

Texas RE Response to March 21, 2008 FERC Order Regarding Texas RE Delegation Agreement Revisions

The following are the Texas RE responses to the Commission Determinations (by paragraph number)

A. Exhibit D Modifications – Compliance Monitoring & Enforcement Program Hearing

146. Footnote 80. [80 We also require TRE to revise the reference in section 10.0(5) to the chief compliance officer's "summary written recommendation." Section 10.0(6) refers correctly to the chief compliance officer's "summary written decision." For consistency, both sections should use the same language.]

Texas RE Response: Texas RE concurs and has made the required correction to section 10.0(5) of Attachment 1 to Exhibit D, Texas Regional Entity Regional Hearing Process, to make reference to the "summary written decision".

148. We require that the TRE Rules of Procedure adopt paragraph 1.1.5 of the NERC hearing procedures, regarding the definition of the term "mitigation plan," in place of TRE's proposed deviation. The TRE Hearing Procedures, as proposed, state that a mitigation plan is "usually required" if a registered entity violates a Reliability Standard. In the *April 19 Order*, the Commission accepted a proposal similar to TRE's proposed deviation, as incorporated in the WECC CMEP section 1.1.11 (describing a mitigation plan as "usually" required for a violation). The Commission did so, however, based on WECC's support for this allowance. By contrast, TRE has not provided any justification for its proposed deviation.

Texas RE Response: Texas RE concurs and has made the required correction to the definition of "mitigation plan" in section 1.1.5 of Attachment 2 to Exhibit D, Rules of Procedure, so the term is consistent with the *pro forma* term.

149. The TRE Rules of Procedure definition of the term "Cybersecurity Incident," at section 1.1.5, deviates from the *pro forma* term, which is based on both a statutory definition and the Commission's regulations. TRE's proposed definition also uses terms (e.g., "Physical Security Perimeter" and "Electronic Security Perimeter") that do not appear in the statutory or regulatory definitions and that are not otherwise defined. Because TRE has not supported its proposed deviation, we direct TRE to adopt the *pro forma* term or justify its proposed deviation.

Texas RE Response: Texas RE concurs and has made the directed correction to the definition of "Cybersecurity Incident" in section 1.1.5 of Attachment 2 to Exhibit D, TRE Rules of Procedure, so the term is consistent with the *pro forma* term.

150. We instruct TRE to modify the proposed deviation at section 1.2 of the TRE Rules of Procedure, regarding the hold harmless assurances applicable to the acts of the compliance enforcement authority. Section 1.2 deviates from the *pro forma* provision by omitting, as an act that will *not* be held harmless, breaches of confidentiality.⁸⁶ [86] The NERC hearing procedures, at paragraph 1.2.15, provide, in relevant part, that “[t]his ‘hold harmless’ provision does not extend to matters constituting gross negligence, intentional misconduct or breach of confidentiality.”] However, TRE fails to support this proposed deviation with an explanation regarding why a breach of confidentiality is not germane to this provision. In fact, at section 1.2(B), TRE’s Exhibit D addresses confidentiality by listing the methods that can be used for protecting confidential information. Unauthorized public disclosure of such information by the compliance enforcement authority in violation of this provision could harm those who sought confidential treatment. As such, section 1.2 of TRE’s Rules of Procedure should not extend its hold harmless protection to acts that violate a method listed in section 1.2(B) of TRE’s Exhibit D.

Texas RE Response: Texas RE has made the instructed modification to section 1.2 of the TRE Rules of Procedure in Attachment 2 to Exhibit D, so the hold harmless protection does not include breaches of confidentiality, consistently with the *pro forma* document.

151. Finally, we find unsupported the TRE proposed deviation at section 1.4.2 of the TRE Rules of Procedure, omitting the *pro forma* paragraph 1.4.6 disclosure requirement regarding a technical advisor’s employment history. We direct NERC and TRE to adopt the *pro forma* requirement.

Texas RE Response: Texas RE concurs and has made the directed correction to include the disclosure of the employment history of the Technical Advisor in section 1.4.2 of the Texas RE Rules of Procedure, in accordance with the *pro forma* language in section 1.4.6.

B. Exhibit E Revisions – Funding)

153. We accept, with the following modifications, the proposed Exhibit E revisions addressing TRE’s obligation to transfer the money it collects to NERC. The TRE Delegation Agreement is a contract between TRE and NERC and, therefore, TRE remains ultimately responsible for ensuring that the transfer of funds is made in a timely manner and that the appropriate financial safeguards are in place. Accordingly, we direct NERC and TRE to revise TRE’s Exhibit E to include the *pro forma* financial safeguards. The TRE Exhibit E must adopt the *pro forma* assurance that money ERCOT collects will be transferred to NERC on a timely basis. In addition, because ERCOT, as an ERO regulated entity, has agreed to act as the billing and collection agent, ERCOT must adopt the financial safeguards as well as a statement that it will transfer money to NERC on a timely basis. The transfer of this function from a Regional Entity to an RTO does not necessarily eliminate the Commission’s concern that a registered entity not use its position to unduly influence NERC’s decisions.

