resources and demand can be achieved.

4. Applicability:

- **4.1.** Balancing Authorities
- **4.2.** Generator Operators
- **4.3.** Transmission Operators
- **4.4.** Load Serving Entities
- **5. Effective Date:** Immediately after approval of applicable regulatory authorities.

B. Requirements

- **R1.** All generation, transmission, and load operating within an Interconnection must be included within the metered boundaries of a Balancing Authority Area.
 - **R1.1.** Each Generator Operator with generation facilities operating in an Interconnection shall ensure that those generation facilities are included within the metered boundaries of a Balancing Authority Area.
 - **R1.2.** Each Transmission Operator with transmission facilities operating in an Interconnection shall ensure that those transmission facilities are included within the metered boundaries of a Balancing Authority Area.
 - **R1.3.** Each Load-Serving Entity with load operating in an Interconnection shall ensure that those loads are included within the metered boundaries of a Balancing Authority Area.
- **R2.** Each Balancing Authority shall maintain Regulating Reserve that can be controlled by AGC to meet the Control Performance Standard.
- **R3.** A Balancing Authority providing Regulation Service shall ensure that adequate metering, communications, and control equipment are employed to prevent such service from becoming a Burden on the Interconnection or other Balancing Authority Areas.
- **R4.** A Balancing Authority providing Regulation Service shall notify the Host Balancing Authority for whom it is controlling if it is unable to provide the service, as well as any Intermediate Balancing Authorities.
- **R5.** A Balancing Authority receiving Regulation Service shall ensure that backup plans are in place to provide replacement Regulation Service should the supplying Balancing Authority no longer be able to provide this service.
- **R6.** The Balancing Authority's AGC shall compare total Net Actual Interchange to total Net Scheduled Interchange plus Frequency Bias obligation to determine the Balancing Authority's ACE. Single Balancing Authorities operating asynchronously may employ alternative ACE calculations such as (but not limited to) flat frequency control. If a Balancing Authority is unable to calculate ACE for more than 30 minutes it shall notify its Reliability Coordinator.
- **R7.** The Balancing Authority shall operate AGC continuously unless such operation adversely impacts the reliability of the Interconnection. If AGC has become inoperative, the Balancing

- Authority shall use manual control to adjust generation to maintain the Net Scheduled Interchange.
- **R8.** The Balancing Authority shall ensure that data acquisition for and calculation of ACE occur at least every six seconds.
 - **R8.1.** Each Balancing Authority shall provide redundant and independent frequency metering equipment that shall automatically activate upon detection of failure of the primary source. This overall installation shall provide a minimum availability of 99.95%.
- **R9.** The Balancing Authority shall include all Interchange Schedules with Adjacent Balancing Authorities in the calculation of Net Scheduled Interchange for the ACE equation.
 - **R9.1.** Balancing Authorities with a high voltage direct current (HVDC) link to another Balancing Authority connected asynchronously to their Interconnection may choose to omit the Interchange Schedule related to the HVDC link from the ACE equation if it is modeled as internal generation or load.
- **R10.** The Balancing Authority shall include all Dynamic Schedules in the calculation of Net Scheduled Interchange for the ACE equation.
- **R11.** Balancing Authorities shall include the effect of ramp rates, which shall be identical and agreed to between affected Balancing Authorities, in the Scheduled Interchange values to calculate ACE.
- **R12.** Each Balancing Authority shall include all Tie Line flows with Adjacent Balancing Authority Areas in the ACE calculation.
 - **R12.1.** Balancing Authorities that share a tie shall ensure Tie Line MW metering is telemetered to both control centers, and emanates from a common, agreed-upon source using common primary metering equipment. Balancing Authorities shall ensure that megawatt-hour data is telemetered or reported at the end of each hour.
 - **R12.2.** Balancing Authorities shall ensure the power flow and ACE signals that are utilized for calculating Balancing Authority performance or that are transmitted for Regulation Service are not filtered prior to transmission, except for the Anti-aliasing Filters of Tie Lines.
 - **R12.3.** Balancing Authorities shall install common metering equipment where Dynamic Schedules or Pseudo-Ties are implemented between two or more Balancing Authorities to deliver the output of Jointly Owned Units or to serve remote load.
- R13. Each Balancing Authority shall perform hourly error checks using Tie Line megawatt-hour meters with common time synchronization to determine the accuracy of its control equipment. The Balancing Authority shall adjust the component (e.g., Tie Line meter) of ACE that is in error (if known) or use the interchange meter error (I_{ME}) term of the ACE equation to compensate for any equipment error until repairs can be made.
- **R14.** The Balancing Authority shall provide its operating personnel with sufficient instrumentation and data recording equipment to facilitate monitoring of control performance, generation response, and after-the-fact analysis of area performance. As a minimum, the Balancing Authority shall provide its operating personnel with real-time values for ACE, Interconnection frequency and Net Actual Interchange with each Adjacent Balancing Authority Area.
- **R15.** The Balancing Authority shall provide adequate and reliable backup power supplies and shall periodically test these supplies at the Balancing Authority's control center and other critical locations to ensure continuous operation of AGC and vital data recording equipment during loss of the normal power supply.
- **R16.** The Balancing Authority shall sample data at least at the same periodicity with which ACE is calculated. The Balancing Authority shall flag missing or bad data for operator display and

archival purposes. The Balancing Authority shall collect coincident data to the greatest practical extent, i.e., ACE, Interconnection frequency, Net Actual Interchange, and other data shall all be sampled at the same time.

R17. Each Balancing Authority shall at least annually check and calibrate its time error and frequency devices against a common reference. The Balancing Authority shall adhere to the minimum values for measuring devices as listed below:

Device	Accuracy
Digital frequency transducer	\leq 0.001 Hz
MW, MVAR, and voltage transducer	≤ 0.25 % of full scale
Remote terminal unit	≤ 0.25 % of full scale
Potential transformer	≤ 0.30 % of full scale
Current transformer	≤ 0.50 % of full scale

C. Measures

Not specified.

D. Compliance

1. Compliance Monitoring Process

1.1. Compliance Monitoring Responsibility

Balancing Authorities shall be prepared to supply data to NERC in the format defined below:

- **1.1.1.** Within one week upon request, Balancing Authorities shall provide NERC or the Regional Reliability Organization CPS source data in daily CSV files with time stamped one minute averages of: 1) ACE and 2) Frequency Error.
- **1.1.2.** Within one week upon request, Balancing Authorities shall provide NERC or the Regional Reliability Organization DCS source data in CSV files with time stamped scan rate values for: 1) ACE and 2) Frequency Error for a time period of two minutes prior to thirty minutes after the identified Disturbance.

1.2. Compliance Monitoring Period and Reset Timeframe

Not specified.

1.3. Data Retention

- **1.3.1.** Each Balancing Authority shall retain its ACE, actual frequency, Scheduled Frequency, Net Actual Interchange, Net Scheduled Interchange, Tie Line meter error correction and Frequency Bias Setting data in digital format at the same scan rate at which the data is collected for at least one year.
- **1.3.2.** Each Balancing Authority or Reserve Sharing Group shall retain documentation of the magnitude of each Reportable Disturbance as well as the ACE charts and/or samples used to calculate Balancing Authority or Reserve Sharing Group disturbance recovery values. The data shall be retained for one year following the reporting quarter for which the data was recorded.

1.4. Additional Compliance Information

Not specified.

2. Levels of Non-Compliance

Not specified.

E. Regional Differences

None identified.

F. Associated Documents

1. Appendix 1 – Interpretation of Requirement R17 (February 12, 2008).

Version History

Version	Date	Action	Change Tracking
0	April 1, 2005	Effective Date	New
0	August 8, 2005	Removed "Proposed" from Effective Date	Errata
0a	December 19, 2007	Added Appendix 1 – Interpretation (a) of R17 approved by BOT on May 2, 2007	Addition
0a	January 16, 2008	Section F: added "1."; changed hyphen to "en dash." Changed font style for "Appendix 1" to Arial.	Errata
0b	February 12, 2008	Replaced Appendix 1 – Interpretation (a) of R17 with Interpretation (b) of R17 approved by BOT on February 12, 2008.	Replacement

Appendix 1

Request: *PGE* requests clarification regarding the measuring devices for which the requirement applies, specifically clarification if the requirement applies to the following measuring devices:

- *Only equipment within the operations control room*
- Only equipment that provides values used to calculate AGC ACE
- Only equipment that provides values to its SCADA system
- Only equipment owned or operated by the BA
- Only to new or replacement equipment
- To all equipment that a BA owns or operates

BAL-005-1

R17. Each Balancing Authority shall at least annually check and calibrate its time error and frequency devices against a common reference. The Balancing Authority shall adhere to the minimum values for measuring devices as listed below:

Device	Accuracy
Digital frequency transducer	≤ 0.001 Hz
MW, MVAR, and voltage transducer	≤ 0.25% of full scale
Remote terminal unit	≤ 0.25% of full scale
Potential transformer	≤ 0.30% of full scale
Current transformer	≤ 0.50% of full scale

Existing Interpretation Approved by Board of Trustees May 2, 2007

BAL-005-0, Requirement 17 requires that the Balancing Authority check and calibrate its control room time error and frequency devices against a common reference at least annually. The requirement to "annually check and calibrate" does not address any devices outside of the operations control room.

The table represents the design accuracy of the listed devices. There is no requirement within the standard to "annually check and calibrate" the devices listed in the table, unless they are included in the control center time error and frequency devices.

Interpretation:

As noted in the existing interpretation, BAL-005-1 Requirement 17 applies only to the time error and frequency devices that provide, or in the case of back-up equipment may provide, input into the reporting or compliance ACE equation or provide real-time time error or frequency information to the system operator. Frequency inputs from other sources that are for reference only are excluded. The time error and frequency measurement devices may not necessarily be located in the system operations control room or owned by the Balancing Authority; however the Balancing Authority has the responsibility for the accuracy of the frequency and time error measurement devices. No other devices are included in R 17. The other devices listed in the table at the end of R17 are for reference only and do not have any mandatory calibration or accuracy requirements.

New or replacement equipment that provides the same functions noted above requires the same calibrations. Some devices used for time error and frequency measurement cannot be calibrated as such. In this case, these devices should be cross-checked against other properly calibrated equipment and replaced if the devices do not meet the required level of accuracy.

Exhibit A-3

Record of Development of Formal Interpretation for BAL-005-0, Requirement R17

Interpretation - BAL-005 - Automatic Generation Control Project 2007-25

Registered Ballot Body | Reliability Standards Home Page | Related Files | Drafting Team Rosters

Status

Approved by the Board of Trustees on February 12, 2008.

Purpose/Industry Need

In accordance with the Reliability Standards Development Procedure, the interpretation must be posted for a 30-day pre-ballot review, and then balloted. There is no public comment period for an interpretation. Balloting will be conducted following the same method used for balloting standards. If the interpretation is approved by its ballot pool, then the interpretation will be appended to the standard and will become effective when adopted by the NERC Board of Trustees and approved by the applicable regulatory authorities. The interpretation will remain appended to the standard until the standard is revised through the normal standards development process. When the standard is revised, the clarifications provided by the interpretation will be incorporated into the revised standard.

Proposed Standard	Supporting Documents	Comment Period	Comments Received	Response to Comments
Posted for Board of Trustees Approval on February 12, 2008				
Interpretation (23)				
BAL-005-1, Requirement 17 - Automatic Generation Control				
Announcement (20)				Announcement (22)
Interpretation (19)		January 14– January 23, 2008		Recirculation
BAL-005-1, Requirement 17 - Automatic Generation Control Posted for 10-day Recirculation Ballot Window		(closed)		Ballot Summary (21)
Interpretation (14)	PGE			Announcement (18)
BAL-005-1, Requirement 17 - Automatic Generation Control Posted for extended 10-day Ballot Window	Request for Interpretation (15)	December 19– January 4, 2008		Ballot Summary (17)
	BAL-005-1, Requirement 17	(closed)		Consideration of Ballot Comments (16)
Announcement (12)	PGE	11/19/07–		
BAL-005-1, Requirement 17 - Automatic Generation Control Posted for 30-day Pre-ballot Review	Request for Interpretation (13)	12/04/07 (closed)		
Interpretation Clean (10) Redline (11) to last posted	BAL-005-1, Requirement 17	30-day Pre-ballot Review		

Announcement (5)	PGE		Announcement (9)
Interpretation (4) BAL-005-1, Requirement 17 - Automatic Generation Control	Request for Interpretation (6)	10/18/07– 10/29/07 (closed)	Ballot Summary (8)
Posted for 10-day Ballot Window	BAL-005-1, Requirement 17	Ballot Window	Consideration of Ballot Comments (7)
Announcement (2)	PGE		
Interpretation (1)	Request for Interpretation	09/19/07– 10/18/07	
BAL-005-1, Requirement 17 - Automatic Generation Control Posted for 30-day Pre-ballot Review	(3) BAL-005-1,	Pre-ballot Review (closed)	
	Requirement 17		

To download a file click on the file using your right mouse button, then save it to your computer in a directory of your choice.

Documents in the PDF format require use of the Adobe Reader® software. Free Adobe Reader® software allows anyone view and print Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF) files. For more information download the Adobe Reader User Guide.





Interpretation of BAL-005-1 Automatic Generation Control, R17

Request for Clarification received from PGE on July 31, 2007

PGE requests clarification regarding the measuring devices for which the requirement applies, specifically clarification if the requirement applies to the following measuring devices:

- *Only equipment within the operations control room*
- Only equipment that provides values used to calculate AGC ACE
- Only equipment that provides values to its SCADA system
- Only equipment owned or operated by the BA
- Only to new or replacement equipment
- To all equipment that a BA owns or operates

BAL-005-1

R17. Each Balancing Authority shall at least annually check and calibrate its time error and frequency devices against a common reference. The Balancing Authority shall adhere to the minimum values for measuring devices as listed below:

Device	Accuracy
Digital frequency transducer	≤ 0.001 Hz
MW, MVAR, and voltage transducer	≤ 0.25% of full scale
Remote terminal unit	≤ 0.25% of full scale
Potential transformer	≤ 0.30% of full scale
Current transformer	≤ 0.50% of full scale

Existing Interpretation Approved by Board of Trustees May 2, 2007

BAL-005-0, Requirement 17 requires that the Balancing Authority check and calibrate its control room time error and frequency devices against a common reference at least annually. The requirement to "annually check and calibrate" does not address any devices outside of the operations control room.

The table represents the design accuracy of the listed devices. There is no requirement within the standard to "annually check and calibrate" the devices listed in the table, unless they are included in the control center time error and frequency devices.

Interpretation provided by NERC Frequency Task Force on September 7, 2007

As noted in the existing interpretation, BAL-005-1 Requirement 17 applies only to the time error and frequency devices that provide, or in the case of back-up equipment may provide, input into the ACE equation or provide real-time time error or frequency information to the system operator. The time error and frequency measurement devices may not necessarily be located in the operations control room or owned by the BA; however the BA has the responsibility for the accuracy of the frequency and time error measurement devices. No other devices are included in R 17.

New or replacement equipment that provides the same functions noted above requires the same calibrations. Some devices used for time error and frequency measurement cannot be calibrated as such. In this case, these devices should be cross-checked against other properly calibrated equipment and replaced if the devices do not meet the required level of accuracy.



September 19, 2007

TO: REGISTERED BALLOT BODY

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Announcement: Pre-ballot Windows and Ballot Pools Open September 19, 2007

The Standards Committee (SC) announces the following standards action:

Pre-ballot Window and Ballot Pool for Interpretation of CIP-006-1 (for SCE&G) Opens September 19, 2007

South Carolina Electric & Gas Company submitted a Request for an Interpretation of CIP-006-1 — Physical Security of Critical Cyber Assets. The request asked if dial-up remote terminal units (RTUs) that use non-routable protocols and have dial-up access are required to have six-wall perimeters or are only required to have electronic security perimeters.

The <u>Interpretation</u> clarifies that if dial-up assets are classified as critical cyber assets in accordance with CIP-002-1, the assets must reside within an electronic security perimeter; however, physical security control over a critical cyber asset is not required if that asset does not have a routable protocol. Entities are not required to enclose dial-up RTUs that do not use routable protocols within a six-wall border.

A new <u>ballot pool</u> to vote on this interpretation has been formed and will remain open up until 8 a.m. (EDT) on Thursday, October 18, 2007. During the pre-ballot window, members of the ballot pool may communicate with one another by using their "ballot pool list server." The list server for this ballot pool is: <u>bp-interp_cip-006_sceg_in@nerc.com</u>

The initial ballot for this interpretation will begin at 8 a.m. (EDT) on Thursday, October 18, 2007.

Pre-ballot Window and Ballot Pool for Interpretation of BAL-005 Requirement R17 (for PGE) Opens September 19, 2007

Portland General Electric Company submitted a Request for an Interpretation of BAL-005-1 — Automatic Generation Control Requirement R17. The request asked if the requirement to annually check and calibrate time error and frequency devices applies to the following measuring devices:

- Only equipment within the operations control room
- Only equipment that provides values used to calculate automatic generation control area control error
- Only equipment that provides values to its SCADA system
- Only equipment owned or operated by the balancing authority
- Only to new or replacement equipment

Phone: 609.452.8060 • Fax: 609.452.9550 • www.nerc.com

REGISTERED BALLOT BODY September 19, 2007 Page Two

- To all equipment that a balancing authority owns or operates

The <u>Interpretation</u> clarifies that Requirement R17 applies only to the time error and frequency devices that provide, or in the case of back-up equipment may provide, input into the ACE equation or provide real-time time error or frequency information to the system operator. The time error and frequency measurement devices may not necessarily be located in the operations control room or owned by the balancing authority; however, the balancing authority has the responsibility for the accuracy of the frequency and time error measurement devices. No other devices are included in Requirement 17.

New or replacement equipment that provides the same functions noted above requires the same calibrations. Some devices used for time error and frequency measurement cannot be calibrated as such. In this case, these devices should be cross-checked against other properly calibrated equipment and replaced if the devices do not meet the required level of accuracy.

A new <u>ballot pool</u> to vote on this interpretation has been formed and will remain open up until 8 a.m. (EDT) on Thursday, October 18, 2007. During the pre-ballot window, members of the ballot pool may communicate with one another by using their "ballot pool list server." The list server for this ballot pool is: <u>bp-interp_bal-005_pge_in@nerc.com</u>

The initial ballot for this interpretation will begin at 8 a.m. (EDT) on Thursday, October 18, 2007.

Standards Development Process

The <u>Reliability Standards Development Procedure</u> contains all the procedures governing the standards development process. The success of the NERC standards development process depends on stakeholder participation. We extend our thanks to all those who participate. If you have any questions, please contact me at 813-468-5998 or <u>maureen.long@nerc.net</u>.

Sincerely,

Maureen E. Long

cc: Registered Ballot Body Registered Users Standards Mailing List NERC Roster



July 31, 2007

Via Electronic Mail and Overnight Delivery

Gerard Adamski Director of Standards North American Electric Reliability Corporation 116-390 Village Boulevard Princeton, New Jersey 08540-5721

Re: Portland General Electric Company

Request for Interpretation of NERC Standard BAL-005-0 R.17

Dear Mr. Adamski:

Portland General Electric Company (PGE) is seeking interpretation of Requirement 17 of North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC) Reliability Standard BAL-005-0. This requirement is applicable to PGE in its registered role as a Balancing Authority by FERC Order No. 693. PGE is submitting this request for interpretation under the guidelines set out in "Interpretations of Standards" under the "Special Procedures" section of Version 6.1 of NERC's Reliability Standards Development Procedure.

Requirement 17 of BAL-005-0 reads as follows:

R17. Each Balancing Authority shall at least annually check and calibrate its time error and frequency devices against a common reference. The Balancing Authority shall adhere to the minimum values for measuring devices as listed below:

Device	Accuracy
Digital frequency transducer	≤ 0.001 Hz
MW, MVAR, and voltage transducer	$\leq 0.25 \%$ of full scale
Remote terminal unit	≤ 0.25 % of full scale
Potential transformer	≤ 0.30 % of full scale
Current transformer	$\leq 0.50 \%$ of full scale

Mandatory Reliability Standards for the Bulk-Power System, 118 FERC ¶ 61,218, Issued March 16, 2007.

Gerard Adamski July 31, 2007 Page 2

PGE has reviewed the Request for Clarification of this requirement received by NERC on December 21, 2006. PGE has also reviewed the new version of the Standard, BAL-005-1, which includes the clarification proposed by NERC's Resources Subcommittee and approved by the Board of Trustees on May 2, 2007.² This clarification addresses the first sentence of the Requirement, and explains that the phrase "annually check and calibrate" applies only to devices within the operations control room. However, PGE believes that this clarification still leaves several areas of ambiguity regarding this standard, specifically:

Which equipment is included in the phrase "measuring devices as listed below", in particular, does this phrase apply:

- (a) only to equipment within the operations control room?
- (b) only to equipment that provides values used to calculate AGC ACE?
- (c) only to equipment that provides values to PGE's SCADA system?
- (d) only to the equipment owned or operated by the BA?
- (e) only to new or replacement equipment?
- (f) to all such equipment that a BA owns or operates?

PGE's understanding is that this standard is intended to apply to the BA's new or replacement equipment which provides values used to calculate AGC ACE. Applying this standard more widely – for example, applying it to existing equipment – could cause PGE and other utilities within the region to spend significant amounts of money with little or no actual improvement to system reliability.

Thank you for the opportunity to seek clarification through NERC's interpretation process. If you have any questions regarding this request for interpretation, please do not he sitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Mike Ryan

Manager, Control Area and Scheduling Operations

Portland General Electric Co.

(503) 464-8793

mike.ryan@pgn.com

Information on Board of Trustees activity is taken from Draft Minutes of the May 2, 2007, Board of Trustees Meeting, posted on NERC's website.



Interpretation of BAL-005-1 Automatic Generation Control, R17

Request for Clarification received from PGE on July 31, 2007

PGE requests clarification regarding the measuring devices for which the requirement applies, specifically clarification if the requirement applies to the following measuring devices:

- *Only equipment within the operations control room*
- Only equipment that provides values used to calculate AGC ACE
- Only equipment that provides values to its SCADA system
- Only equipment owned or operated by the BA
- Only to new or replacement equipment
- To all equipment that a BA owns or operates

BAL-005-1

R17. Each Balancing Authority shall at least annually check and calibrate its time error and frequency devices against a common reference. The Balancing Authority shall adhere to the minimum values for measuring devices as listed below:

Device	Accuracy
Digital frequency transducer	≤ 0.001 Hz
MW, MVAR, and voltage transducer	≤ 0.25% of full scale
Remote terminal unit	≤ 0.25% of full scale
Potential transformer	≤ 0.30% of full scale
Current transformer	≤ 0.50% of full scale

Existing Interpretation Approved by Board of Trustees May 2, 2007

BAL-005-0, Requirement 17 requires that the Balancing Authority check and calibrate its control room time error and frequency devices against a common reference at least annually. The requirement to "annually check and calibrate" does not address any devices outside of the operations control room.

The table represents the design accuracy of the listed devices. There is no requirement within the standard to "annually check and calibrate" the devices listed in the table, unless they are included in the control center time error and frequency devices.

Interpretation provided by NERC Frequency Task Force on September 7, 2007

As noted in the existing interpretation, BAL-005-1 Requirement 17 applies only to the time error and frequency devices that provide, or in the case of back-up equipment may provide, input into the ACE equation or provide real-time time error or frequency information to the system operator. The time error and frequency measurement devices may not necessarily be located in the operations control room or owned by the BA; however the BA has the responsibility for the accuracy of the frequency and time error measurement devices. No other devices are included in R 17.

New or replacement equipment that provides the same functions noted above requires the same calibrations. Some devices used for time error and frequency measurement cannot be calibrated as such. In this case, these devices should be cross-checked against other properly calibrated equipment and replaced if the devices do not meet the required level of accuracy.



October 18, 2007

TO: REGISTERED BALLOT BODY

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Announcement: Initial Ballot Windows, Pre-ballot Review Period, and Ballot Pool Open

The Standards Committee (SC) announces the following standards actions:

Initial Ballot Window for Urgent Action Revisions to BAL-004 is Open

The NERC Operating Committee has submitted an <u>Urgent Action SAR</u> to revise BAL-004-0 — Time Error Correction to remove the following from BAL-004:

- **Requirement 1, second sentence:** A single Reliability Coordinator in each Interconnection shall be designated by the NERC Operating Committee to serve as Interconnection Time Monitor.
 - Reason for removal: The entities who have been serving as the Interconnection Time Monitors have done so voluntarily. The NERC Operating Committee is not a user, owner, or operator and has no authority to assign a reliability coordinator to serve as the Interconnection Time Monitor. The entities who have been serving as "volunteers" don't want to continue to serve in this role if they are subject to sanctions for non-compliance with Requirement 2, which supports a business practice.
- Requirement 2: The Interconnection Time Monitor shall monitor Time Error and shall initiate
 or terminate corrective action orders in accordance with the NAESB Time Error Correction
 Procedure.
 - Reason for removal: This requires the reliability coordinator to execute a time error correction in accordance with a NAESB business practice.

The initial <u>ballot</u> for the Urgent Action revisions to BAL-004 is open and will remain open until 8 p.m. on Monday, October 29, 2007.

Initial Ballot Window for Interpretation of CIP-006-1 (for SCE&G) is Open

South Carolina Electric & Gas Company submitted a Request for an Interpretation of CIP-006-1 — Physical Security of Critical Cyber Assets. The request asked if dial-up remote terminal units (RTUs) that use non-routable protocols and have dial-up access are required to have six-wall perimeters or are only required to have electronic security perimeters.

The <u>Interpretation</u> clarifies that if dial-up assets are classified as critical cyber assets in accordance with CIP-002-1, the assets must reside within an electronic security perimeter; however, physical security control over a critical cyber asset is not required if that asset does not have a routable protocol. Entities are not required to enclose dial-up RTUs that do not use routable protocols within a six-wall border.

The initial <u>ballot</u> for the interpretation of CIP-006-1 is open and will remain open until 8 p.m. on Monday, October 29, 2007.

Initial Ballot Window for Interpretation of BAL-005 Requirement R17 (for PGE) is Open Portland General Electric Company submitted a Request for an Interpretation of BAL-005-1 Automatic Generation Control Requirement R17. The Interpretation asked if the requirement to annually check and calibrate time error and frequency devices applies to the following measuring devices:

- Only equipment within the operations control room
- Only equipment that provides values used to calculate automatic generation control area control error
- Only equipment that provides values to its SCADA system
- Only equipment owned or operated by the balancing authority
- Only to new or replacement equipment
- To all equipment that a balancing authority owns or operates

The <u>Interpretation</u> clarifies that Requirement 17 applies only to the time error and frequency devices that provide, or in the case of back-up equipment may provide, input into the ACE equation or provide real-time error or frequency information to the system operator. The time error and frequency measurement devices may not necessarily be located in the operations control room or owned by the balancing authority; however, the balancing authority has the responsibility for the accuracy of the frequency and time error measurement devices. No other devices are included in Requirement 17.

New or replacement equipment that provides the same functions noted above requires the same calibrations. Some devices used for time error and frequency measurement cannot be calibrated as such. In this case, these devices should be cross-checked against other properly calibrated equipment and replaced if the devices do not meet the required level of accuracy.

The initial <u>ballot</u> for this interpretation of BAL-005 Requirement 17 is open and will remain open until 8 p.m. on Monday, October 29, 2007.

Pre-ballot Window and Ballot Pool for PRC-023-1 — Relay Loadability Opens October 18, 2007

A new standard, PRC-023-1 — <u>Relay Loadability</u>, is posted for a 30-day pre-ballot review through 8 a.m. on November 19, 2007.

This standard was developed to address the cascading transmission outages that occurred in the August 2003 blackout when backup distance and phase relays operated on high loading and low voltage without electrical faults on the protected lines. This is the so-called 'zone 3 relay' issue that has been expanded to address other protection devices subject to unintended operation during extreme system conditions. The proposed standard establishes minimum loadability criteria for these relays to minimize the chance of unnecessary line trips during a major system disturbance.

The ballot for this standard will also include the Relay Loadability Implementation Plan.

REGISTERED BALLOT BODY October 18, 2007 Page Three

The <u>ballot pool</u> to vote on this standard was formed earlier this year and has been re-opened. Anyone who joined the ballot pool earlier this year and is still a valid member of the Registered Ballot Body will not need to re-join the ballot pool. The ballot pool will remain open until 8 a.m. Monday, November 19, 2007. During the pre-ballot window, members of the ballot pool may communicate with one another by using their "ballot pool list server." The list server for this ballot pool is:

bp-Relay Loadability_in@nerc.com

Standards Development Process

The <u>Reliability Standards Development Procedure</u> contains all the procedures governing the standards development process. The success of the NERC standards development process depends on stakeholder participation. We extend our thanks to all those who participate. If you have any questions, please contact me at 813-468-5998 or <u>maureen.long@nerc.net</u>.

Sincerely,

Maareen E. Long

cc: Registered Ballot Body Registered Users Standards Mailing List NERC Roster



July 31, 2007

Via Electronic Mail and Overnight Delivery

Gerard Adamski Director of Standards North American Electric Reliability Corporation 116-390 Village Boulevard Princeton, New Jersey 08540-5721

Re: Portland General Electric Company

Request for Interpretation of NERC Standard BAL-005-0 R.17

Dear Mr. Adamski:

Portland General Electric Company (PGE) is seeking interpretation of Requirement 17 of North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC) Reliability Standard BAL-005-0. This requirement is applicable to PGE in its registered role as a Balancing Authority by FERC Order No. 693. PGE is submitting this request for interpretation under the guidelines set out in "Interpretations of Standards" under the "Special Procedures" section of Version 6.1 of NERC's Reliability Standards Development Procedure.

Requirement 17 of BAL-005-0 reads as follows:

R17. Each Balancing Authority shall at least annually check and calibrate its time error and frequency devices against a common reference. The Balancing Authority shall adhere to the minimum values for measuring devices as listed below:

Device	Accuracy
Digital frequency transducer	≤ 0.001 Hz
MW, MVAR, and voltage transducer	$\leq 0.25 \%$ of full scale
Remote terminal unit	≤ 0.25 % of full scale
Potential transformer	≤ 0.30 % of full scale
Current transformer	≤ 0.50 % of full scale

Mandatory Reliability Standards for the Bulk-Power System, 118 FERC ¶ 61,218, Issued March 16, 2007.

Gerard Adamski July 31, 2007 Page 2

PGE has reviewed the Request for Clarification of this requirement received by NERC on December 21, 2006. PGE has also reviewed the new version of the Standard, BAL-005-1, which includes the clarification proposed by NERC's Resources Subcommittee and approved by the Board of Trustees on May 2, 2007.² This clarification addresses the first sentence of the Requirement, and explains that the phrase "annually check and calibrate" applies only to devices within the operations control room. However, PGE believes that this clarification still leaves several areas of ambiguity regarding this standard, specifically:

Which equipment is included in the phrase "measuring devices as listed below", in particular, does this phrase apply:

- (a) only to equipment within the operations control room?
- (b) only to equipment that provides values used to calculate AGC ACE?
- (c) only to equipment that provides values to PGE's SCADA system?
- (d) only to the equipment owned or operated by the BA?
- (e) only to new or replacement equipment?
- (f) to all such equipment that a BA owns or operates?

PGE's understanding is that this standard is intended to apply to the BA's new or replacement equipment which provides values used to calculate AGC ACE. Applying this standard more widely – for example, applying it to existing equipment – could cause PGE and other utilities within the region to spend significant amounts of money with little or no actual improvement to system reliability.

Thank you for the opportunity to seek clarification through NERC's interpretation process. If you have any questions regarding this request for interpretation, please do not he sitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Mike Ryan

Manager, Control Area and Scheduling Operations

Portland General Electric Co.

(503) 464-8793

mike.ryan@pgn.com

Information on Board of Trustees activity is taken from Draft Minutes of the May 2, 2007, Board of Trustees Meeting, posted on NERC's website.



Consideration of Comments on Initial Ballot for Interpretation of BAL-005-1 — Automatic Generation Control Requirement 17 for Portland General Electric

Summary Consideration: Based on the comments submitted with initial ballots for the interpretation of BAL-005-1 Requirement 17, the drafting team (Frequency Task Force) has modified the interpretation as shown below:

As noted in the existing interpretation, BAL-005-1 Requirement 17 applies only to the time error and frequency devices that provide, or in the case of back-up equipment may provide, input into the reporting or compliance ACE equation or provide real-time time error or frequency information to the system operator. Frequency inputs from other sources that are for reference only are excluded. The time error and frequency measurement devices may not necessarily be located in the system operations control room or owned by the Balancing Authority; however, the Balancing Authority has the responsibility for the accuracy of the frequency and time error measurement devices. No other devices are included in R 17. The other devices listed in the table at the end of R17 are for reference only and do not have any mandatory calibration or accuracy requirements.

New or replacement equipment that provides the same functions noted above requires the same calibrations. Some devices used for time error and frequency measurement cannot be calibrated as such. In this case, these devices should be cross-checked against other properly calibrated equipment and replaced if the devices do not meet the required level of accuracy.

Because the interpretation was modified, the drafting team is posting the revised interpretation for another 30-day review period before conducting another initial ballot.