154. The *ERO Certification Order* directed NERC to adopt appropriate safeguards in the *pro forma* base Delegation Agreement to ensure that, when a Regional Entity performs billing and collection functions on behalf of NERC: (i) the Regional Entity transfers the money to NERC in a timely manner, and (ii) the Regional Entity does not use its position as billing agent and collector

to unduly influence NERC's decisions. In the *April 19 Order*, the Commission stated that to the extent an entity agrees to act as the collection agent, *these* safeguards must be addressed in that context as well.

Texas RE Response: Texas RE has made the directed modifications Exhibit E to include the *pro forma* assurance language. Additionally, Texas RE proposes that ERCOT sign an agreement to abide by these financial assurances, which signed statement is an attachment to Exhibit E.

Exhibit B – Governance

~~Note: The complete ERCOT bylaws, including provisions applicable to the governance of ERCOT in its many state-law functions and to governance of the independent division of ERCOT, Texas Regional Entity, in its functions under this Amended and Restated Delegation Agreement, are attached hereto as Attachment 1 for informational purposes. Consistent with In the matter of: North American Electric Reliability Council, North American Electric Reliability Corporation, Docket No. RR06-1-008, and In the matter of: Delegation Agreement Between the North American Electric Reliability Corporation and Texas Regional Entity, a Division of ERCOT, Docket No. RR07-1-001, Order on Rehearing, 120 FERC ¶61,260, ¶¶16-20 (Issued September 21, 2007), ERCOT and Texas Regional Entity respectfully request that (a) the Commission review, approve, and adopt only the ERCOT bylaws cited or quoted in Exhibit B below as Texas Regional Entity rules under the Commission's regulations; and (b) the Commission determine that the remainder of the ERCOT bylaws are not Texas Regional Entity rules under the Commission's regulations and do not require NERC or Commission approval.~~

CRITERION 1: The Regional Entity shall be governed by an independent board, a balanced stakeholder board, or a combination independent and balanced stakeholder board. (Federal Power Act § 215(e)(4)(A), 18 C.F.R. § 39.8(c)(1), Order No. 672 at ¶ 727.)

A. Texas RE is governed by a combination independent and balanced stakeholder board.

B. Section 4.2 of Texas RE's bylaws (the bylaws of Electric Reliability Council of Texas, Inc.) specify that its board shall have 16 members, as follows:

- A. Five (5) independent individuals who are unaffiliated with any electric market participant ("Unaffiliated Directors") who are each approved by the Public Utility Commission of Texas ("PUCT") for a three-year term;
- B. Six (6) electric market participant representatives (plus a segment alternate for each such representative) from each of the following market segments: independent generators, investor-owned utilities; power marketers; retail electric providers, municipally owned utilities, and cooperatives;
- C. Three (3) consumer representatives;
- D. CEO of ERCOT (as ex officio voting Director); and
- E. Chairman of the PUCT, as an ex officio non-voting Director.

C. Subsection 4.3 (b)(2) ii of Texas RE's bylaws define the requirements of "independence" as follows:

- a. Unaffiliated Directors or family members (any spouse, parent, spouse of a parent, child or sibling, including step and adoptive relatives, and household member) shall not have current or recent ties (within the last two years) as a director, officer or employee of a Market Participant or its Affiliates.
- b. Unaffiliated Directors or family members (any spouse, parent, spouse of a parent, child or sibling, including step and adoptive relatives and any household member) shall not have direct business relationships, other than retail customer relationships, with a Market Participant or its Affiliates.

- c. To the extent that an Unaffiliated Director or family member (any spouse, parent, spouse of a parent, child or sibling, including step and adoptive relatives) living in the same household or any other household member owns stocks or bonds of Market Participants, these must be divested or placed in a blind trust prior to being seated on the Board.”
- d. Unaffiliated Directors shall not have any relationship that would interfere with the exercise of independent judgment in carrying out the responsibilities of an ERCOT board member, including the Delegated Authority.

D. Texas RE's bylaws achieve balance on the board because the board has representation from seven (7) segments (six (6) market segments plus consumer representatives) and five (5) independent directors, and Section 4.7 of the bylaws contains the following quorum and voting requirements:

Except as may be otherwise specifically provided by law, the Articles of Incorporation or these Bylaws, at all meetings of the Board, fifty percent (50%) of the seated Directors shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of business. The act of at least sixty-seven percent (67%) affirmative votes of the eligible voting Directors shall be the act of the Board, unless the act of a greater number is otherwise required by law, the Articles of Incorporation, or these Bylaws. If a quorum shall not be present at any meeting of the Board, the Directors present may adjourn the meeting.

CRITERION 2: The Regional Entity has established rules that assure its independence of the users and owners and operators of the bulk power system, while assuring fair stakeholder representation in the selection of its directors. Federal Power Act § 215(c)(2)(A) and (e)(4), 18 C.F.R. § 39.8(c)(2), Order No. 672 at ¶¶ 699, 700.)

A. Texas RE or its affiliate is an RTO or ISO and therefore a user, owner, or operator of bulk power system facilities. Article 6 and Section 7.2 of Texas RE's bylaws establish a strong separation between Texas RE's oversight and operations functions, as follows:

Section 6.1 TRE Responsibilities and Duties. The TRE shall be a functionally independent division within ERCOT which shall be responsible for proposing, developing, implementing and enforcing Reliability Standards in accordance with the Delegated Authority. The TRE shall also be responsible for investigating compliance with and enforcing violations of the ERCOT Protocols (“ERCOT Compliance”), so long as the ERCOT Compliance activities do not conflict with the Delegated Authority. The TRE shall develop policies, processes, standards, and procedures to implement the Delegated Authority and the ERCOT Compliance activities. The TRE shall form a Reliability Standards Committee (“RSC”), comprised of members from all ERCOT Segments, to propose, receive, consider, authorize, and vote on Reliability Standards and Reliability Variances, in accordance with the Texas Regional Entity Standards Development Process and procedures. All proposed ERCOT-specific Reliability Standards and Reliability

Variances requests must be approved by the Board, prior to being submitted to NERC.

Section 6.2 TRE Independence. The TRE and its employees shall function independently of the other divisions, departments and employees of ERCOT. TRE employees shall be responsible for creating and monitoring a separate budget to be submitted to the Board for approval and then to the North American Electric Reliability Corporation ("NERC") for approval, pursuant to a Delegation Agreement ("TRE Budget"). The portion of the TRE Budget which is for activities that are not related to the Delegated Authority but are for ERCOT Compliance activities will be approved by the PUCT. Except for ERCOT Compliance activities and any extraordinary activities that are specifically approved by NERC in the TRE Budget, the TRE shall be funded separately by NERC. The TRE shall (i) maintain separate books and records to account for its finances, separating income and expenditures for the Delegated Authority and the ERCOT Compliance Activities and (ii) pay a fair market rate for any goods and services obtained from ERCOT, or if a fair market rate is not readily determinable without undue effort or expense, at least the out-of-pocket cost incurred by ERCOT in respect thereof. The ERCOT acknowledges that the TRE Chief Compliance Officer and the TRE staff will conduct investigations into and will prosecute enforcement actions regarding the matters within the scope of the TRE's responsibilities and duties, including investigations and prosecutions of ERCOT.

Section 6.3 TRE Management. The business and affairs of the TRE shall be managed directly by the Board, or a subcommittee thereof, to insure independence of the TRE from the other ERCOT operations and activities, including the ERCOT Independent System Operator functions. The Board shall hire a Chief Compliance Officer ("CCO") who, under its supervision and direction, shall carry on the general affairs of the TRE as the chief executive officer. The CCO shall be independent of any market participant and shall be an independent member of the staff of ERCOT, reporting exclusively to the Board. The Board shall only hire a CCO after consulting the PUCT Commissioners and Executive Director, and obtaining the approval of the PUCT Executive Director. The Board may also appoint a financial director who will report to the CCO, with responsibility for overseeing the budgeting, finance and accounting functions necessary for the independent operation of the TRE. The TRE may retain outside advisors as it deems necessary. The CCO shall have the sole authority to retain or terminate such outside counsel and other advisors as the CCO may deem appropriate in his or her sole discretion. The CCO shall have the sole authority to approve related fees and retention terms for such advisors, in accordance with the TRE Budget. The CCO shall make an annual report and periodic reports to the Board concerning the activities and expenditures of the TRE, and the TRE shall have its separate financial statements reviewed or audited annually. The CCO shall ensure that the TRE files all required reports with NERC. CCO shall, in cooperation with the financial director of the TRE, monitor the expenditure of the monies received by the TRE to ensure that such are deployed in accordance with the TRE Budget, as approved by the Board and NERC.

Section 6.4 TRE Employees. To the fullest extent practicable under applicable law, the TRE and the CCO shall be responsible for hiring, firing and compensating all TRE employees. TRE employees shall be compensated from the TRE budget. If permissible, and consistent with the Board's and CCO's compensation policies for the TRE employees, such employees may participate in insurance and other benefits extended to ERCOT employees, provided that the TRE reimburses ERCOT for the full cost of providing such benefits. The TRE is authorized to employ attorneys, and all such attorneys shall report to the CCO and shall have ethical and other obligations solely to the TRE and not to ERCOT. Such attorneys are expressly authorized and required to provide advice to the CCO and TRE regarding the Delegated Authority and ERCOT Compliance activities, including investigations and enforcement actions involving ERCOT. Such attorneys are specifically authorized to assist with the prosecution of enforcement actions relating to the Delegated Authority or ERCOT Compliance activities.

Section 6.5 Evaluation of TRE Performance. The Board shall monitor the TRE and CCO's performance, establish and review the CCO's compensation and provide annual, or at its election, more frequent, evaluations. The Board may receive and will consider input from the PUCT regarding the compliance and enforcement activities of the CCO and the TRE. It shall be the CCO's duty, in cooperation with the financial director of the TRE, to monitor the expenditure of the monies received by the TRE to ensure that such are deployed in accordance with the TRE Budget, as approved by the Board, PUCT and NERC. The Board will consider input from the PUCT regarding the compliance and enforcement activities and performance of the CCO and TRE. Neither the CCO nor any TRE employee may be retaliated against by ERCOT or its Board for investigating or participating in any enforcement activities pursuant to the Delegated Authority. The Board may not terminate, discipline, or demote the CCO or any TRE employees, advisors or contractors because of compliance or enforcement activities conducted in good faith.