Organization:	Ameren Services Company
Member:	Kirit S. Shah
Comment:	While we know the intent of the requirement is for the devices that provide input to the ACE equation or to the frequency chart displayed to the system operator. However, the standard/interpretation is still ambiguous. The phrase "frequency information to the system operator" may include lots of frequency sensors in plants and substations that provide referential input only. We recommend the requirement language to state the intent very clearly.
Response:	 The Frequency Task Force added the following clarifying statements to the interpretation: "Frequency inputs from other sources that are for reference only are excluded." "The other devices listed in the table at the end of R17 are for reference only and do not have any mandatory calibration or accuracy requirements." With the clarifying statements that have been added to the interpretation, the scope is limited to input to the ACE equation only.
Organization:	Avista Corp.
Member:	Scott Kinney
Comment:	Avista votes against the new interpretation of BAL-005-1 for the following reasons. The title and purpose of BAL-005 refers specifically to "Automatic Generation Control"

Phone: 609.452.8060 • Fax: 609.452.9550 • www.nerc.com

and the initial interpretation of BAL-005 focused the scope onto only the control center equipment. This new interpretation contradicts the Title, the Purpose, and the previous interpretation and extends the scope of BAL-005, R17 far beyond AGC input equipment. The interpretation extends R17 to include any equipment which "provide(s) real-time time error or frequency information to the system operator." New substation equipment and technology, such as meters and relays, provide builtin frequency measurement capabilities. In these new substation installations utilities can telemetering substation frequency measurements and present them to system operators by means of SCADA displays. The intention is to improve the system operator's situational awareness in the event of a system breakup, islanding, or blackout recovery. These values are not inputs to AGC. Frequency measurements are also received from neighboring BAs to provide additional situational awareness and are not inputs to AGC. The affect of this interpretation will likely cause the removal of existing situational awareness frequency telemetry points to avoid the yearly calibration requirement for this remote and foreign owned equipment. This defeats the purpose of providing the operators additional system information to help understand the condition of the system. Avista believes that the interpretation should be modified as follows. "As noted in the existing interpretation, BAL-005-1 Requirement 17 applies only to the time error and frequency devices that provide, or in the case of back-up equipment may provide, input into the ACE equation or provide real-time time error or frequency information to the system operator." This yields an interpretation which promotes the validity of the ACE calculation, through calibration of the inputs, without expanding the scope of the standard to non-AGC measurements that only provide additional information to system operators.

Response: The Frequency Task Force does not agree that the scope of the standard changes with this interpretation and has added the following clarifying statements to the interpretation:

- "Frequency inputs from other sources that are for reference only are excluded.'
- "The other devices listed in the table at the end of R17 are for reference only and do not have any mandatory calibration or accuracy requirements."

With the clarifying statements that have been added to the interpretation, the scope is limited to input to the ACE equation only.

Organization: Hydro-Quebec TransEnergie

Member: Julien Gagnon

Comment: Even with this interpretation, it is not clear and measurable.

Response: The Frequency Task Force added the following clarifying statements to the interpretation:

- "Frequency inputs from other sources that are for reference only are excluded."
- "The other devices listed in the table at the end of R17 are for reference only and do not have any mandatory calibration or accuracy requirements."

With the clarifying statements that have been added to the interpretation, the scope is limited to input to the ACE equation only.

Organization: New Brunswick Power Transmission Corporation

Member: Wayne N. Snowdon

Comment: The interpretation was confusing and the clarification seems to make the standard even vaguer. Further work needs to be done on the interpretation to make it crisp

	clear and measurable.
Response:	The Frequency Task Force added the following clarifying statements to the interpretation:
	 "Frequency inputs from other sources that are for reference only are excluded."
	 "The other devices listed in the table at the end of R17 are for reference only and do not have any mandatory calibration or accuracy requirements."
	With the clarifying statements that have been added to the interpretation, the scope is limited to input to the ACE equation only.
Organization:	SaskPower
Member:	Wayne Guttormson
Comment:	The following are the comments of SaskPower and the Saskatchewan Regulatory Jurisdiction. The design accuracy requirements for R17 should only apply to new equipment. Unless NERC can demonstrate a serious reliability impact from existing equipment not meeting these specific accuracy requirements that can not be mitigated in some other fashion if need be. The Saskatchewan Regulatory Jurisdiction reminds NERC that it does not have the authority to mandate the addition or replacement of transmission facilities, including the ones listed in R17. We also note that the new interpretation seems to go beyond the original approved interpretation and expands the scope to devices outside of the operations control room.
Response:	R17 is applicable to all frequency meters used as inputs to the ACE equation. This is not a change from the approved BAL-005-1.
	The Frequency Task Force added the following clarifying statements to the interpretation:
	 "Frequency inputs from other sources that are for reference only are excluded."
	 "The other devices listed in the table at the end of R17 are for reference only and do not have any mandatory calibration or accuracy requirements."
	With the clarifying statements that have been added to the interpretation, the scope is limited to input to the ACE equation only.
Organization:	Alberta Electric System Operator
Member:	Anita Lee
Comment:	The new proposed interpretation seems to contradict the last approved interpretation: The last approved interpretation states: "The requirement to "annually check and calibrate" does not address any devices outside of the operations control room". The new proposed interpretation states: "The time error and frequency measurement devices may not necessarily be located in the operations control room or owned by the BA; however the BA has the responsibility for the accuracy of the frequency and time error measurement devices." It is not appropriate for the BA to be responsible for devices that are owned and/or operated by some other functional entity.
Response:	The Frequency Task Force disagrees. The BA is responsible for the accuracy of all frequency inputs to the ACE equation regardless of ownership. The Frequency Task Force added the following clarifying statements to the interpretation:
	 "Frequency inputs from other sources that are for reference only are excluded."
	"The other devices listed in the table at the end of R17 are for reference only

and do not have any mandatory calibration or accuracy requirements."

With the clarifying statements that have been added to the interpretation, the scope is limited to input to the ACE equation only.

Organization: Avista Corp.

Member: Edward F. Groce

Comment: Avista votes against the new interpretation of BAL-005-1 for the following reasons. The title and purpose of BAL-005 refers specifically to "Automatic Generation Control" and the initial interpretation of BAL-005 focused the scope onto only the control center equipment. This new interpretation contradicts the Title, the Purpose, and the previous interpretation and extends the scope of BAL-005. R17 far beyond AGC input equipment. The interpretation extends R17 to include any equipment which "provide(s) real-time time error or frequency information to the system operator." New substation equipment and technology, such as meters and relays, provide builtin frequency measurement capabilities. In these new substation installations utilities can telemeter substation frequency measurements and present them to system operators by means of SCADA displays. The intention is to improve the system operator's situational awareness in the event of a system breakup, islanding, or blackout recovery. These values are not inputs to AGC. Frequency measurements are also received from neighboring BAs to provide additional situational awareness and are not inputs to AGC. The affect of this interpretation will likely cause the removal of existing situational awareness frequency telemetry points to avoid the yearly calibration requirement for this remote and foreign owned equipment. This defeats the purpose of providing the operators additional system information to help understand the condition of the system. Avista believes that the interpretation should be modified as follows. "BAL-005-1 Requirement 17 applies only to the time error and frequency devices that provide, or in the case of back-up equipment may provide, input into the ACE equation." This yields an interpretation which promotes the validity of the ACE calculation, through calibration of the inputs, without expanding the scope of the standard to non-AGC measurements that only provide additional information to system operators.

Response: The Frequency Task Force does not agree that the scope of the standard changes with this interpretation and added the following clarifying statements to the interpretation:

- "Frequency inputs from other sources that are for reference only are excluded."
- "The other devices listed in the table at the end of R17 are for reference only and do not have any mandatory calibration or accuracy requirements."

With the clarifying statements that have been added to the interpretation, the scope is limited to input to the ACE equation only.

Organization: Entergy Services, Inc.

Member: William Franklin

Comment: It is not clear what happens to the previous interpretation for this Requirement. The proposed interpretation conflicts with the existing interpretation. Specifically, the existing requirement states that "the requirement to annually check and calibrate does not address any devices outside of the operations control room" but the new interpretation states that "The time error and frequency measurement devices may not necessarily be located in the operations control room..." The implementation plan of the proposed interpretation should also supersede the current interpretation. Additionally, if the intent of the Requirement is to ensure that the entire frequency monitoring circuit is calibrated then state as such (from point of sensing to display).

Response: R17 only addresses frequency and time error devices that provide input to the ACE equation. The other devices listed in the table at the end of R17 are for reference only and do not have any mandatory calibration or accuracy requirements. At the time the requirement and first interpretation were prepared, the phrase "in the operations control room" was intended to include the frequency inputs used in the ACE equation. This language has proven to be ambiguous and should have focused on the frequency devices that provide input to the ACE equation, not the physical location of the devices within the BA area. The Frequency Task Force added the following clarifying statements to the interpretation: "Frequency inputs from other sources that are for reference only are excluded." "The other devices listed in the table at the end of R17 are for reference only and do not have any mandatory calibration or accuracy requirements." With the clarifying statements that have been added to the interpretation, the scope is limited to input to the ACE equation only. The interpretation effort is to clarify the requirement. An Implementation Plan does not exist for the interpretation Organization: Commonwealth of Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities **Member:** Donald E. Nelson Comment: The interpretation was confusing to the members and the clarification seems to have made the standard even vaguer. Further work needs to be done on the interpretation to make it crisp, clear and measurable. Response: The Frequency Task Force added the following clarifying statements to the interpretation: "Frequency inputs from other sources that are for reference only are excluded." "The other devices listed in the table at the end of R17 are for reference only and do not have any mandatory calibration or accuracy requirements." With the clarifying statements that have been added to the interpretation, the scope is limited to input to the ACE equation only. Organization: SERC Reliability Corporation Member: Gerry W. Cauley **Comment:** The interpretation, while correct, appears to dodge the principal question by the requester. That is whether the calibration requirement applies to only new/replacement equipment. The answer is clearly no, it applies to existing and new/replacement equipment. The prior operating policy from which this requirement was translated never intended to limit the obligation to only new equipment. Response: The Frequency Task Force agrees, as captured in the last paragraph of this interpretation: "New or replacement equipment that provides the same functions noted above requires the same calibrations. Some devices used for time error and frequency measurement cannot be calibrated as such. In this case, these devices

the devices do not meet the required level of accuracy."

should be cross-checked against other properly calibrated equipment and replaced if

Untitled Page Page 1 of 4

Regions | Committees | Meetings | Search | Site Map | Contact Us



Reliability Standards

User Name

Password

Log in

Register

Reliability Standards Home Announcements BOT Approved Standards Regulatory Approved Standards Standards Under Development Ballot Pools Current Ballots Ballot Results Registered Ballot Body Proxy Voters Registration Instructions Regional Reliability Standards

NERC Home

	Ballot Results
Ballot Name:	Interpretation Request - BAL-005 - PGE_in
Ballot Period:	10/18/2007 - 10/29/2007
Ballot Type:	Initial
Total # Votes:	137
Total Ballot Pool:	142
	96.48 % The Quorum has been reached
Weighted Segment Vote:	85.91 %
Ballot Results:	The standard will proceed to recirculation ballot.

Summary of Ballot Results													
				Affir	m	ative		Neç	jati	ve	Ab	stain	
	Ballot Pool	_	ment ight	# Votes	Fı	raction	v	# 'otes	Fra	ction	٧	# otes	No Vote
							Ī						
1 - Segment	1.	41	1	:	30	0.81	1		7	0.1	189	4	0
2 - Segment 2	2.	7	0.7		6	0.	6		1		0.1	0	0
3 - Segment 3	3.	33	1		29	0.93	5		2	0.0)65	2	0
4 - Segment	4	8	0.8		8	0.	8		0		0	0	0
5 - Segment!	5.	20	1		17	0.94	4		1	0.0)56	0	2
6 - Segment	6.	17	1	,	15	0.93	8		1	0.0	063	0	1
7 - Segment	7.	1	0.1		1	0.	1		0		0	0	0
8 - Segment 8	8.	2	0.2		2	0.	2		0		0	0	0
9 - Segment 9	9.	5	0.4		1	0.	1		3		0.3	0	1
10 - Segment	t 10.	8	0.7		5	0.	5		2		0.2	0	1
Totals		142	6.9	11	4	5.92	8	-	17	0.9	73	6	5

		Individual Ba	llot Pool Results	;			
Segm	ent	Organization	Member	Ва	allot Com		mments
1	Ame	eren Services Company	Kirit S. Shah	Kirit S. Shah		ive	<u>View</u>
1	Ame	erican Public Power Association	E. Nick Henery		Affirma	tive	
1	American Transmission Company, LLC		Jason Shaver		Affirmative		
1	Arizona Public Service Co.		Cary B. Deise		Affirma	tive	
1	Avista Corp.		Scott Kinney		Negat	ive	<u>View</u>
1	Boni	neville Power Administration	Donald S. Watki	ns	Affirma	tive	
1	Duk	e Energy Carolina	Doug Hils		Affirma	tive	
1	Ente	ergy Corporation	George R. Bartle	ett	Affirma	tive	
1	First	Energy Energy Delivery	Robert Martinko		Affirma	tive	
1	Florida Keys Electric Cooperative Assoc.		Dennis Minton		Affirma	tive	

Untitled Page Page 2 of 4

1	Great River Energy	Gordon Pietsch	Affirmative	
	Hydro One Networks, Inc.	Ajay Garg	Negative	
1	Hydro-Quebec TransEnergie	Julien Gagnon	Negative	View
1	JEA	Ted E. Hobson	Affirmative	
1	Kansas City Power & Light Co.	Jim Useldinger	Affirmative	
1	Lincoln Electric System	Doug Bantam	Affirmative	
1	Manitoba Hydro	Robert G. Coish	Affirmative	
1	Minnesota Power, Inc.	Carol Gerou	Affirmative	
1	Nebraska Public Power District	Richard L. Koch	Affirmative	
1	New Brunswick Power Transmission Corporation	Wayne N. Snowdon	Negative	<u>View</u>
1	New York Power Authority	Ralph Rufrano	Negative	
1	Northeast Utilities	David H. Boguslawski	Abstain	
1	Northern Indiana Public Service Co.	Joseph Dobes	Abstain	
1	Oncor Electric Delivery	Charles W. Jenkins	Affirmative	
1	Otter Tail Power Company	Lawrence R. Larson	Affirmative	
1	PacifiCorp	Robert Williams	Affirmative	
1	Portland General Electric Co.	Frank F. Afranji	Affirmative	
1	Potomac Electric Power Co.	Richard J. Kafka	Affirmative	
<u>'</u> 1	PP&L, Inc.	Ray Mammarella	Affirmative	
1	Progress Energy Carolinas	Sammy Roberts	Affirmative	
<u> </u>				
	Sacramento Municipal Utility District		Affirmative	
1	Salt River Project	Robert Kondziolka	Affirmative	
1	San Diego Gas & Electric	Linda Brown	Abstain	
1	Santee Cooper	Terry L. Blackwell	Affirmative	
1	SaskPower	Wayne Guttormson	Negative	<u>View</u>
1	Seattle City Light	Christopher M. Turner	Affirmative	
1	Sierra Pacific Power Co.	Richard Salgo	Affirmative	
1	Southern Company Services, Inc.	Horace Stephen Williamson	Affirmative	
1	Tri-State G & T Association Inc.	Bruce A Sembrick	Affirmative	
1	Tucson Electric Power Co.	Ronald P. Belval	Abstain	
1	Westar Energy	Allen Klassen	Affirmative	
2	Alberta Electric System Operator	Anita Lee	Negative	View
2	California ISO	David Hawkins	Affirmative	
2	Independent Electricity System Operator	Don Tench	Affirmative	
2	ISO New England, Inc.	Kathleen Goodman	Affirmative	
2	Midwest ISO, Inc.	Terry Bilke	Affirmative	
2	New York Independent System Operator	Gregory Campoli	Affirmative	
2	PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.	Tom Bowe	Affirmative	
3	Alabama Power Company	Robin Hurst	Affirmative	
3	Arizona Public Service Co.	Thomas R. Glock	Affirmative	
3	Atlantic City Electric Company	James V. Petrella	Affirmative	
3	Bonneville Power Administration	Rebecca Berdahl	Affirmative	
3	City of Tallahassee	Rusty S. Foster	Negative	
3	Consumers Energy Co.	David A. Lapinski	Affirmative	
3	Delmarva Power & Light Co.	Michael R. Mayer	Affirmative	
3	Dominion Resources, Inc.	Jalal (John) Babik	Abstain	
3	Entergy Services, Inc.	Matt Wolf	Affirmative	
3		Alan Glazner	Affirmative	
3	Farmington Electric Utility System FirstEnergy Solutions	Joanne Kathleen	Affirmative	
3	Florida Municipal Power Agency	Borrell Michael Alexander	Affirmative	
3	Florida Power Corporation	Lee Schuster	Abstain	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Leslie Sibert	Affirmative	
3	Georgia Power Company			

Untitled Page Page 3 of 4

1 2	Liveles One Nativerse Live	Michael D. Donetone	l Namativa I	1
3	Hydro One Networks, Inc.	Michael D. Penstone	Negative	
3	JEA	Garry Baker	Affirmative	
3	Lincoln Electric System	Bruce Merrill	Affirmative	
3	Manitoba Hydro	Ronald Dacombe	Affirmative	
3	Mississippi Power	Don Horsley	Affirmative	
3	Northern Indiana Public Service Co.	William SeDoris	Affirmative	
3	Orlando Utilities Commission	Ballard Keith Mutters	Affirmative	
3	Platte River Power Authority	Terry L Baker	Affirmative	
3	Portland General Electric Co.	Jerry Thale	Affirmative	
3	Potomac Electric Power Co.	Robert Reuter	Affirmative	
3	Progress Energy Carolinas	Sam Waters	Affirmative	
3	Public Utility District No. 2 of Grant County	Greg Lange	Affirmative	
3	Salt River Project	John T. Underhill	Affirmative	
3	Santee Cooper	Zack Dusenbury	Affirmative	
3	Seattle City Light	Dana Wheelock	Affirmative	
3	Tennessee Valley Authority	Cynthia Herron	Affirmative	
3	Wisconsin Electric Power Marketing	James R. Keller	Affirmative	
3	Xcel Energy, Inc.	Michael Ibold	Affirmative	
4	American Municipal Power - Ohio	Chris Norton	Affirmative	
4	Consumers Energy Co.	David Frank Ronk	Affirmative	
4	Florida Municipal Power Agency	William S. May	Affirmative	
4	Northern California Power Agency	Fred E. Young	Affirmative	
4	Old Dominion Electric Coop.	Mark Ringhausen	Affirmative	
4	Public Utility District No. 2 of Grant County	Kevin J. Conway	Affirmative	
4	Seattle City Light	Hao Li	Affirmative	
4	Wisconsin Energy Corp.	Anthony Jankowski	Affirmative	
5	AEP Service Corp.	Brock Ondayko	Affirmative	
5	Avista Corp.	Edward F. Groce	Negative	<u>View</u>
5	BC Hydro and Power Authority	Clement Ma		
5	Black Hills Power	Pamela Pahl	Affirmative	
5	Bonneville Power Administration	Francis J. Halpin	Affirmative	
5	Conectiv Energy Supply, Inc.	Richard K. Douglass	Affirmative	
5	FirstEnergy Solutions	Kenneth Dresner	Affirmative	
5	Florida Municipal Power Agency	Douglas Keegan	Affirmative	
5	Great River Energy	Cynthia E Sulzer	Affirmative	
5	Lincoln Electric System	Dennis Florom	Affirmative	
5	Manitoba Hydro	Mante Alleana		
		Mark Aikens	Affirmative	
5	Portland General Electric Co.	Gary L. Tingley	Affirmative Affirmative	
	-			
5	Portland General Electric Co.	Gary L. Tingley	Affirmative	
5 5	Portland General Electric Co. PPL Generation LLC	Gary L. Tingley Mark A. Heimbach	Affirmative Affirmative	
5 5 5	Portland General Electric Co. PPL Generation LLC Progress Energy Carolinas	Gary L. Tingley Mark A. Heimbach Wayne Lewis	Affirmative Affirmative Affirmative	
5 5 5 5	Portland General Electric Co. PPL Generation LLC Progress Energy Carolinas Salt River Project Southern Company Services, Inc. TXU Generation Company LP	Gary L. Tingley Mark A. Heimbach Wayne Lewis Glen Reeves	Affirmative Affirmative Affirmative Affirmative	
5 5 5 5 5	Portland General Electric Co. PPL Generation LLC Progress Energy Carolinas Salt River Project Southern Company Services, Inc.	Gary L. Tingley Mark A. Heimbach Wayne Lewis Glen Reeves Roger D. Green	Affirmative Affirmative Affirmative Affirmative	
5 5 5 5 5 5	Portland General Electric Co. PPL Generation LLC Progress Energy Carolinas Salt River Project Southern Company Services, Inc. TXU Generation Company LP U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	Gary L. Tingley Mark A. Heimbach Wayne Lewis Glen Reeves Roger D. Green Rickey Terrill	Affirmative Affirmative Affirmative Affirmative Affirmative	
5 5 5 5 5 5	Portland General Electric Co. PPL Generation LLC Progress Energy Carolinas Salt River Project Southern Company Services, Inc. TXU Generation Company LP U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Northwestern Division	Gary L. Tingley Mark A. Heimbach Wayne Lewis Glen Reeves Roger D. Green Rickey Terrill Karl Bryan	Affirmative Affirmative Affirmative Affirmative Affirmative Affirmative	
5 5 5 5 5 5 5	Portland General Electric Co. PPL Generation LLC Progress Energy Carolinas Salt River Project Southern Company Services, Inc. TXU Generation Company LP U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Northwestern Division Wisconsin Electric Power Co.	Gary L. Tingley Mark A. Heimbach Wayne Lewis Glen Reeves Roger D. Green Rickey Terrill Karl Bryan Linda Horn	Affirmative Affirmative Affirmative Affirmative Affirmative Affirmative Affirmative	
5 5 5 5 5 5 5	Portland General Electric Co. PPL Generation LLC Progress Energy Carolinas Salt River Project Southern Company Services, Inc. TXU Generation Company LP U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Northwestern Division Wisconsin Electric Power Co. Xcel Energy, Inc. AEP Service Corp. Black Hills Power	Gary L. Tingley Mark A. Heimbach Wayne Lewis Glen Reeves Roger D. Green Rickey Terrill Karl Bryan Linda Horn Stephen J. Beuning	Affirmative	
5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 6 6	Portland General Electric Co. PPL Generation LLC Progress Energy Carolinas Salt River Project Southern Company Services, Inc. TXU Generation Company LP U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Northwestern Division Wisconsin Electric Power Co. Xcel Energy, Inc. AEP Service Corp. Black Hills Power Bonneville Power Administration	Gary L. Tingley Mark A. Heimbach Wayne Lewis Glen Reeves Roger D. Green Rickey Terrill Karl Bryan Linda Horn Stephen J. Beuning Dana E. Horton Larry Williamson Brenda S. Anderson	Affirmative	
5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 6 6	Portland General Electric Co. PPL Generation LLC Progress Energy Carolinas Salt River Project Southern Company Services, Inc. TXU Generation Company LP U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Northwestern Division Wisconsin Electric Power Co. Xcel Energy, Inc. AEP Service Corp. Black Hills Power Bonneville Power Administration Entergy Services, Inc.	Gary L. Tingley Mark A. Heimbach Wayne Lewis Glen Reeves Roger D. Green Rickey Terrill Karl Bryan Linda Horn Stephen J. Beuning Dana E. Horton Larry Williamson Brenda S. Anderson William Franklin	Affirmative	View
5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 6 6	Portland General Electric Co. PPL Generation LLC Progress Energy Carolinas Salt River Project Southern Company Services, Inc. TXU Generation Company LP U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Northwestern Division Wisconsin Electric Power Co. Xcel Energy, Inc. AEP Service Corp. Black Hills Power Bonneville Power Administration Entergy Services, Inc. First Energy Solutions	Gary L. Tingley Mark A. Heimbach Wayne Lewis Glen Reeves Roger D. Green Rickey Terrill Karl Bryan Linda Horn Stephen J. Beuning Dana E. Horton Larry Williamson Brenda S. Anderson William Franklin Alfred G. Roth	Affirmative	View
5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 6 6 6	Portland General Electric Co. PPL Generation LLC Progress Energy Carolinas Salt River Project Southern Company Services, Inc. TXU Generation Company LP U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Northwestern Division Wisconsin Electric Power Co. Xcel Energy, Inc. AEP Service Corp. Black Hills Power Bonneville Power Administration Entergy Services, Inc. First Energy Solutions Florida Municipal Power Agency	Gary L. Tingley Mark A. Heimbach Wayne Lewis Glen Reeves Roger D. Green Rickey Terrill Karl Bryan Linda Horn Stephen J. Beuning Dana E. Horton Larry Williamson Brenda S. Anderson William Franklin Alfred G. Roth Robert C. Williams	Affirmative	View
5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 6 6 6 6 6	Portland General Electric Co. PPL Generation LLC Progress Energy Carolinas Salt River Project Southern Company Services, Inc. TXU Generation Company LP U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Northwestern Division Wisconsin Electric Power Co. Xcel Energy, Inc. AEP Service Corp. Black Hills Power Bonneville Power Administration Entergy Services, Inc. First Energy Solutions Florida Municipal Power Agency Lincoln Electric System	Gary L. Tingley Mark A. Heimbach Wayne Lewis Glen Reeves Roger D. Green Rickey Terrill Karl Bryan Linda Horn Stephen J. Beuning Dana E. Horton Larry Williamson Brenda S. Anderson William Franklin Alfred G. Roth Robert C. Williams Eric Ruskamp	Affirmative	View
5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 6 6 6 6 6 6	Portland General Electric Co. PPL Generation LLC Progress Energy Carolinas Salt River Project Southern Company Services, Inc. TXU Generation Company LP U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Northwestern Division Wisconsin Electric Power Co. Xcel Energy, Inc. AEP Service Corp. Black Hills Power Bonneville Power Administration Entergy Services, Inc. First Energy Solutions Florida Municipal Power Agency Lincoln Electric System Luminant Energy	Gary L. Tingley Mark A. Heimbach Wayne Lewis Glen Reeves Roger D. Green Rickey Terrill Karl Bryan Linda Horn Stephen J. Beuning Dana E. Horton Larry Williamson Brenda S. Anderson William Franklin Alfred G. Roth Robert C. Williams Eric Ruskamp Thomas Burke	Affirmative	View
5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 6 6 6 6 6	Portland General Electric Co. PPL Generation LLC Progress Energy Carolinas Salt River Project Southern Company Services, Inc. TXU Generation Company LP U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Northwestern Division Wisconsin Electric Power Co. Xcel Energy, Inc. AEP Service Corp. Black Hills Power Bonneville Power Administration Entergy Services, Inc. First Energy Solutions Florida Municipal Power Agency Lincoln Electric System	Gary L. Tingley Mark A. Heimbach Wayne Lewis Glen Reeves Roger D. Green Rickey Terrill Karl Bryan Linda Horn Stephen J. Beuning Dana E. Horton Larry Williamson Brenda S. Anderson William Franklin Alfred G. Roth Robert C. Williams Eric Ruskamp	Affirmative	View

Untitled Page Page 4 of 4

		+		
6	Portland General Electric Co.	John Jamieson	Affirmative	
6	Progress Energy Carolinas	James Eckelkamp	Affirmative	
6	Sacramento Municipal Utility District	Robert D. Schwermann	Affirmative	
6	Santee Cooper	Suzanne Ritter	Affirmative	
6	Seminole Electric Cooperative, Inc.	Trudy S. Novak	Affirmative	
6	South Carolina Electric & Gas Co.	John E Folsom, Jr.	Affirmative	
6	Southern Company Generation and Energy Marketing	J. Roman Carter	Affirmative	
6	Xcel Energy, Inc.	David F. Lemmons	Affirmative	
7	Eastman Chemical Company	Lloyd Webb	Affirmative	
8	Energy Mark, Inc.	Howard F. Illian	Affirmative	
8	JDRJC Associates	Jim D. Cyrulewski	Affirmative	
9	California Energy Commission	William Mitchell Chamberlain	Affirmative	
9	California Public Utilities Commission	Laurence Chaset		
9	Commonwealth of Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities	Donald E. Nelson	Negative	<u>View</u>
9	National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners	Diane J. Barney	Negative	
9	New York State Public Service Commission	James T. Gallagher	Negative	
10	Electric Reliability Council of Texas, Inc.	Kent Saathoff	Affirmative	
10	Florida Reliability Coordinating Council	Linda Campbell	Affirmative	
10	Midwest Reliability Organization	Larry Brusseau		
10	New York State Reliability Council	Alan Adamson	Negative	
10	Northeast Power Coordinating Council, Inc.	Edward A. Schwerdt	Negative	
10	SERC Reliability Corporation	Gerry W. Cauley	Affirmative	<u>View</u>
10	Southwest Power Pool	Charles H. Yeung	Affirmative	
10	Western Electricity Coordinating Council	Louise McCarren	Affirmative	

609.452.8060 (Voice) - 609.452.9550 (Fax)
116-390 Village Boulevard, Princeton, New Jersey 08540-5721
Copyright © 2007 by the North American Electric Reliability Corporation. All rights reserved.

A New Jersey Nonprofit Corporation



October 31, 2007

TO: REGISTERED BALLOT BODY

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Announcement of Initial Ballot Results for Three Ballots

The Standards Committee (SC) announces the following:

Initial Ballot Results for Urgent Action Revisions to BAL-004-0

The initial ballot for the <u>Urgent Action Revisions to BAL-004-0</u> — Time Error Correction was conducted from October 18 through October 29, 2007. The proposed revision removes the following from BAL-004:

- **Requirement 1, second sentence:** A single Reliability Coordinator in each Interconnection shall be designated by the NERC Operating Committee to serve as Interconnection Time Monitor.
 - Reason for removal: The entities who have been serving as the Interconnection Time Monitors have done so voluntarily. The NERC Operating is not a user, owner, or operator and has n authority to assign a reliability coordinator to serve as the Interconnection Time Monitor. The entities who have been serving as 'volunteers' don't want to continue to serve in this role if they are subject to sanctions for non-compliance with Requirement 2, which supports a business practice.
- Requirement 2: The Interconnection Time Monitor shall monitor Time Error and shall initiate
 or terminate corrective action orders in accordance with the NAESB Time Error Correction
 Procedure.
 - **Reason for removal:** This requires the reliability coordinator to execute a time error correction in accordance with a NAESB business practice.

The ballot achieved a quorum; however, there were some negative ballots with comments, initiating the need to undergo a re-circulation ballot. The drafting team will be reviewing comments submitted with the ballot and preparing its consideration of those comments. (Detailed Ballot Results)

Quorum: 96.18 % Approval: 93.93 %

Initial Ballot Results for Interpretation of CIP-006-1 (for SCE&G)

The initial ballot for the <u>Interpretation of CIP-006-1 — Physical Security of Critical Cyber Assets</u> was conducted from October 18 through October 29, 2007. The request for an interpretation asked if dial-up remote terminal units (RTUs) that use non-routable protocols and have dial-up access are required to have six-wall perimeters or are only required to have electronic security perimeters.

The <u>Interpretation</u> clarifies that if dial-up assets are classified as critical cyber assets in accordance with CIP-002-1, the assets must reside within an electronic security perimeter, however, physical security

control over a critical cyber asset is not required if that asset does not have a routable protocol. Entities are not required to enclose dial-up RTUs that do not use routable protocols within a six-wall border.

The ballot achieved a quorum; however, there were some negative ballots with comments, initiating the need to undergo a re-circulation ballot. The drafting team will be reviewing comments submitted with the ballot and preparing its consideration of those comments. (Detailed Ballot Results)

Quorum: 97.37% Approval: 92.24%

Initial Ballot Results for Interpretation of BAL-005 Requirement R17 (for PGE)

The initial ballot for the <u>Interpretation of BAL-005-1</u> — <u>Automatic Generation Control Requirement R17</u> was conducted from October 18 through October 29, 2007. The request for an interpretation asked if the requirement to annually check and calibrate time error and frequency devices applies to the following measuring devices:

- Only equipment within the operations control room
- Only equipment that provides values used to calculate automatic generation control area control error
- Only equipment that provides values to its SCADA system
- Only equipment owned or operated by the balancing authority
- Only to new or replacement equipment
- To all equipment that a balancing authority owns or operates

The <u>Interpretation</u> clarifies that Requirement R17 applies only to the time error and frequency devices that provide, or in the case of back-up equipment may provide, input into the ACE equation or provide real-time time error or frequency information to the system operator. The time error and frequency measurement devices may not necessarily be located in the operations control room or owned by the balancing authority; however, the balancing authority has the responsibility for the accuracy of the frequency and time error measurement devices. No other devices are included in Requirement 17.

New or replacement equipment that provides the same functions noted above requires the same calibrations. Some devices used for time error and frequency measurement cannot be calibrated as such. In this case, these devices should be cross-checked against other properly calibrated equipment and replaced if the devices do not meet the required level of accuracy.

The ballot achieved a quorum however there were some negative ballots with comments, initiating the need to undergo a re-circulation ballot. The drafting team will be reviewing comments submitted with the ballot and preparing its consideration of those comments. (Detailed Ballot Results)

Quorum: 96.48% Approval: 85.91% REGISTERED BALLOT BODY October 31, 2007 Page Three

Standards Development Process

The <u>Reliability Standards Development Procedure</u> contains all the procedures governing the standards development process. The success of the NERC standards development process depends on stakeholder participation. We extend our thanks to all those who participate. If you have any questions, please contact me at 813-468-5998 or <u>maureen.long@nerc.net</u>.

Sincerely,

Maareen E. Long

cc: Registered Ballot Body Registered Users Standards Mailing List NERC Roster



Request for Clarification received from PGE on July 31, 2007

PGE requests clarification regarding the measuring devices for which the requirement applies, specifically clarification if the requirement applies to the following measuring devices:

- Only equipment within the operations control room
- Only equipment that provides values used to calculate AGC ACE
- Only equipment that provides values to its SCADA system
- Only equipment owned or operated by the BA
- Only to new or replacement equipment
- To all equipment that a BA owns or operates

BAL-005-1

R17. Each Balancing Authority shall at least annually check and calibrate its time error and frequency devices against a common reference. The Balancing Authority shall adhere to the minimum values for measuring devices as listed below:

Device	Accuracy
Digital frequency transducer	≤ 0.001 Hz
MW, MVAR, and voltage transducer	≤ 0.25% of full scale
Remote terminal unit	≤ 0.25% of full scale
Potential transformer	≤ 0.30% of full scale
Current transformer	< 0.50% of full scale

Existing Interpretation Approved by Board of Trustees May 2, 2007

BAL-005-0, Requirement 17 requires that the Balancing Authority check and calibrate its control room time error and frequency devices against a common reference at least annually. The requirement to "annually check and calibrate" does not address any devices outside of the operations control room.