Section 7.2 CCO. The Board shall hire a Chief Compliance Officer ("CCO") who, under the Board's supervision and direction shall carry on the affairs of the TRE. The CCO shall comply with all orders of the Board and will coordinate with the NERC regarding activities relating to the Delegated Authority and with PUCT regarding ERCOT Compliance activities. All employees and contractors of the TRE shall report and be responsible, to the CCO. The CCO shall be responsible for employment-related decisions for all employees of the TRE that are not appointed by the Board and shall provide input to the Board with respect to TRE employees appointed by the Board. The CCO shall perform such other duties as may be determined from time to time by the Board, for the benefit of the TRE. The Board may only terminate, discipline, not renew, or demote the CCO after consulting the PUCT Commissioners and Executive Director, and obtaining the approval of the PUCT Executive Director.

CRITERION 3: If the Regional Entity has members, the Regional Entity has established rules that assure that its membership is open, that it charges no more than a nominal membership fee and agrees to waive the fee for good cause shown, and that membership is not a condition for participating in the development of or voting on proposed Regional Reliability Standards. (Federal Power Act § 215(c)(2)(A) and (e)(4), 18 C.F.R. § 39.8(c)(2), Order No. 672 at ¶¶ 170-173.)

A. Texas RE has an open membership policy that permits full and fair participation of all stakeholders through their representatives, including in the development and voting on Regional Reliability Standards.

1. Membership Categories. Section 3.1 (a) of the Texas RE bylaws provides that members may qualify in one of the six market segments, if they have an actual financial interest in and are able to business in the ERCOT Region, or in the Consumer segment. Section 3.2 of the bylaws provides that members may qualify in one of three membership categories:

(a) Corporate Members – shall have the rights and obligations as described in these Bylaws including the right to vote on all matters submitted to the general membership (such as election of Directors, election of TAC Representatives and amendments to the Articles of Incorporation and these Bylaws).

(b) Associate Members – shall have the rights and obligations as described in these Bylaws excluding the right to vote on any matter submitted to the general Membership (such as election of Directors, election of TAC Representatives and amendments to the Articles of Incorporation and these Bylaws).

(c) Adjunct Members – may be approved for Adjunct Membership by the Board if such entity does not meet the definitions and requirements to join as a Corporate or Associate Member. Adjunct Members shall have no right to vote on any matter submitted to the general Membership nor any right to be elected or appointed to the ERCOT Board, TAC or any subcommittee of the Board or TAC. Adjunct Members shall be bound by the same obligations as other Members of ERCOT.

2. Membership Obligations. Section 3.3 of the bylaws provides that, (a) each Member must comply with any applicable planning and operating criteria, procedures and guides adopted by or under the direction of the Board to maintain electric system reliability, coordinate planning and promote comparable access to the transmission system by all users, and (b) consistent with applicable laws and regulations, Members must share information at ERCOT's or TRE's request as necessary for the furtherance of ERCOT or TRE's activity and consistent with PUCT and NERC rules relating to confidentiality.

3. Full and Fair Participation. Section 3.6 of the bylaws provides that no Entity may simultaneously hold more than one Corporate Membership or more than one seat on the Board, TAC, or RSC and that members may join as a Corporate member in only one segment. Subsection 3.6(c) provides that each Corporate member in good standing is entitled to one vote on each matter submitted to a vote of the Corporate members.

The Texas Regional Entity Standards Development Process ("TRE SDP," see Exhibit D) provides for due process, openness, and balance in Standards development and modification.

Section IV of the TRE SDP provides that any person, acting as a representative of an organization which is directly and materially affected by the operation of ERCOT's Bulk Power System ("BPS") is allowed to request a Standard be developed or an existing Standard modified or deleted by creating a Standards Authorization Request (SAR). Section V of the TRE SDP provides that (1) any person representing an organization with a direct and material interest in the bulk power system has a right to participate in the standards development process by: a) expressing an opinion and its basis, b) having that position considered, and c) appealing any negative decision. Section V specifically provides that, "Participation is open to all organizations that are directly and materially affected by ERCOT's BPS [Bulk Power System] reliability. There shall be no undue financial barriers to participation. Participation shall not be conditioned upon membership in ERCOT, and shall not be unreasonably restricted on the basis of technical qualifications or other such requirements. Meetings of SDTs [Standards Drafting Teams] are open to ERCOT's Membership and to others and all proposed SARs and Standards are posted for comment on the Texas RE Website."

B. Texas RE charges no more than a nominal membership fee and agrees to waive the fee for good cause shown. Section 3.4 of the bylaws provides for the following fees:

Annual Member Service Fees for Corporate Members shall be \$2,000. Annual Member Service Fees for Associate Members shall be \$500. Annual Member Service Fees for Adjunct Members shall be \$500. The Annual Member Service Fees for Residential and Commercial Consumer Members shall be \$100 for Corporate Membership and \$50 for Associate Membership; provided that there will be no charge for Annual Member Service Fees for associations that qualify for Commercial Consumer Membership or for other associations or persons, upon good cause shown. Office of Public Utility Counsel ("OPUC") and the appointed Residential Consumer TAC Representative(s) shall be eligible to be Corporate Members without the payment of Annual Member Service Fees. Any Member may request that the Member's Annual Member Service Fees be waived for good cause shown.