The table represents the design accuracy of the listed devices. There is no requirement within the standard to "annually check and calibrate" the devices listed in the table, unless they are included in the control center time error and frequency devices.

Interpretation provided by NERC Frequency Task Force on September 7, 2007 and Revised on November 16, 2007

As noted in the existing interpretation, BAL-005-1 Requirement 17 applies only to the time error and frequency devices that provide, or in the case of back-up equipment may provide, input into the reporting or compliance ACE equation or provide real-time time error or frequency information to the system operator. Frequency inputs from other sources that are for reference only are excluded. The time error and frequency measurement devices may not necessarily be located in the system operations control room or owned by the Balancing Authority; however the Balancing Authority has the responsibility for the accuracy of the frequency and time error measurement devices. No other devices are included in R 17.

The other devices listed in the table at the end of R17 are for reference only and do not have any mandatory calibration or accuracy requirements.

New or replacement equipment that provides the same functions noted above requires the same calibrations. Some devices used for time error and frequency measurement cannot be calibrated as such. In this case, these devices should be cross-checked against other properly calibrated equipment and replaced if the devices do not meet the required level of accuracy.



Request for Clarification received from PGE on July 31, 2007

PGE requests clarification regarding the measuring devices for which the requirement applies, specifically clarification if the requirement applies to the following measuring devices:

- *Only equipment within the operations control room*
- Only equipment that provides values used to calculate AGC ACE
- Only equipment that provides values to its SCADA system
- Only equipment owned or operated by the BA
- Only to new or replacement equipment
- To all equipment that a BA owns or operates

BAL-005-1

R17. Each Balancing Authority shall at least annually check and calibrate its time error and frequency devices against a common reference. The Balancing Authority shall adhere to the minimum values for measuring devices as listed below:

Device	Accuracy
Digital frequency transducer	≤ 0.001 Hz
MW, MVAR, and voltage transducer	≤ 0.25% of full scale
Remote terminal unit	≤ 0.25% of full scale
Potential transformer	≤ 0.30% of full scale
Current transformer	≤ 0.50% of full scale

Existing Interpretation Approved by Board of Trustees May 2, 2007

BAL-005-0, Requirement 17 requires that the Balancing Authority check and calibrate its control room time error and frequency devices against a common reference at least annually. The requirement to "annually check and calibrate" does not address any devices outside of the operations control room.

The table represents the design accuracy of the listed devices. There is no requirement within the standard to "annually check and calibrate" the devices listed in the table, unless they are included in the control center time error and frequency devices.

Interpretation provided by NERC Frequency Task Force on September 7, 2007 and Revised on November 16, 2007

As noted in the existing interpretation, BAL-005-1 Requirement 17 applies only to the time error and frequency devices that provide, or in the case of back-up equipment may provide, input into the reporting or compliance ACE equation or provide real-time time error or frequency information to the system operator. Frequency inputs from other sources that are for reference only are excluded. The time error and frequency measurement devices may not necessarily be located in the system operations control room or owned by the Balancing Authority; however the Balancing Authority has the responsibility for the accuracy of the frequency and time error measurement devices. No other devices are included in R 17. The other devices listed in the table at the end of R17 are for reference only and do not have any mandatory calibration or accuracy requirements.

New or replacement equipment that provides the same functions noted above requires the same calibrations. Some devices used for time error and frequency measurement cannot be calibrated as such.

116-390 Village Boulevard, Princeton, New Jersey 08540-5721

Phone: 609.452.8060 • Fax: 609.452.9550 • www.nerc.com

In this case, these devices should be cross-checked against other properly calibrated equipment and replaced if the devices do not meet the required level of accuracy.



November 19, 2007

TO: REGISTERED BALLOT BODY

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Announcement: Initial Ballot Window, Pre-ballot Review Period and Ballot Pool Open

The Standards Committee (SC) announces the following standards actions:

Initial Ballot Window for PRC-023 — Relay Loadability is Open

The initial <u>ballot</u> for the PRC-023-1 — <u>Relay Loadability</u> is open and will remain open until 8 p.m. Tuesday, December 4, 2007.

This standard was developed to address the cascading transmission outages that occurred in the August 2003 blackout when backup distance and phase relays operated on high loading and low voltage without electrical faults on the protected lines. This is the so-called 'zone 3 relay' issue, which has been expanded to address other protection devices subject to unintended operation during extreme system conditions. The proposed standard establishes minimum loadability criteria for these relays to minimize the chance of unnecessary line trips during a major system disturbance.

The ballot for this standard also includes the Relay Loadability <u>Implementation Plan</u>.

Pre-ballot Window for Revised Interpretation of BAL-005 Requirement R17 (for PGE) is Open

Portland General Electric Company submitted a <u>Request for an Interpretation</u> of BAL-005-1 Automatic Generation Control Requirement R17. The Interpretation asked if the requirement to annually check and calibrate time error and frequency devices applies to the following measuring devices:

- Only equipment within the operations control room
- Only equipment that provides values used to calculate automatic generation control area control error
- Only equipment that provides values to its SCADA system
- Only equipment owned or operated by the balancing authority
- Only to new or replacement equipment
- To all equipment that a balancing authority owns or operates

The Frequency Task Force (drafting team) provided an interpretation that underwent an initial ballot from October 18 through October 29, 2007. Some comments submitted with ballots indicated that the clarification seemed to expand the scope of the associated requirement and the drafting team added some clarifying language to the interpretation. The drafting team is reposting the revised interpretation for a **new** 30-day pre-ballot review.

REGISTERED BALLOT BODY November 19, 2007 Page Two

The <u>revised interpretation</u> clarifies that Requirement R17 applies only to the time error and frequency devices that provide, or in the case of backup equipment may provide, input into the ACE equation or provide real-time time error or frequency information to the system operator. The requirement does not apply to frequency inputs from other sources that are for reference only. The time error and frequency measurement devices may not necessarily be located in the system operations control room or owned by the balancing authority; however the balancing authority has the responsibility for the accuracy of the frequency and time error measurement devices. No other devices are included in Requirement 17 — the other devices listed in the table at the end of R17 are for reference only and do not have any mandatory calibration or accuracy requirements.

New or replacement equipment that provides the same functions noted above requires the same calibrations. Some devices used for time error and frequency measurement cannot be calibrated as such. In this case, these devices should be cross-checked against other properly calibrated equipment and replaced if the devices do not meet the required level of accuracy.

The <u>ballot pool</u> to vote on this interpretation has been re-opened and will remain open up until 8 a.m. (EST) Wednesday, December 19, 2007. During the pre-ballot window, members of the ballot pool may communicate with one another by using their "ballot pool list server." The list server for this ballot pool is: <u>bp-interp_bal-005_pge_in@nerc.com</u>

The initial ballot for this interpretation will begin at 8 a.m. (EDT) on Wednesday, December 19, 2007.

Standards Development Process

The <u>Reliability Standards Development Procedure</u> contains all the procedures governing the standards development process. The success of the NERC standards development process depends on stakeholder participation. We extend our thanks to all those who participate. If you have any questions, please contact me at 813-468-5998 or maureen.long@nerc.net.

Sincerely,

Maureen E, Long
Registered Ballot Body Registered Users

Standards Mailing List NERC Roster

cc:



July 31, 2007

Via Electronic Mail and Overnight Delivery

Gerard Adamski Director of Standards North American Electric Reliability Corporation 116-390 Village Boulevard Princeton, New Jersey 08540-5721

Re: Portland General Electric Company

Request for Interpretation of NERC Standard BAL-005-0 R.17

Dear Mr. Adamski:

Portland General Electric Company (PGE) is seeking interpretation of Requirement 17 of North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC) Reliability Standard BAL-005-0. This requirement is applicable to PGE in its registered role as a Balancing Authority by FERC Order No. 693. PGE is submitting this request for interpretation under the guidelines set out in "Interpretations of Standards" under the "Special Procedures" section of Version 6.1 of NERC's Reliability Standards Development Procedure.

Requirement 17 of BAL-005-0 reads as follows:

R17. Each Balancing Authority shall at least annually check and calibrate its time error and frequency devices against a common reference. The Balancing Authority shall adhere to the minimum values for measuring devices as listed below:

Device	Accuracy
Digital frequency transducer	≤ 0.001 Hz
MW, MVAR, and voltage transducer	$\leq 0.25 \%$ of full scale
Remote terminal unit	≤ 0.25 % of full scale
Potential transformer	≤ 0.30 % of full scale
Current transformer	$\leq 0.50 \%$ of full scale

Mandatory Reliability Standards for the Bulk-Power System, 118 FERC ¶ 61,218, Issued March 16, 2007.

Gerard Adamski July 31, 2007 Page 2

PGE has reviewed the Request for Clarification of this requirement received by NERC on December 21, 2006. PGE has also reviewed the new version of the Standard, BAL-005-1, which includes the clarification proposed by NERC's Resources Subcommittee and approved by the Board of Trustees on May 2, 2007.² This clarification addresses the first sentence of the Requirement, and explains that the phrase "annually check and calibrate" applies only to devices within the operations control room. However, PGE believes that this clarification still leaves several areas of ambiguity regarding this standard, specifically:

Which equipment is included in the phrase "measuring devices as listed below", in particular, does this phrase apply:

- (a) only to equipment within the operations control room?
- (b) only to equipment that provides values used to calculate AGC ACE?
- (c) only to equipment that provides values to PGE's SCADA system?
- (d) only to the equipment owned or operated by the BA?
- (e) only to new or replacement equipment?
- (f) to all such equipment that a BA owns or operates?

PGE's understanding is that this standard is intended to apply to the BA's new or replacement equipment which provides values used to calculate AGC ACE. Applying this standard more widely – for example, applying it to existing equipment – could cause PGE and other utilities within the region to spend significant amounts of money with little or no actual improvement to system reliability.

Thank you for the opportunity to seek clarification through NERC's interpretation process. If you have any questions regarding this request for interpretation, please do not he sitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Mike Ryan

Manager, Control Area and Scheduling Operations

Portland General Electric Co.

(503) 464-8793

mike.ryan@pgn.com

Information on Board of Trustees activity is taken from Draft Minutes of the May 2, 2007, Board of Trustees Meeting, posted on NERC's website.



Request for Clarification received from PGE on July 31, 2007

PGE requests clarification regarding the measuring devices for which the requirement applies, specifically clarification if the requirement applies to the following measuring devices:

- Only equipment within the operations control room
- Only equipment that provides values used to calculate AGC ACE
- Only equipment that provides values to its SCADA system
- Only equipment owned or operated by the BA
- Only to new or replacement equipment
- To all equipment that a BA owns or operates

BAL-005-1

R17. Each Balancing Authority shall at least annually check and calibrate its time error and frequency devices against a common reference. The Balancing Authority shall adhere to the minimum values for measuring devices as listed below:

Device	Accuracy
Digital frequency transducer	≤ 0.001 Hz
MW, MVAR, and voltage transducer	≤ 0.25% of full scale
Remote terminal unit	≤ 0.25% of full scale
Potential transformer	≤ 0.30% of full scale
Current transformer	< 0.50% of full scale

Existing Interpretation Approved by Board of Trustees May 2, 2007

BAL-005-0, Requirement 17 requires that the Balancing Authority check and calibrate its control room time error and frequency devices against a common reference at least annually. The requirement to "annually check and calibrate" does not address any devices outside of the operations control room.

The table represents the design accuracy of the listed devices. There is no requirement within the standard to "annually check and calibrate" the devices listed in the table, unless they are included in the control center time error and frequency devices.

Interpretation provided by NERC Frequency Task Force on September 7, 2007 and Revised on November 16, 2007

As noted in the existing interpretation, BAL-005-1 Requirement 17 applies only to the time error and frequency devices that provide, or in the case of back-up equipment may provide, input into the reporting or compliance ACE equation or provide real-time time error or frequency information to the system operator. Frequency inputs from other sources that are for reference only are excluded. The time error and frequency measurement devices may not necessarily be located in the system operations control room or owned by the Balancing Authority; however the Balancing Authority has the responsibility for the accuracy of the frequency and time error measurement devices. No other devices are included in R 17.

The other devices listed in the table at the end of R17 are for reference only and do not have any mandatory calibration or accuracy requirements.

New or replacement equipment that provides the same functions noted above requires the same calibrations. Some devices used for time error and frequency measurement cannot be calibrated as such. In this case, these devices should be cross-checked against other properly calibrated equipment and replaced if the devices do not meet the required level of accuracy.



July 31, 2007

Via Electronic Mail and Overnight Delivery

Gerard Adamski Director of Standards North American Electric Reliability Corporation 116-390 Village Boulevard Princeton, New Jersey 08540-5721

Re: Portland General Electric Company

Request for Interpretation of NERC Standard BAL-005-0 R.17

Dear Mr. Adamski:

Portland General Electric Company (PGE) is seeking interpretation of Requirement 17 of North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC) Reliability Standard BAL-005-0. This requirement is applicable to PGE in its registered role as a Balancing Authority by FERC Order No. 693. PGE is submitting this request for interpretation under the guidelines set out in "Interpretations of Standards" under the "Special Procedures" section of Version 6.1 of NERC's Reliability Standards Development Procedure.

Requirement 17 of BAL-005-0 reads as follows:

R17. Each Balancing Authority shall at least annually check and calibrate its time error and frequency devices against a common reference. The Balancing Authority shall adhere to the minimum values for measuring devices as listed below:

Device	Accuracy
Digital frequency transducer	≤ 0.001 Hz
MW, MVAR, and voltage transducer	$\leq 0.25 \%$ of full scale
Remote terminal unit	≤ 0.25 % of full scale
Potential transformer	≤ 0.30 % of full scale
Current transformer	$\leq 0.50 \%$ of full scale

Mandatory Reliability Standards for the Bulk-Power System, 118 FERC ¶ 61,218, Issued March 16, 2007.

Gerard Adamski July 31, 2007 Page 2

PGE has reviewed the Request for Clarification of this requirement received by NERC on December 21, 2006. PGE has also reviewed the new version of the Standard, BAL-005-1, which includes the clarification proposed by NERC's Resources Subcommittee and approved by the Board of Trustees on May 2, 2007.² This clarification addresses the first sentence of the Requirement, and explains that the phrase "annually check and calibrate" applies only to devices within the operations control room. However, PGE believes that this clarification still leaves several areas of ambiguity regarding this standard, specifically:

Which equipment is included in the phrase "measuring devices as listed below", in particular, does this phrase apply:

- (a) only to equipment within the operations control room?
- (b) only to equipment that provides values used to calculate AGC ACE?
- (c) only to equipment that provides values to PGE's SCADA system?
- (d) only to the equipment owned or operated by the BA?
- (e) only to new or replacement equipment?
- (f) to all such equipment that a BA owns or operates?

PGE's understanding is that this standard is intended to apply to the BA's new or replacement equipment which provides values used to calculate AGC ACE. Applying this standard more widely – for example, applying it to existing equipment – could cause PGE and other utilities within the region to spend significant amounts of money with little or no actual improvement to system reliability.

Thank you for the opportunity to seek clarification through NERC's interpretation process. If you have any questions regarding this request for interpretation, please do not he sitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Mike Ryan

Manager, Control Area and Scheduling Operations

Portland General Electric Co.

(503) 464-8793

mike.ryan@pgn.com

Information on Board of Trustees activity is taken from Draft Minutes of the May 2, 2007, Board of Trustees Meeting, posted on NERC's website.



Consideration of Comments on Initial Ballot of Interpretation of Requirement R17 in BAL-005-1 — Automatic Generation Control for Portland General Electric

Summary Consideration: The drafting team did not make any modifications to the interpretation based on stakeholder comments. Two of the commenters suggest that the interpretation appears to conflict with the previous interpretation. The previous interpretation addressed the same question, and should be retired at the same time the BOT adopts the new interpretation. NERC staff will submit a request to retire the interpretation of BAL-005-1 R17 that was adopted by the NERC BOT on May 2, 2007 when this new interpretation is adopted.

Voter	Entity	Segment	Vote	Comment			
Wayne Guttormson	SaskPower	1	Abstain	Why it it necessary to list devices at the end of R17 that are for reference purposes only? If they do not have any mandatory calibration or accuracy requirements they should be removed from the standard.			
Response: Mal	Response: Making changes to the requirements cannot be accomplished with an interpretation.						
The interpretation still appears to conflict with the previous interpretation with respect to devices "within the control room" and "outside of the control room". This appears to be an issue with how the standards process deals with previous interpretations and if they should be superseded if another interpretation provides further clarification on the same issue.							
Response: NEI	RC staff will ask the N	NERC BOT to	retire the May	2, 2007 interpretation when the BOT adopts the new interpretation.			
Comments from ReliabilityFirst Corporation regarding Interpretation of BAL-005-1, It appears as though the latest interpretation contradicts the earlier one with regard to adherence to minimum values for the measuring devices listed. If this is the intent, the latest interpretation should state that it over-rides any or all previous interpretations. It appears that some of the device accuracy information for the measuring devices listed in the table does not apply to this requirement. Superfluous information should be removed for clarity. The interpretation should state the purpose of the accuracy requirement. The latest interpretation is correct in that it limits the calibration and accuracy to the proper devices.							
Response: NEI	RC staff will ask the N	NERC BOT to	retire the May	2, 2007 interpretation when the BOT adopts the new interpretation.			

Phone: 609.452.8060 • Fax: 609.452.9550 • www.nerc.com

Untitled Page Page 1 of 6

Regions | Committees | Meetings | Search | Site Map | Contact Us



Reliability Standards

User Name

Password

Log in

Register

Reliability Standards Home Announcements BOT Approved Standards Regulatory Approved Standards Standards Under Development Ballot Pools Current Ballots Ballot Results Registered Ballot Body Proxy Voters Registration Instructions Regional Reliability Standards

NERC Home

	Ballot Results			
Ballot Name: Request for Interpretation - BAL-005-1, R17 - PGE_in				
Ballot Period:	12/19/2007 - 1/4/2008			
Ballot Type:	Initial			
Total # Votes:	206			
Total Ballot Pool:	243			
	84.77 % The Quorum has been reached			
Weighted Segment Vote:	98.44 %			
Ballot Results:	The standard will proceed to recirculation ballot.			

	Summary of Ballot Results												
				Affir	m	ative		Neç	gati	ve	Ab	stain	
Segment I	Ballot Pool		ment eight	# Votes	Fı	raction	v	# /otes	Fra	ection	V	# otes	No Vote
1 - Segment	1.	70	1	Ĺ	56		1		0		0	4	10
2 - Segment :	2.	10	1	,	10	-	1		0		0	0	0
3 - Segment	3.	59	1		12	0.97	7		1	0.0)23	7	9
4 - Segment	4.	14	1		10		1		0		0	0	4
5 - Segment !	5.	44	1	(31		1		0		0	5	8
6 - Segment	6.	23	1	,	17		1		0		0	3	3
7 - Segment	7	3	0		0		0		0		0	1	2
8 - Segment	8.	3	0.3		3	0.	3		0		0	0	0
9 - Segment	9.	9	0.8		8	0.	8		0		0	0	1
10 - Segment	t 10.	8	0.8		7	0.	7		1		0.1	0	0
Totals		243	7.9	18	34	7.77	7		2	0.1	23	20	37

	Individual Ballot Pool Results						
Segm	ent	Organization	Member	Ва	llot	Comments	
1		Service Corp Transmission em AEP	Scott P. Moore		Affirma	tive	
1	Alleç	gheny Power	Rodney Phillips		Affirma	tive	
1	Allia	nt Energy	Kenneth Goldsmith		Affirmative		
1	Ame	eren Services Company	Kirit S. Shah		Affirma	tive	
1	Ame LLC	rican Transmission Company,	Jason Shaver		Affirma	tive	
1	Asso	ociated Electric Cooperative, Inc.	John Bussman		Affirma	tive	
1	Avis	ta Corp.	Scott Kinney		Affirma	tive	
1	Balti	imore Gas & Electric Company	John J. Moraski		Absta	in	
1	Basin Electric Power Cooperative		David Rudolph		Affirma	tive	
1	Boni	neville Power Administration	Donald S. Watki	ns	Affirma	tive	

Untitled Page Page 2 of 6

1	Central Maine Power Company	David Mark Conroy		
1	Consolidated Edison Co. of New York	Edwin E. Thompson PE	Affirmative	
1	Dominion Virginia Power	William L. Thompson	Abstain	
1	Duke Energy Carolina	Douglas E. Hils	Affirmative	
1	Duquesne Light Co.	Bob McClelland		
1	East Kentucky Power Coop.	George S. Carruba	Affirmative	
1	Empire District Electric Co.	Ralph Frederick Meyer	Affirmative	
1	Entergy Corporation	George R. Bartlett	Affirmative	
1	FirstEnergy Energy Delivery	Robert Martinko	Affirmative	
1	Florida Keys Electric Cooperative Assoc.	Dennis Minton	Affirmative	
1	Florida Power & Light Co.	C. Martin Mennes	Affirmative	
1	Great River Energy	Gordon Pietsch	Affirmative	
1	Hoosier Energy Rural Electric Cooperative, Inc.	Damon Holladay	Affirmative	
1	Hydro One Networks, Inc.	Ajay Garg		
1	Idaho Power Company	Ronald D. Schellberg	Affirmative	
1	ITC Transmission	Brian F. Thumm		
1	JEA	Ted E. Hobson	Affirmative	
1	Kansas City Power & Light Co.	Jim Useldinger		
1	Keyspan LIPA	Richard J. Bolbrock	Affirmative	
1	LG&E Energy Transmission Services	Bradley Young		
1	Lincoln Electric System	Doug Bantam	Affirmative	
1	Manitoba Hydro	Robert G. Coish	Affirmative	
1	Minnesota Power, Inc.	Carol Gerou	Affirmative	
1	Municipal Electric Authority of Georgia	Jerry J Tang	Affirmative	
1	New Brunswick Power Transmission Corporation	Wayne N. Snowdon	Affirmative	
1	New York Power Authority	Ralph Rufrano	Affirmative	
1	Northeast Utilities	David H. Boguslawski	Abstain	
1	Northern Indiana Public Service Co.	Joseph Dobes	Affirmative	
1	Nova Scotia Power Inc.	David D. Little	Affirmative	
1	Ohio Valley Electric Corp.	Robert Mattey	Affirmative	
1	Oklahoma Gas and Electric Co.	Melvin H. Perkins		
<u> </u>	Oncor Electric Delivery	Charles W. Jenkins	Affirmative	
1	Otter Tail Power Company	Lawrence R. Larson	Affirmative	
1	Pacific Gas and Electric Company	Chifong L. Thomas	Ammative	
1	Pacific Gas and Electric Company PacifiCorp	Robert Williams	Affirmative	
1	Potomac Electric Power Co.	Richard J. Kafka	Affirmative	
1	PP&L, Inc.	Ray Mammarella	Affirmative	
1	Progress Energy Carolinas		Affirmative	
1	Public Service Company of New Mexico	Sammy Roberts Keith Nix	Affirmative	
1	Public Service Electric and Gas Co.	Kenneth D. Brown	Affirmative	
1	Sacramento Municipal Utility District		Affirmative	
1	Salt River Project	Robert Kondziolka	Affirmative	
1	San Diego Gas & Electric	Linda Brown	Ammative	
1	Santee Cooper	Terry L. Blackwell	Affirmative	
1	 	Wayne Guttormson	+	Vious
1	SaskPower		Affirmative	<u>View</u>
	SCE&G	Henry Delk, Jr.	Affirmative	
1	Seattle City Light	Christopher M. Turner	Affirmative	
1	Sierra Pacific Power Co.	Richard Salgo	Affirmative	
1	South Carolina Electric & Gas Co.	Lee N. Xanthakos	A 551 11	
1	Southern California Edison Co.	Dana Cabbell Horace Stephen	Affirmative Affirmative	
1	Southern Company Services, Inc.	Williamson	IAHHIMAHWEI	

Untitled Page Page 3 of 6

1	Southern Illinois Power Coop.	William G. Hutchison	Affirmative
1	Southwest Transmission	James L. Jones	Affirmative
-	Cooperative, Inc.		
1	Tennessee Valley Authority	Larry Akens	Affirmative
1	Tri-State G & T Association Inc. Tucson Electric Power Co.	Bruce A Sembrick	Affirmative
1 1		Ronald P. Belval Allen Klassen	Affirmative Affirmative
<u>'</u> 1	Westar Energy Western Area Power Administration	Robert Temple	Affirmative
<u>'</u> 1	Western Farmers Electric Coop.	Alan Derichsweiler	Affirmative
1	Xcel Energy, Inc.	Gregory L. Pieper	Affirmative
2	Alberta Electric System Operator	Anita Lee	Affirmative
2	British Columbia Transmission Corporation	Phil Park	Affirmative
2	California ISO	David Hawkins	Affirmative
2	Electric Reliability Council of Texas, Inc.	Roy D. McCoy	Affirmative
2	Independent Electricity System Operator	Don Tench	Affirmative
2	ISO New England, Inc.	Kathleen Goodman	Affirmative
2	Midwest ISO, Inc.	Terry Bilke	Affirmative
2	New Brunswick System Operator	Alden Briggs	Affirmative
2	New York Independent System Operator	Gregory Campoli	Affirmative
2	PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.	Tom Bowe	Affirmative
3	Alabama Power Company	Robin Hurst	Affirmative
3	Allegheny Power	Bob Reeping	Affirmative
3	Ameren Services Company	Mark Peters	Affirmative
3	American Electric Power	Raj Rana	Affirmative
3	Arizona Public Service Co.	Thomas R. Glock	Affirmative
3	Atlantic City Electric Company	James V. Petrella	Affirmative
3	Avista Corp.	Robert Lafferty Pat G. Harrington	Affirmative
3	BC Hydro and Power Authority Blue Ridge Power Agency	Duane S. Dahlquist	Abstain
3	Bonneville Power Administration	Rebecca Berdahl	
3	City of Tallahassee	Rusty S. Foster	Abstain
3	City Public Service of San Antonio	Edwin Les Barrow	Affirmative
3	Cleco Utility Group	Bryan Y Harper	Abstain
3	Constellation Energy	Carolyn Ingersoll	Affirmative
3	Consumers Energy Co.	David A. Lapinski	Affirmative
3	Delmarva Power & Light Co.	Michael R. Mayer	Affirmative
3	Dominion Resources, Inc.	Jalal (John) Babik	Affirmative
3	Duke Energy Carolina	Henry Ernst-Jr	Affirmative
3	Entergy Services, Inc.	Matt Wolf	Affirmative
3	Farmington Electric Utility System	Alan Glazner	Affirmative
3	FirstEnergy Solutions	Joanne Kathleen Borrell	Affirmative
3	Florida Municipal Power Agency	Michael Alexander	Affirmative
3	Florida Power & Light Co.	W.R. Schoneck	Affirmative
3	Florida Power Corporation	Lee Schuster	Affirmative
3	Georgia Power Company Crave Harbor PUD	Leslie Sibert	Affirmative
3	Grays Harbor PUD Great River Energy	Wesley W Gray Sam Kokkinen	Affirmative
3	Gulf Power Company	Gwen S Frazier	Affirmative
3	Hydro One Networks, Inc.	Michael D. Penstone	, ammative
3	JEA	Garry Baker	Negative
3	Kissimmee Utility Authority	Gregory David Woessner	Affirmative
3	Lincoln Electric System	Bruce Merrill	Affirmative
	Jan 2020 System		

Untitled Page Page 4 of 6

3	Louisville Gas and Electric Co.	Charles A. Freibert	Affirmative
3	Manitoba Hydro	Ronald Dacombe	7 dinimitativo
3	MAPPCOR	Peter A. Koegel	Abstain
3	MidAmerican Energy Co.	Thomas C. Mielnik	Affirmative
3	Mississippi Power	Don Horsley	Affirmative
		Christopher Lawrence	
3	New York Power Authority	de Graffenried	Affirmative
3	Niagara Mohawk (National Grid Company)	Michael Schiavone	Affirmative
3	Oklahoma Gas and Electric Co.	Gary Clear	Abstain
3	Orlando Utilities Commission	Ballard Keith Mutters	Affirmative
3	PECO Energy an Exelon Co.	John J. McCawley	Abstain
3	Platte River Power Authority	Terry L Baker	Affirmative
3	Potomac Electric Power Co.	Robert Reuter	Affirmative
3	Progress Energy Carolinas	Sam Waters	Affirmative
3	Public Service Electric and Gas Co.	Jeffrey Mueller	Affirmative
3	Public Utility District No. 1 of Chelan County	Kenneth R. Johnson	Affirmative
3	Public Utility District No. 2 of Grant County	Greg Lange	Affirmative
3	Reliant Energy Services	John Meyer	
3	Salt River Project	John T. Underhill	Affirmative
3	San Diego Gas & Electric	Scott Peterson	
3	Santee Cooper	Zack Dusenbury	Affirmative
3	SaskPower	Jeff Gienow	Abstain
3	Seattle City Light	Dana Wheelock	Affirmative
3	Tampa Electric Co.	Ronald L. Donahey	7 dinimative
3	Tennessee Valley Authority	Cynthia Herron	
3	Wisconsin Electric Power Marketing	James R. Keller	Affirmative
3	Wisconsin Public Service Corp.	James A. Maenner	Affirmative
3	Xcel Energy, Inc.	Michael Ibold	Affirmative
4	American Municipal Power - Ohio	Chris Norton	Affirmative
4	Consumers Energy Co.	David Frank Ronk	Affirmative
4	Florida Municipal Power Agency	Ralph Anderson	Affirmative
4	LaGen	Keith Comeaux	Ammative
4	Municipal Electric Utilities	Timothy R. Bush	
4	Association of New York Municipal Energy Agency of	John Krajewski	
	Nebraska	ļ	A CCI A Live
4	Northern California Power Agency	Fred E. Young	Affirmative
4	Old Dominion Electric Coop. Public Utility District No. 1 of	Mark Ringhausen	Affirmative
4	Douglas County	Henry E. LuBean	Affirmative
4	Reedy Creek Improvement District	Doug Wagner	Affirmative
4	Seattle City Light	Hao Li	Affirmative
4	Seminole Electric Cooperative, Inc.	Steven R. Wallace	Affirmative
4	South Mississippi Electric Power Association	Dan Kay	
4	Wisconsin Energy Corp.	Anthony Jankowski	Affirmative
5	AEP Service Corp.	Brock Ondayko	Affirmative
5	Alabama Electric Coop. Inc.	Tim Hattaway	Affirmative
5	American National Power, Inc.	Dorothy Capra	
5	APGI - Yadkin Division	Alan Jones	Abstain
5	Avista Corp.	Edward F. Groce	Affirmative
5	BC Hydro and Power Authority	Clement Ma	Affirmative
	Black Hills Power	Pamela Pahl	Affirmative
5	+		
5 5	Bonneville Power Administration	Francis J. Halbin	Affirmativel
	Bonneville Power Administration City of Tallahassee	Francis J. Halpin Alan Gale	Affirmative Affirmative

Untitled Page Page 5 of 6

5	Springfield	Karl E. Kohlrus	Affirmative	1
5	Colmac Clarion/Piney Creek LP	Harvie D. Beavers	Affirmative	
5	Conectiv Energy Supply, Inc.	Richard K. Douglass	Affirmative	
5	Constellation Generation Group	Michael F. Gildea	Abstain	
5	Dairyland Power Coop.	Warren Schaefer	Affirmative	
5	Detroit Edison Company	Ronald W. Bauer	Affirmative	
5	Dominion Energy	Harold W. Adams	Affirmative	
5	East Kentucky Power Coop.	Gerard Bordes	rummative	
5	Exelon Nuclear	Michael Korchynsky	Abstain	
5	FirstEnergy Solutions	Kenneth Dresner	Abstani	
5	Florida Municipal Power Agency	Douglas Keegan	Affirmative	
5	Florida Power & Light Co.	Robert A. Birch	Affirmative	
5	Gainesville Regional Utilities	Mark Bennett	Affirmative	
5	Great River Energy	Cynthia E Sulzer	Affirmative	
5	JEA	Donald Gilbert	Affirmative	
5	Lincoln Electric System	Dennis Florom	Affirmative	
5	Louisville Gas and Electric Co.	Charlie Martin	Affirmative	
5	Manitoba Hydro	Mark Aikens	Affirmative	
5	New York Power Authority	Richard J. Ardolino	Affirmative	
5	Oklahoma Gas and Electric Co.	Kim Morphis	Abstain	
5	PPL Generation LLC	Mark A. Heimbach	Affirmative	
5	Progress Energy Carolinas	Wayne Lewis	Affirmative	
5	PSEG Power LLC	Thomas Piascik		
5	Reedy Creek Energy Services	Bernie Budnik	Affirmative	
5	Salt River Project	Glen Reeves	Affirmative	
5	Seattle City Light	Michael J. Haynes	Affirmative	
5	Seminole Electric Cooperative, Inc.	Brenda K. Atkins		
5	South Carolina Electric & Gas Co.	Richard Jones	Affirmative	
5	Southeastern Power Administration	Douglas Spencer	Affirmative	
5	Southern Company Services, Inc.	Roger D. Green	Affirmative	
5	Tenaska, Inc.	Scott M. Helyer	Abstain	
5	TXU Generation Company LP	Rickey Terrill		
5	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Northwestern Division	Karl Bryan		
5	Wisconsin Electric Power Co.	Linda Horn	Affirmative	
5	Xcel Energy, Inc.	Stephen J. Beuning		
6	AEP Service Corp.	Dana E. Horton	Affirmative	
6	Black Hills Power	Larry Williamson	Affirmative	
6	Bonneville Power Administration	Brenda S. Anderson	Affirmative	
6	Dominion Energy Marketing	Lou Oberski	Affirmative	
6	Entergy Services, Inc.	William Franklin	Affirmative	View
6	Exelon Power Team	Pulin Shah	Abstain	
6	Florida Municipal Power Agency	Robert C. Williams		
6	Great River Energy	Donna Stephenson	Affirmative	
6	Lincoln Electric System	Eric Ruskamp	Affirmative	
6	Louisville Gas and Electric Co.	Daryn Barker	Affirmative	
6	Manitoba Hydro	Daniel Prowse	Affirmative	
6	New York Power Authority	Thomas Papadopoulos	Affirmative	
6	PP&L, Inc.	Thomas Hyzinski	Affirmative	
6	Progress Energy Carolinas	James Eckelkamp	Abstain	
6	Public Utility District No. 1 of Chelan County		Affirmative	
6	Salt River Project	Mike Hummel	Affirmative	
6	Santee Cooper	Suzanne Ritter	Affirmative	
6	South Carolina Electric & Gas Co.	John E Folsom, Jr.	Abstain	
6	Split Rock Energy LLC	Donna Stephenson		
6	Tampa Electric Co.	Jose Benjamin Quintas		
6	Tenaska Power Services Co.		Affirmative	
۱.				!