CRITERION 4: The Regional Entity has established rules that assure balance in its decision-making committees and subordinate organizational structures and assure no two industry sectors can control any action and no one industry sector can veto any action. (Federal Power Act § 215(c)(2)(A) and (e)(4), 18 C.F.R. § 39.8(c)(2), Order No. 672 at ¶ 728.)

A. Texas RE's bylaws, procedural rules, and protocols assure balance in decision-making committees and subordinate organizational structures in how such groups are structured.

Section 6.1 of the bylaws provides that the Texas RE shall form a Reliability Standards Committee ("RSC"), comprised of members from all ERCOT Segments, to propose, consider and vote on Reliability Standards and Reliability Variances, in accordance with the Texas Regional Entity Standards Development Process and procedures. All proposed ERCOT-specific Reliability Standards and Reliability Variances requests and must be approved by the Board, prior to being submitted to NERC.

B. Texas RE's bylaws, procedural rules, and protocols assure balance in decision-making committees and subordinate organizational structures in how such groups make decisions.

Step 1 of the TRE SDP provides that any entity that is directly or materially impacted by the operation of the Bulk Power System within the geographical footprint of the ERCOT Region may request the development, modification, or deletion of an ERCOT Standard or Regional Variance. The RSC, comprised of the seven Segments, will consider and determine which requests will be assigned for development, modification, or deletion (Article II and Step 1 of the TRE SDP). Step 6A of the TRE SDP provides that all members may vote on proposed new standards, standard revisions, or standard deletions ("Standards" herein), and at least one member from five of the seven segments must vote to constitute a quorum. Step 6A further provides that each Segment shall receive one Segment Vote, the representative of each voting member shall receive an equal fraction of its Segment Vote, and if a draft Standard receives 4.67 affirmative votes during the 15 day voting period, the RSC will forward the Standard to the board for a vote. Step 6B of the TRE SDP provides that, if a Standard does not timely receive 4.67 affirmative votes, the Standard may be remanded for further work and reposted for a second comment period before a second vote. The board may approve, remand to RSC or disapprove a proposed Standard.

C. Texas RE's bylaws, procedural rules, and protocols assure no two industry sectors can control any action and no one industry sector can veto any action. The RSC is comprised of representatives from each Segment. The TRE SDP requires five (5) of the seven (7) Segments to constitute a quorum for a vote on a proposed Standard. (Step 6A of TRE SDP). Even if a proposed Standard does not receive a 4.67 or greater affirmative vote during a second voting period, the RSC will forward the Standard and implementation plan to the board.

CRITERION 5: The Regional Entity has established rules that provide reasonable notice and opportunity for public comment, due process, openness, and balance of interests in exercising its duties. (Federal Power Act § 215(c)(2)(D) and (e)(4), 18 C.F.R. § 39.8(c)(2).)

Texas RE's bylaws, procedural rules, and protocols provide reasonable notice and opportunity for public comment, due process, openness, and balance of interests in exercising its duties, as follows:

A. Notice of Meetings. Section 4.6(a) of the bylaws requires the board to meet at least quarterly, with at least one meeting occurring in conjunction with the annual meeting of the members. Section 4.6(b) requires notice of any meeting of the board or any board subcommittee where at least one board director is present be given to each Director and made available electronically to the public on the Internet not less than one week before the date of the meeting; provided, however, the board may meet on urgent matters on such shorter notice, not less than 2 hours, as the person or persons calling such meeting reasonably may deem necessary or appropriate for urgent matters (emergency conditions threatening public health or safety, or a reasonably unforeseen situation). Section 4.6 (e) also provides that notice of the agenda, place, date, and hour of any meeting of the RSC be made available electronically to the public on the Internet not less than one week before the date of the meeting; provided that, RSC may meet on urgent matters on such shorter notice, not less than 2 hours, in the event of urgent matters.

B. **Public Meetings.** Section 4.6(e) of the bylaws requires that meetings of the board or board subcommittees be open to the public, although they, at their discretion, exclude any persons who are not directors from any meeting or portion of any meeting held in Executive Session,

including for purposes of voting. Executive session is limited to matters involving sensitive matters including, but, confidential personnel information, contracts, lawsuits, deliberation of purchase of real property, competitively sensitive information, deployment or implementation of security devices or other information related to the security of ERCOT's regional electrical network and discussion of any matters on which the Board receives legal advice from its attorneys. Section 4.6(d) of the bylaws requires the board to promulgate procedures allowing public access to meetings of the board and board subcommittees and allowing for members of the public to provide comment on the matters under discussion at public portions of meetings of the board and subcommittees.

C. Available Minutes. Section 4.6(f) of the bylaws requires the Secretary to keep minutes for all Board meetings.

D. Bylaws Amendment. Section 13.1 (d) (4) of the bylaws provides that an affirmative vote by at least four of the seven Segments is necessary to amend the bylaws, and, if permission for any amendment is required by NERC or FERC under the Delegation Agreement, the required permission must be obtained before such amendment is effective.

ATTACHMENT 1 TO EXHIBIT D – COMPLIANCE MONITORING AND ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM

Texas Regional Entity Regional Hearing Process

1.0 Designation of Hearing Body

All formal compliance hearings shall be held before the Compliance Enforcement Authority's Hearing Body. The Hearing Body shall be the Public Utility Commission of Texas. All hearings shall be conducted under the hearing procedures set forth in Attachment 2 to Exhibit D ("Attachment 2—Rules of Procedure"), supplementing this Attachment 1. As set forth in Attachment 2— Rules of Procedure, the Hearing Body may delegate any hearing-related task to a Presiding Officer, except for the issuance of the final recommendation.

The Compliance Enforcement Authority shall initiate the hearing process following the completion of the Notice of Alleged Violation and proposed sanction and registered entity response processes in accordance with Section 5.1 of the North American Electric Reliability Corporation ("NERC") Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Program ("NERC CMEP"), as set forth below.

Following the filing of a proceeding contesting an Alleged Violation or a Remedial Action Directive, no person shall engage in ex parte communications with the Hearing Body, including without limitation any appointed Presiding Officer, concerning the matter in dispute until the Chief Compliance Officer's written decision issued pursuant to Section 9.1 is appealed or the deadline for filing an appeal has passed; provided, however, that: (a) a member of the Hearing Body or the Presiding Officer may communicate ex parte with another member of the Hearing Body unless prohibited by other law, and (b) a member of the Hearing Body or the Presiding Officer may communicate ex parte with a Commission employee who has not participated in a hearing in the case for the purpose of using the special skills or knowledge of the agency and its staff in evaluating the evidence.

The Hearing Body may rule on all procedural and discovery matters pursuant to Attachment 2—Rules of Procedure.

The Hearing Body may delegate to a single commissioner, a hearings examiner, or an administrative law judge (a "Presiding Officer") the authority to establish the procedures and dates for the presentation of all materials concerning the alleged violation and the power to hear evidence and to issue a draft recommendation, but the Hearing Body may not delegate its authority to issue a final recommendation on the alleged violation to the Chief Compliance Officer of the Compliance Enforcement Authority.

In accordance with Attachment 2—Rules of Procedure, the Hearing Body may provide for additional procedures as it deems necessary to effectively carry out a compliance hearing. To the extent permitted by law, any provision in this Attachment 1 may be waived, suspended, or modified by the Presiding Officer or the Hearing Body, as defined in Attachment 2—Rules of Procedure §1.1.5, for good cause shown, either upon the Presiding Officer's or the Hearing Body's own motion or upon the motion of any Party.

2.0 Recusal of Member of Hearing Body

A Hearing Body member, Presiding Officer, or Technical Advisor shall recuse himself or herself if participation in the enforcement proceeding would violate the Compliance Enforcement Authority's Conflict of Interest or Code of Conduct policy.

The Registered Entity may raise an objection to any member of the Hearing Body, a Presiding Officer or Technical Advisor on grounds of a conflict of interest or the existence of other circumstances that could interfere with the that person's impartial performance of his or her duties. Such objections must be provided in writing and filed reasonably in advance of the start of the hearing and the Presiding Officer shall make a decision on the objection promptly. Upon request of the Registered Entity, the Hearing Body (without participation of the Hearing Body member, Presiding Officer, or Technical Advisor) may review the determination and, if so, shall issue a decision on the objection promptly.

3.0 Authorized Representatives

Both the Compliance Enforcement Authority and the Registered Entity shall submit to the Hearing Body the names of the persons authorized to represent them in the Hearing Process pursuant to Attachment 2—Rules of Procedure. Such persons shall be officers or equivalents of the Regional Entity and the Registered Entity that have the authority to act on behalf of the Regional Entity and the Registered Entity, respectively. In addition, a party shall advise the Hearing Body and the other party if the party will be represented by legal counsel.

4.0 Statement of Alleged Violation and Response by Registered Entity

The Registered Entity shall initiate the compliance hearing process in accordance with Section 5.1 of the NERC CMEP and Attachment 2—Rules of Procedure by filing with the Hearing Body Clerk a statement or complaint contesting the written Notice of Alleged Violation and proposed sanction and serving a copy upon the Compliance Enforcement Authority. Specifically, the Registered Entity shall file with the Hearing Body (with service of copies upon the Compliance Enforcement Authority) a written statement of reasons why the Alleged Violation is in error or a written statement of reasons why the proposed penalty or sanction is inappropriate (if applicable in the particular case), along with copies of all documents relied on by the Registered Entity to support its position. If the dispute involves a Registered Entity's proposed mitigation plan ("Registered Entity's Mitigation Proposal") that has not been accepted by the Compliance Enforcement Authority, the Registered Entity may initiate the hearing process by filing a request for hearing with the Hearing Body Clerk and serving a copy upon the Compliance Enforcement Authority.

Within five (5) business days after the request for review of the Alleged Violation, penalty, or mitigation plan or Remedial Action Directive is filed, the Compliance Enforcement Authority's designated representative shall file with the Hearing Body (with copies to the Registered Entity) a copy of the written Notice of the Alleged Violation and proposed sanction that was originally provided to the Registered Entity, along with copies of any non-privileged or non-exempt documents gathered and reviewed by the Compliance Enforcement Authority in the course of determining an Alleged Violation has occurred and in determining the proposed sanction or penalty.