Untitled Page Page 6 of 6

l 	+	+		
6	Western Area Power Administration - UGP Marketing	John Stonebarger	Affirmative	
6	Xcel Energy, Inc.	David F. Lemmons	Affirmative	
7	Eastman Chemical Company	Lloyd Webb	Abstain	
7	Praxair Inc.	David Meade		
7	Steel Manufacturers Association	James Brew		
8	Energy Mark, Inc.	Howard F. Illian	Affirmative	
8	JDRJC Associates	Jim D. Cyrulewski	Affirmative	
8	Other	Michehl R. Gent	Affirmative	
9	California Energy Commission	William Mitchell Chamberlain	Affirmative	
9	California Public Utilities Commission	Laurence Chaset	Affirmative	
9	Commonwealth of Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities	Donald E. Nelson	Affirmative	
9	Minnesota Public Utilities Commission	Ken Wolf		
9	National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners	Diane J. Barney	Affirmative	
9	North Carolina Utilities Commission	Kimberly J. Jones	Affirmative	
9	Public Service Commission of South Carolina	Philip Riley	Affirmative	
9	Public Utilities Commission of Ohio	Klaus Lambeck	Affirmative	
9	Wyoming Public Service Commission	Steve Oxley	Affirmative	
10	Electric Reliability Council of Texas, Inc.	Kent Saathoff	Affirmative	
10	Florida Reliability Coordinating Council	Linda Campbell	Affirmative	
10	Midwest Reliability Organization	Larry Brusseau	Affirmative	
10	New York State Reliability Council	Alan Adamson	Affirmative	
10	Northeast Power Coordinating Council, Inc.	Edward A. Schwerdt	Affirmative	
10	ReliabilityFirst Corporation	Jacquie Smith	Negative	<u>View</u>
10	Southwest Power Pool	Charles H. Yeung	Affirmative	
10	Western Electricity Coordinating Council	Louise McCarren	Affirmative	

609.452.8060 (Voice) - 609.452.9550 (Fax) 116-390 Village Boulevard, Princeton, New Jersey 08540-5721 Copyright © 2007 by the North American Electric Reliability Corporation. All rights reserved. A New Jersey Nonprofit Corporation





Standards Announcement: Initial Ballot Results January 7, 2008

TO: REGISTERED BALLOT BODY

Ladies and Gentlemen:

The Standards Committee (SC) announces the following:

Initial Ballot Results for Interpretation of Requirement R17 in BAL-005-1 — Automatic Generation Control

The initial ballot for the interpretation of Requirement R17 in BAL-005-1 — Automatic Generation Control was conducted from December 19, 2007 through January 4, 2008.

Portland General Electric Company submitted a <u>Request for an Interpretation</u> of BAL-005-1 Automatic Generation Control, Requirement R17. The request asked if the requirement to annually check and calibrate time error and frequency devices applies to the following measuring devices:

- Only equipment within the operations control room
- Only equipment that provides values used to calculate automatic generation control area control error
- Only equipment that provides values to its SCADA system
- Only equipment owned or operated by the balancing authority
- Only to new or replacement equipment
- To all equipment that a balancing authority owns or operates

The Frequency Task Force (drafting team) provided an <u>interpretation</u> that clarifies that Requirement R17 applies only to the time error and frequency devices that provide, or in the case of back-up equipment may provide, input into the area control error (ACE) equation or provide real-time time error or frequency information to the system operator. The requirement does not apply to frequency inputs from other sources that are for reference only. The time error and frequency measurement devices may not necessarily be located in the system operations control room or owned by the balancing authority; however, the balancing authority has the responsibility for the accuracy of the frequency and time error measurement devices. No other devices are included in Requirement R17 — the other devices listed in the table at the end of Requirement R17 are for reference only and do not have any mandatory calibration or accuracy requirements.

New or replacement equipment that provides the same functions noted above requires the same calibrations. Some devices used for time error and frequency measurement cannot be calibrated as such. In this case, these devices should be cross-checked against other properly calibrated equipment and replaced if the devices do not meet the required level of accuracy.

The ballot achieved a quorum; however, there was a negative ballot with a comment, initiating the need to review the comment and determine whether the interpretation needs modification before proceeding to a recirculation ballot. The drafting team will be reviewing all comments submitted with the initial ballots and will prepare its consideration of those comments. (Detailed Ballot Results)

Quorum: 84.77 % Approval: 98.44 %

Standards Development Process

The NERC posting and balloting procedures are described in the <u>Reliability Standards</u> <u>Development Procedure Manual</u>, which contains all the procedures governing the standards development process. The success of the NERC standards development process depends on stakeholder participation. We extend our thanks to all those who participate.

Please send questions to Maureen Long at maureen.long@nerc.net, or call 813-468-5998.

Sincerely,

Maureen E. Long

Maureen Long Standards Process Manager maureen.long@nerc.net 813-468-5998

cc: Registered Ballot Body Registered Users Standards Mailing List NERC Roster



Request for Clarification received from PGE on July 31, 2007

PGE requests clarification regarding the measuring devices for which the requirement applies, specifically clarification if the requirement applies to the following measuring devices:

- Only equipment within the operations control room
- Only equipment that provides values used to calculate AGC ACE
- Only equipment that provides values to its SCADA system
- Only equipment owned or operated by the BA
- Only to new or replacement equipment
- To all equipment that a BA owns or operates

BAL-005-1

R17. Each Balancing Authority shall at least annually check and calibrate its time error and frequency devices against a common reference. The Balancing Authority shall adhere to the minimum values for measuring devices as listed below:

Device	Accuracy
Digital frequency transducer	≤ 0.001 Hz
MW, MVAR, and voltage transducer	≤ 0.25% of full scale
Remote terminal unit	≤ 0.25% of full scale
Potential transformer	≤ 0.30% of full scale
Current transformer	< 0.50% of full scale

Existing Interpretation Approved by Board of Trustees May 2, 2007

BAL-005-0, Requirement 17 requires that the Balancing Authority check and calibrate its control room time error and frequency devices against a common reference at least annually. The requirement to "annually check and calibrate" does not address any devices outside of the operations control room.

The table represents the design accuracy of the listed devices. There is no requirement within the standard to "annually check and calibrate" the devices listed in the table, unless they are included in the control center time error and frequency devices.

Interpretation provided by NERC Frequency Task Force on September 7, 2007 and Revised on November 16, 2007

As noted in the existing interpretation, BAL-005-1 Requirement 17 applies only to the time error and frequency devices that provide, or in the case of back-up equipment may provide, input into the reporting or compliance ACE equation or provide real-time time error or frequency information to the system operator. Frequency inputs from other sources that are for reference only are excluded. The time error and frequency measurement devices may not necessarily be located in the system operations control room or owned by the Balancing Authority; however the Balancing Authority has the responsibility for the accuracy of the frequency and time error measurement devices. No other devices are included in R 17.

The other devices listed in the table at the end of R17 are for reference only and do not have any mandatory calibration or accuracy requirements.

New or replacement equipment that provides the same functions noted above requires the same calibrations. Some devices used for time error and frequency measurement cannot be calibrated as such. In this case, these devices should be cross-checked against other properly calibrated equipment and replaced if the devices do not meet the required level of accuracy.





Standards Announcement: Recirculation Ballot Window Opens January 14, 2008

TO: REGISTERED BALLOT BODY

Ladies and Gentlemen:

The Standards Committee (SC) announces the following:

Recirculation Ballot Window for Interpretation of VAR-001-0 Requirement R4 (for Dynegy) is Open

The <u>recirculation ballot</u> for the <u>Interpretation of R4 in VAR-001-1</u> — Voltage and Reactive Control requested by Dynegy is open through 8 p.m. (EST) on Wednesday, January 23, 2007. The Standards Committee encourages all members of the Ballot Pool to review the <u>consideration of initial ballot comments</u>.

Members of the ballot pool may:

- Reconsider and change their vote from the first ballot.
- Vote in the second ballot even if they did not vote on the first ballot.
- Take no action if they do not want to change their original vote.

In the recirculation ballot, votes are counted by exception only — if a Ballot Pool member does not submit a revision to that member's original vote, the vote remains the same as in the first ballot.

Recirculation Ballot Window for Revised Interpretation of BAL-005-1 Requirement R17 (for PGE) is Open

The <u>recirculation ballot</u> for the <u>Revised Interpretation of R17 inBal-005-1</u> — Automatic Generation Control requested by Portland General Electric is open through 8 p.m. (EST) on Wednesday, January 23, 2007. The Standards Committee encourages all members of the Ballot Pool to review the consideration of initial ballot comments.

Members of the ballot pool may:

- Reconsider and change their vote from the first ballot.
- Vote in the second ballot even if they did not vote on the first ballot.
- Take no action if they do not want to change their original vote.

In the recirculation ballot, votes are counted by exception only — if a Ballot Pool member does not submit a revision to that member's original vote, the vote remains the same as in the first ballot.

Standards Development Process

The NERC posting and balloting procedures are described in the <u>Reliability Standards</u>

Development Procedure Manual, which contains all the procedures governing the standards

REGISTERED BALLOT BODY January 7, 2008 Page Two

development process. The success of the NERC standards development process depends on stakeholder participation. We extend our thanks to all those who participate.

Please send questions to Maureen Long at maureen.long@nerc.net, or call 813-468-5998.

Sincerely,

Maareen E. Long

Maureen Long Standards Process Manager maureen.long@nerc.net 813-468-5998

cc: Registered Ballot Body Registered Users

Standards Mailing List

NERC Roster

Page 1 of 6 Untitled Page

Regions | Committees | Meetings | Search | Site Map | Contact Us



Reliability Standards

User Name

Password

Log in

Register

Reliability Standards Home Announcements **BOT Approved Standards** Regulatory Approved Standards Standards Under Development **Ballot Pools Current Ballots Ballot Results** Registered Ballot Body **Proxy Voters** Registration Instructions Regional Reliability Standards

NERC Home

	Ballot Results
Ballot Name:	Request for Interpretation - BAL-005-1, R17 - PGE_rc
Ballot Period:	1/14/2008 - 1/23/2008
Ballot Type:	recirculation
Total # Votes:	213
Total Ballot Pool:	243
	87.65 % The Quorum has been reached
Weighted Segment Vote:	98.17 %
Ballot Results:	The Standard has Passed

	Summary of Ballot Results												
				Affir	m	ative	Negative		ve	Abstain			
	llot ool		ment eight	# Votes	Fı	raction	v	# otes	Fra	ction	٧	# 'otes	No Vote
							Ī						
1 - Segment 1.		70	1	į	56		1		0		0	6	8
2 - Segment 2.		10	1	•	10		1		0		0	0	0
3 - Segment 3.		59	1	4	45	0.95	7		2	0.0)43	6	6
4 - Segment 4.		14	1	•	10		1		0		0	1	3
5 - Segment 5.		44	1	(32		1		0		0	5	7
6 - Segment 6.		23	1		17		1		0		0	3	3
7 - Segment 7.		3	0		0		0		0		0	1	2
8 - Segment 8.		3	0.3		3	0.	3		0		0	0	0
9 - Segment 9.		9	0.7		7	0.	7		0		0	1	1
10 - Segment 1	0.	8	0.8		7	0.	7		1		0.1	0	0
Totals		243	7.8	18	37	7.65	7		3	0.1	43	23	30

	Individual Ballot Pool Results						
Segm	ent	Organization	Member	Ва	llot	Comments	
1	1	Service Corp Transmission tem AEP	Scott P. Moore		Affirma	tive	
1	Alleg	gheny Power	Rodney Phillips		Affirma	tive	
1	Alliant Energy		Kenneth Goldsmith		Affirmative		
1	Ameren Services Company		Kirit S. Shah		Affirma	tive	
1	Ame LLC	erican Transmission Company,	Jason Shaver		Affirma	tive	
1	Asso	ociated Electric Cooperative, Inc.	John Bussman		Affirma	tive	
1	Avis	ta Corp.	Scott Kinney		Affirma	tive	
1	Balti	imore Gas & Electric Company	John J. Moraski		Absta	in	
1	Basi	n Electric Power Cooperative	David Rudolph		Affirma	tive	
1	Boni	neville Power Administration	Donald S. Watki	ns	Affirma	tive	

Untitled Page Page 2 of 6

1	Central Maine Power Company	David Mark Conroy		
1	Consolidated Edison Co. of New York	Edwin E. Thompson PE	Affirmative	
1	Dominion Virginia Power	William L. Thompson	Abstain	
1	Duke Energy Carolina	Douglas E. Hils	Affirmative	
1	Duquesne Light Co.	Bob McClelland		
1	East Kentucky Power Coop.	George S. Carruba	Affirmative	
1	Empire District Electric Co.	Ralph Frederick Meyer	Affirmative	
1	Entergy Corporation	George R. Bartlett	Affirmative	
1	FirstEnergy Energy Delivery	Robert Martinko	Affirmative	
1	Florida Keys Electric Cooperative Assoc.	Dennis Minton	Affirmative	
1	Florida Power & Light Co.	C. Martin Mennes	Affirmative	
1	Great River Energy	Gordon Pietsch	Affirmative	
1	Hoosier Energy Rural Electric Cooperative, Inc.	Damon Holladay	Affirmative	
1	Hydro One Networks, Inc.	Ajay Garg	Affirmative	
1	Idaho Power Company	Ronald D. Schellberg	Affirmative	
<u> </u>	ITC Transmission	Brian F. Thumm		
1	JEA	Ted E. Hobson	Affirmative	
<u>'</u> 1	Kansas City Power & Light Co.	Jim Useldinger	Affirmative	
<u>'</u> 1	Keyspan LIPA	Richard J. Bolbrock	Abstain	
1	LG&E Energy Transmission Services		ADSIGITI	
			A 66: mm = a 4: a	
1	Lincoln Electric System	Doug Bantam	Affirmative	
1	Manitoba Hydro	Robert G. Coish	Affirmative	
1	Minnesota Power, Inc.	Carol Gerou	Affirmative	
1	Municipal Electric Authority of Georgia	Jerry J Tang	Affirmative	
1	New Brunswick Power Transmission Corporation	Wayne N. Snowdon	Affirmative	
1	New York Power Authority	Ralph Rufrano	Abstain	
1	Northeast Utilities	David H. Boguslawski	Abstain	
1	Northern Indiana Public Service Co.	Joseph Dobes	Affirmative	
1	Nova Scotia Power Inc.	David D. Little	Affirmative	
1	Ohio Valley Electric Corp.	Robert Mattey	Affirmative	
1	Oklahoma Gas and Electric Co.	Melvin H. Perkins		
1	Oncor Electric Delivery	Charles W. Jenkins	Affirmative	
1	Otter Tail Power Company	Lawrence R. Larson	Affirmative	
1	Pacific Gas and Electric Company	Chifong L. Thomas		
1	PacifiCorp	Robert Williams	Affirmative	
1	Potomac Electric Power Co.	Richard J. Kafka	Affirmative	
1	PP&L, Inc.	Ray Mammarella	Affirmative	
1	Progress Energy Carolinas	Sammy Roberts	Affirmative	
1	Public Service Company of New Mexico	Keith Nix	Affirmative	
1	Public Service Electric and Gas Co.	Kenneth D. Brown	Affirmative	
1	Sacramento Municipal Utility District		Affirmative	
1	Salt River Project	Robert Kondziolka	Affirmative	
1	San Diego Gas & Electric	Linda Brown		
1	Santee Cooper	Terry L. Blackwell	Affirmative	
1	SaskPower	Wayne Guttormson	Abstain	View
1	SCE&G	Henry Delk, Jr.	Affirmative	
1	Seattle City Light	Christopher M. Turner	Affirmative	
1	Sierra Pacific Power Co.	Richard Salgo	Affirmative	
1	South Carolina Electric & Gas Co.	Lee N. Xanthakos	, ann mative	
	Southern California Edison Co.	Dana Cabbell	Affirmative	
1	poutrierri caillornia EulSUN CO.		Ammative	
1	Southern Company Services, Inc.	Horace Stephen Williamson	Affirmative	