If the hearing involves the question of whether a Registered Entity's Mitigation Proposal

should be accepted, within twenty (20) days after the request for review of the Alleged Violation, penalty, or mitigation plan or Remedial Action Directive is filed, the Compliance Enforcement Authority shall file a report stating why the Registered Entity's Mitigation Proposal was not accepted. If the hearing involves a Registered Entity's Mitigation Proposal, the Registered Entity shall file its proposed Mitigation Plan and supporting information stating why the Mitigation Plan should be accepted within thirty (30) days after the date the request for review of the Alleged Violation, penalty, or mitigation plan or Remedial Action Directive is filed.

5.0 Setting of Hearings and Conferences

The Hearing Body shall set a date for an initial conference within thirty (30) days after the date the request for review of the Alleged Violation, penalty, or mitigation plan or Remedial Action Directive is filed. At the initial conference, the Hearing Body shall establish specific procedures for the hearing including (1) any procedures for exchange of additional documents, (2) any written testimony, (3) the hearing date(s), and (4) dates for any briefs. Subject to Section 6.0 and the Attachment 2—Rules of Procedure, each party shall be entitled to (1) present the testimony of witnesses, (2) cross-examination of opposing witnesses, (3) make an oral presentation of position, and (4) file a written post-hearing brief.

The Hearing Body may hold additional conferences. All notices of conferences and hearings shall set forth the date, time and place of hearing. The Hearing Body shall issue a written order setting forth the agreements and rulings made at each conference.

By agreement of the parties or order of the Hearing Body, any conference or hearing may be conducted via teleconference, except that, subject to section 6.0 of this hearing process, witnesses shall personally appear at the hearing.

All prehearing conferences and hearings shall be open to the public, except when the use of a closed meeting is authorized by Texas law.

6.0 Conduct of Hearing

The hearing will be conducted under the provisions of this section 6.0 and the Attachment 2— Rules of Procedure.

The hearing need not be held on consecutive days, and shall be held at the offices of the Hearing Body unless the Hearing Body decides on a different location after consulting with the parties.

The party requesting transcription of the hearing, the Registered Entity or Compliance Enforcement Authority, will arrange and pay for transcription of the hearing.

The Hearing Body shall direct the direct testimony of any witnesses be in written form in accordance with Attachment 2— Rules of Procedure. All witnesses shall be required to appear in person, unless waived by the parties and the Hearing Body. Motions shall be made and decided, evidence shall be presented, and a record shall be made in accordance with Attachment 2— Rules of Procedure.

7.0 Submission of Post-Hearing Briefs

The parties may submit post-hearing briefs on a schedule established by the Hearing Body pursuant to Attachment 2— Rules of Procedure. The parties may, and on request of the Hearing Body shall, submit proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law.

8.0 Record of the Compliance Hearing

If applicable, copies of the following documents shall be maintained by the Hearing Body as the record of the hearing process:

- (1) The written notice that a request for review of the Alleged Violation, penalty, or mitigation plan or Remedial Action Directive has been filed with the Hearing Body Clerk;
- (2) The Notice of Alleged Violation and sanction issued by the Compliance Enforcement Authority and the response filed by the Registered Entity, including in each case all attachments thereto and documents provided therewith;
- (3) If the hearing involves a Registered Entity's Mitigation Proposal, (a) the Registered Entity's Mitigation Proposal and supporting information as to why the Registered Entity's Mitigation Proposal should be accepted and (b) the report of the Compliance Enforcement Authority stating why the Registrant's Mitigation Proposal was not accepted;
- (4) Any requests for recusal of a member of the Hearing Body, a Presiding Officer, or a Technical Advisor, and any responses to such requests;
- (5) All motions, notices and responses filed by the parties during the hearing process;
- (6) All documents that set forth or that summarize any ex parte communications;
- (7) All notices and rulings issued by the Hearing Body during the hearing process;
- (8) All interlocutory orders;
- (9) All written testimony and all exhibits received into evidence;
- (10) All written testimony and documentary exhibits that were proffered but not admitted into evidence;
- (11) Any transcript(s);
- (12) The parties' post-hearing briefs, any exceptions to the draft recommendation, any motions for reconsideration or rehearing, and any other post-decision briefing or motion;
- (13) The draft recommendation of the Presiding Officer, if any; and
- (14) The final recommendation of the Hearing Body.

9.0 Timing of Written Recommendation to the Chief Compliance Officer

The Hearing Body shall issue its written final recommendation to the Chief Compliance Officer within thirty (30) days following the submission of post-hearing briefs, or, if briefing is waived, following the conclusion of the hearing. The Hearing Body may in its discretion extend the time for the issuance of the written final recommendation to the Chief Compliance Officer for up to an additional sixty (60) days. The written final recommendation shall state the opinion of the Hearing Body with respect to Alleged Violations of Reliability Standards and proposed penalties or sanctions at issue in the hearing. If the hearing involves a Registered Entity's Mitigation Proposal, the written

final recommendation shall either propose acceptance or rejection of the Registered Entity's Mitigation Proposal. If the proposed Registered Entity's Mitigation Proposal is recommended for rejection, the Hearing Body may specify the provisions of an alternative plan of mitigation that the Registered Entity should be required to implement. The written final recommendation shall explain the reasons for the Hearing Body's conclusions and cite the testimony and exhibits relied on by the Hearing Body in reaching its opinions. Copies of the written final recommendation shall be served electronically and by certified mail on the Registered Entity and on the Compliance Enforcement Authority's designated representative at the time it is issued to the Chief Compliance Officer.