Untitled Page Page 3 of 6

1	Southern Illinois Power Coop.	William G. Hutchison	Affirmative
1	Southwest Transmission	James L. Jones	Affirmative
	Cooperative, Inc.		A 661
1	Tennessee Valley Authority	Larry Akens	Affirmative
1	Tri-State G & T Association Inc.	Bruce A Sembrick	Affirmative
11	Tucson Electric Power Co.	Ronald P. Belval	Affirmative
1	Westar Energy	Allen Klassen	Affirmative
1	Western Area Power Administration	Robert Temple	Affirmative
1	Western Farmers Electric Coop.	Alan Derichsweiler	Affirmative
1 2	Xcel Energy, Inc.	Gregory L. Pieper	Affirmative
	Alberta Electric System Operator	Anita Lee	Affirmative
2	British Columbia Transmission Corporation	Phil Park	Affirmative
2	California ISO	David Hawkins	Affirmative
2	Electric Reliability Council of Texas, Inc.	Roy D. McCoy	Affirmative
2	Independent Electricity System Operator	Don Tench	Affirmative
2	ISO New England, Inc.	Kathleen Goodman	Affirmative
2	Midwest ISO, Inc.	Terry Bilke	Affirmative
2	New Brunswick System Operator	Alden Briggs	Affirmative
2	New York Independent System Operator	Gregory Campoli	Affirmative
2	PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.	Tom Bowe	Affirmative
3	Alabama Power Company	Robin Hurst	Affirmative
3	Allegheny Power	Bob Reeping	Affirmative
3	Ameren Services Company	Mark Peters	Affirmative
3	American Electric Power	Raj Rana	Affirmative
3	Arizona Public Service Co.	Thomas R. Glock	Affirmative
3	Atlantic City Electric Company	James V. Petrella	Affirmative
3	Avista Corp.	Robert Lafferty	Affirmative
3	BC Hydro and Power Authority	Pat G. Harrington	Abstain
3	Blue Ridge Power Agency	Duane S. Dahlquist	
3	Bonneville Power Administration	Rebecca Berdahl	Affirmative
3	City of Tallahassee	Rusty S. Foster	Abstain
3	City Public Service of San Antonio	Edwin Les Barrow	Affirmative
3	Cleco Utility Group	Bryan Y Harper	Affirmative
3	Constellation Energy	Carolyn Ingersoll	Affirmative
3	Consumers Energy Co.	David A. Lapinski	Affirmative
3	Delmarva Power & Light Co.	Michael R. Mayer	Affirmative
3	Dominion Resources, Inc.	Jalal (John) Babik	Affirmative
3	Duke Energy Carolina	Henry Ernst-Jr	Affirmative
3	Entergy Services, Inc.	Matt Wolf	Affirmative
3	Farmington Electric Utility System	Alan Glazner	Affirmative
3	FirstEnergy Solutions	Joanne Kathleen Borrell	Affirmative
3	Florida Municipal Power Agency	Michael Alexander	Affirmative
3	Florida Power & Light Co.	W.R. Schoneck	Affirmative
3	Florida Power Corporation	Lee Schuster	Affirmative
3	Georgia Power Company	Leslie Sibert	Affirmative
3	Grays Harbor PUD	Wesley W Gray	
3	Great River Energy	Sam Kokkinen	Affirmative
3	Gulf Power Company	Gwen S Frazier	Affirmative
3	Hydro One Networks, Inc.	Michael D. Penstone	Affirmative
3	JEA	Garry Baker	Negative
	Kissimmee Utility Authority	Gregory David	Affirmative
3	Kissininee Othity Authority	lwoessner	1
3	Lincoln Electric System	Woessner Bruce Merrill	Affirmative

Untitled Page Page $4 \ of \ 6$

3	Louisville Gas and Electric Co.	Charles A. Freibert	Affirmative	
3	Manitoba Hydro	Ronald Dacombe	Affirmative	
3	MAPPCOR	Peter A. Koegel	Abstain	
3	MidAmerican Energy Co.	Thomas C. Mielnik	Affirmative	
3	Mississippi Power	Don Horsley	Affirmative	
3	New York Power Authority	Christopher Lawrence de Graffenried	Negative	<u>View</u>
3	Niagara Mohawk (National Grid Company)	Michael Schiavone	Affirmative	
3	Oklahoma Gas and Electric Co.	Gary Clear	Abstain	
3	Orlando Utilities Commission	Ballard Keith Mutters	Affirmative	
3	PECO Energy an Exelon Co.	John J. McCawley	Abstain	
3	Platte River Power Authority	Terry L Baker	Affirmative	
3	Potomac Electric Power Co.	Robert Reuter	Affirmative	
3	Progress Energy Carolinas	Sam Waters	Affirmative	
3	Public Service Electric and Gas Co.	Jeffrey Mueller	Affirmative	
3	Public Utility District No. 1 of Chelan County	Kenneth R. Johnson	Affirmative	
3	Public Utility District No. 2 of Grant County	Greg Lange	Affirmative	
3	Reliant Energy Services	John Meyer		
3	Salt River Project	John T. Underhill	Affirmative	
3	San Diego Gas & Electric	Scott Peterson		
3	Santee Cooper	Zack Dusenbury	Affirmative	
3	SaskPower	Jeff Gienow	Abstain	
3	Seattle City Light	Dana Wheelock	Affirmative	
3	Tampa Electric Co.	Ronald L. Donahey		
3	Tennessee Valley Authority	Cynthia Herron		
3	Wisconsin Electric Power Marketing	James R. Keller	Affirmative	
3	Wisconsin Public Service Corp.	James A. Maenner	Affirmative	
3	Xcel Energy, Inc.	Michael Ibold	Affirmative	
4	American Municipal Power - Ohio	Chris Norton	Affirmative	
4	Consumers Energy Co.	David Frank Ronk	Affirmative	
4	Florida Municipal Power Agency	Ralph Anderson	Affirmative	
4	LaGen	Keith Comeaux	Abstain	
4	Municipal Electric Utilities Association of New York	Timothy R. Bush		
4	Municipal Energy Agency of Nebraska	John Krajewski		
4	Northern California Power Agency	Fred E. Young	Affirmative	
4	Old Dominion Electric Coop.	Mark Ringhausen	Affirmative	
4	Public Utility District No. 1 of Douglas County	Henry E. LuBean	Affirmative	
4	Reedy Creek Improvement District	Doug Wagner	Affirmative	
4	Seattle City Light	Hao Li	Affirmative	
4	Seminole Electric Cooperative, Inc.	Steven R. Wallace	Affirmative	
4	South Mississippi Electric Power Association	Dan Kay		
4	Wisconsin Energy Corp.	Anthony Jankowski	Affirmative	
5	AEP Service Corp.	Brock Ondayko	Affirmative	
5	Alabama Electric Coop. Inc.	Tim Hattaway	Affirmative	
5	American National Power, Inc.	Dorothy Capra		
5	APGI - Yadkin Division	Alan Jones	Abstain	
5	Avista Corp.	Edward F. Groce	Affirmative	
5	BC Hydro and Power Authority	Clement Ma	Affirmative	
5	Black Hills Power	Pamela Pahl	Affirmative	
5	Bonneville Power Administration	Francis J. Halpin	Affirmative	
5	City of Tallahassee	Alan Gale	Affirmative	
J	Jana Tananassoo	Cuio	ativo	

Untitled Page Page 5 of 6

5	Springfield	Karl E. Kohlrus	Affirmative	I
5	Colmac Clarion/Piney Creek LP	Harvie D. Beavers	Affirmative	
5	Conectiv Energy Supply, Inc.	Richard K. Douglass	Affirmative	
5	Constellation Generation Group	Michael F. Gildea	Abstain	
5	Dairyland Power Coop.	Warren Schaefer	Affirmative	
5	Detroit Edison Company	Ronald W. Bauer	Affirmative	
5	Dominion Energy	Harold W. Adams	Affirmative	
5	East Kentucky Power Coop.	Gerard Bordes	rummative	
5	Exelon Nuclear	Michael Korchynsky	Abstain	
5	FirstEnergy Solutions	Kenneth Dresner	Abstani	
5	Florida Municipal Power Agency	Douglas Keegan	Affirmative	
5	Florida Power & Light Co.	Robert A. Birch	Affirmative	
5	Gainesville Regional Utilities	Mark Bennett	Affirmative	
5	Great River Energy	Cynthia E Sulzer	Affirmative	
5	JEA	Donald Gilbert	Affirmative	
5	Lincoln Electric System	Dennis Florom	Affirmative	
5	Louisville Gas and Electric Co.	Charlie Martin	Affirmative	
5	Manitoba Hydro	Mark Aikens	Affirmative	
5	New York Power Authority	Richard J. Ardolino	Affirmative	
5	Oklahoma Gas and Electric Co.	Kim Morphis	Abstain	
5	PPL Generation LLC	Mark A. Heimbach	Affirmative	
5	Progress Energy Carolinas	Wayne Lewis	Affirmative	
5	PSEG Power LLC	Thomas Piascik		
5	Reedy Creek Energy Services	Bernie Budnik	Affirmative	
5	Salt River Project	Glen Reeves	Affirmative	
5	Seattle City Light	Michael J. Haynes	Affirmative	
5	Seminole Electric Cooperative, Inc.	Brenda K. Atkins		
5	South Carolina Electric & Gas Co.	Richard Jones	Affirmative	
5	Southeastern Power Administration	Douglas Spencer	Affirmative	
5	Southern Company Services, Inc.	Roger D. Green	Affirmative	
5	Tenaska, Inc.	Scott M. Helyer	Abstain	
5	TXU Generation Company LP	Rickey Terrill		
5	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Northwestern Division	Karl Bryan	Affirmative	
5	Wisconsin Electric Power Co.	Linda Horn	Affirmative	
5	Xcel Energy, Inc.	Stephen J. Beuning		
6	AEP Service Corp.	Dana E. Horton	Affirmative	
6	Black Hills Power	Larry Williamson	Affirmative	
6	Bonneville Power Administration	Brenda S. Anderson	Affirmative	
6	Dominion Energy Marketing	Lou Oberski	Affirmative	
6	Entergy Services, Inc.	William Franklin	Affirmative	View
6	Exelon Power Team	Pulin Shah	Abstain	
6	Florida Municipal Power Agency	Robert C. Williams		
6	Great River Energy	Donna Stephenson	Affirmative	
6	Lincoln Electric System	Eric Ruskamp	Affirmative	
6	Louisville Gas and Electric Co.	Daryn Barker	Affirmative	
6	Manitoba Hydro	Daniel Prowse	Affirmative	
6	New York Power Authority	Thomas Papadopoulos	Abstain	
6	PP&L, Inc.	Thomas Hyzinski	Affirmative	
6	Progress Energy Carolinas	James Eckelkamp	Affirmative	
6	Public Utility District No. 1 of Chelan County		Affirmative	
6	Salt River Project	Mike Hummel	Affirmative	
6	Santee Cooper	Suzanne Ritter	Affirmative	
6	South Carolina Electric & Gas Co.	John E Folsom, Jr.	Abstain	
6	Split Rock Energy LLC	Donna Stephenson		
6	Tampa Electric Co.	Jose Benjamin Quintas		
6	Tenaska Power Services Co.		Affirmative	
ı •			·	Į.

Untitled Page Page 6 of 6

l 	+	+		
6	Western Area Power Administration - UGP Marketing	John Stonebarger	Affirmative	
6	Xcel Energy, Inc.	David F. Lemmons	Affirmative	
7	Eastman Chemical Company	Lloyd Webb	Abstain	
7	Praxair Inc.	David Meade		
7	Steel Manufacturers Association	James Brew		
8	Energy Mark, Inc.	Howard F. Illian	Affirmative	
8	JDRJC Associates	Jim D. Cyrulewski	Affirmative	
8	Other	Michehl R. Gent	Affirmative	
9	California Energy Commission	William Mitchell Chamberlain	Affirmative	
9	California Public Utilities Commission	Laurence Chaset	Affirmative	
9	Commonwealth of Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities	Donald E. Nelson	Affirmative	
9	Minnesota Public Utilities Commission	Ken Wolf		
9	National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners	Diane J. Barney	Affirmative	
9	North Carolina Utilities Commission	Kimberly J. Jones	Affirmative	
9	Public Service Commission of South Carolina	Philip Riley	Affirmative	
9	Public Utilities Commission of Ohio	Klaus Lambeck	Abstain	
9	Wyoming Public Service Commission	Steve Oxley	Affirmative	
10	Electric Reliability Council of Texas, Inc.	Kent Saathoff	Affirmative	
10	Florida Reliability Coordinating Council	Linda Campbell	Affirmative	
10	Midwest Reliability Organization	Larry Brusseau	Affirmative	
10	New York State Reliability Council	Alan Adamson	Affirmative	
10	Northeast Power Coordinating Council, Inc.	Edward A. Schwerdt	Affirmative	
10	ReliabilityFirst Corporation	Jacquie Smith	Negative	<u>View</u>
10	Southwest Power Pool	Charles H. Yeung	Affirmative	
10	Western Electricity Coordinating Council	Louise McCarren	Affirmative	

609.452.8060 (Voice) - 609.452.9550 (Fax) 116-390 Village Boulevard, Princeton, New Jersey 08540-5721 Copyright © 2007 by the North American Electric Reliability Corporation. All rights reserved. A New Jersey Nonprofit Corporation





January 25, 2007

Re: Final Ballot Results

The Standards Committee (SC) announces the following:

Final Ballot Results for Interpretation of BAL-005-1 — Automatic Generation Control, Requirement 17

The recirculation ballot for the revised interpretation of BAL-005-1 — Automatic Generation Control, Requirement 17 for Portland General Electric Company was conducted from January 14–23, 2008 and the ballot passed. (Detailed Ballot Results)

Quorum: 87.65 % Approval: 98.17 %

The <u>Interpretation</u> clarifies that in reliability standard BAL-005-1, Requirement 17 applies only to the time error and frequency devices that provide, or in the case of back-up equipment may provide, input into the area control error (ACE) equation or provide real-time time error or frequency information to the system operator. The requirement does not apply to frequency inputs from other sources that are for reference only. The time error and frequency measurement devices may not necessarily be located in the system operations control room or owned by the balancing authority; however, the balancing authority has the responsibility for the accuracy of the frequency and time error measurement devices.

This interpretation for Portland General Electric Company expands on the previous interpretation of BAL-005-1 Requirement 17 developed for R.W. Beck that was approved by the Board of Trustees on May 2, 2007. If the Board of Trustees approves the interpretation for Portland General Electric, the interpretation for R.W. Beck will be retired.

Final Ballot Results for Interpretation of VAR-001-1 — Voltage and Reactive Control, Requirement 4

The recirculation ballot for the interpretation of VAR-001-1 — Voltage and Reactive Control, Requirement 4 for Dynegy was conducted from January 14–23, 2008 and the ballot passed. (Detailed Ballot Results)

Quorum: 89.67 % Approval: 93.18 %

The <u>Interpretation</u> clarifies that VAR-001-1, Requirement 4 does not include any language regarding the "quality" of the transmission operator's voltage or reactive power schedule.

Standards Development Process

The <u>Reliability Standards Development Procedure</u> contains all the procedures governing the standards development process. The success of the NERC standards development process depends on stakeholder participation. We extend our thanks to all those who participate. If you have any questions, please contact me at 813-468-5998 or <u>maureen.long@nerc.net</u>.



Request for Clarification received from PGE on July 31, 2007

PGE requests clarification regarding the measuring devices for which the requirement applies, specifically clarification if the requirement applies to the following measuring devices:

- Only equipment within the operations control room
- Only equipment that provides values used to calculate AGC ACE
- Only equipment that provides values to its SCADA system
- Only equipment owned or operated by the BA
- Only to new or replacement equipment
- To all equipment that a BA owns or operates

BAL-005-1

R17. Each Balancing Authority shall at least annually check and calibrate its time error and frequency devices against a common reference. The Balancing Authority shall adhere to the minimum values for measuring devices as listed below:

Device	Accuracy
Digital frequency transducer	≤ 0.001 Hz
MW, MVAR, and voltage transducer	≤ 0.25% of full scale
Remote terminal unit	≤ 0.25% of full scale
Potential transformer	≤ 0.30% of full scale
Current transformer	< 0.50% of full scale

Existing Interpretation Approved by Board of Trustees May 2, 2007

BAL-005-0, Requirement 17 requires that the Balancing Authority check and calibrate its control room time error and frequency devices against a common reference at least annually. The requirement to "annually check and calibrate" does not address any devices outside of the operations control room.

The table represents the design accuracy of the listed devices. There is no requirement within the standard to "annually check and calibrate" the devices listed in the table, unless they are included in the control center time error and frequency devices.

Interpretation provided by NERC Frequency Task Force on September 7, 2007 and Revised on November 16, 2007

As noted in the existing interpretation, BAL-005-1 Requirement 17 applies only to the time error and frequency devices that provide, or in the case of back-up equipment may provide, input into the reporting or compliance ACE equation or provide real-time time error or frequency information to the system operator. Frequency inputs from other sources that are for reference only are excluded. The time error and frequency measurement devices may not necessarily be located in the system operations control room or owned by the Balancing Authority; however the Balancing Authority has the responsibility for the accuracy of the frequency and time error measurement devices. No other devices are included in R 17.

The other devices listed in the table at the end of R17 are for reference only and do not have any mandatory calibration or accuracy requirements.

New or replacement equipment that provides the same functions noted above requires the same calibrations. Some devices used for time error and frequency measurement cannot be calibrated as such. In this case, these devices should be cross-checked against other properly calibrated equipment and replaced if the devices do not meet the required level of accuracy.