9.1 Written Decision by the Chief Compliance Officer

The Chief Compliance Officer shall issue his written decision accepting, rejecting or modifying the Hearing Body's recommendation, within twenty (20) business days following the issuance of the Hearing Body's written final recommendation. The Chief Compliance Officer may extend the date for issuance of his written decision for an additional twenty (20) business days in his sole discretion. The Chief Compliance Officer's written decision shall state the conclusion of the Chief Compliance Officer with respect to Alleged Violations of Reliability Standards and proposed penalties or sanctions at issue in the hearing. If the hearing involves a Registered Entity's Mitigation Proposal, the written decision shall either accept or reject the Registered Entity's Mitigation Proposal. If the proposed Registered Entity's Mitigation Proposal is rejected, the Chief Compliance Officer may specify the provisions of the Registered Entity's Mitigation Proposal that the Registered Entity should be required to implement, together with other mitigation measures the Chief Compliance Officer shall require. The written decision shall explain the reasons for the Chief Compliance Officer's conclusions and cite the testimony and exhibits relied on by the Chief Compliance Officer in reaching its conclusions. Copies of the written decision shall be served electronically and by certified mail on the Registered Entity, on the Compliance Enforcement Authority's designated representative, and on the Hearing Body.

9.2 NERC Appeal Process

The Registered Entity may appeal an adverse decision of the Chief Compliance Officer to NERC, as provided for in NERC Rules of Procedure, Sections 407.3 and 410.

10.0 Expedited Hearing Process for Disputes Concerning Remedial Action Directives

A Registered Entity that disputes a Remedial Action Directive issued by a Compliance Enforcement Authority may request an expedited hearing. To facilitate the expedited hearing, the Compliance Enforcement Authority may request that the Hearing Body convene for purposes of the expedited hearing process. The following expedited procedures shall be followed:

- (1) The Registered Entity shall file its written response the Remedial Action Directive and request for emergency hearing with the Hearing Body, with a copy to the Compliance Enforcement Authority's designated representative within two (2) business days after receipt of the Remedial Action Directive. The Hearing Body may appoint a Presiding Officer to conduct all proceedings under this Section

10.0, except for the issuance of a final recommendation to the Chief Compliance Officer.

- (2) The Hearing Body shall be convened for purposes of a prehearing, and if requested, for interim relief, not less than two (2) nor more than five (5) business days after receipt of the Registered Entity's request for a hearing.
- (3) The Hearing Body shall conduct a hearing on the matter, in person or by teleconference, within thirty (30) days after the Hearing Body is convened. At the hearing, the Compliance Enforcement Authority shall explain why the Remedial Action Directive should be complied with, and the Registered Entity shall explain why the Remedial Action Directive is not necessary or should be modified.
- (4) The Hearing Body shall issue a summary written recommendation to the Chief Compliance Officer within twenty (20) business days following the hearing, stating whether the Registered Entity should or should not be required to comply with the Remedial Action Directive and identifying any modifications to the directive that it finds appropriate.
- (5) The Chief Compliance Officer shall issue a summary written [recommendation decision](#) within ten (10) business days following the Hearing Body's issuance of its summary written recommendation, stating whether the Registered Entity shall or shall not be required to comply with the Remedial Action Directive and identifying any modifications to the directive that it finds appropriate.
- (6) If the Chief Compliance Officer's summary written decision concludes that the Registered Entity is required to comply with the Remedial Action Directive or any modification to such directive (including adjustments to the timetable for implementation), the Registered Entity shall be required to begin implementing the Remedial Action Directive upon receipt of the summary written decision, if it has not already implemented the Remedial Action Directive.
- (7) Within thirty (30) days following issuance of its summary written decision, the Chief Compliance Officer shall issue a full written decision regarding the Remedial Action Directive to the requirements of Section 9.0, above, that may be appealed consistent with Section 9.2.
- (8) This Section 10.0 provides procedures for the expeditious determination of the propriety of a contested Remedial Action Directive. Nothing in this Section shall be read to impair the Compliance Enforcement Authority's authority to issue a Notice of Alleged Violation and proposed sanction on alleged violations of standards addressed by a Remedial Action Directive or on other alleged violations occurring contemporaneously with the Remedial Action Directive or at any other time using the non-expedited procedures of this Attachment 1 or Attachment 2— Rules of Procedure.

ATTACHMENT 2 [TO EXHIBIT D](#) – [TEXAS RE](#) RULES OF PROCEDURE

1.1 Applicability, Definitions and Interpretation

1.1.1 Procedure Governed

The provisions set forth in this Attachment 2 to the Texas Regional Entity, a division of Electric Reliability Council of Texas (“Texas RE” or “Compliance Enforcement Authority”) (“Rules of Procedure”) shall apply to and govern practice and procedure before the Compliance Enforcement Authority and Hearing Board, as defined herein, in hearings in the ERCOT region of the United States conducted into (a) whether Registered Entities within the Compliance Enforcement Authority’s area of responsibility have violated Reliability Standards, and (b) if so, to determine the appropriate Mitigation Plans as well as any remedial actions, penalties or sanctions in accordance with the NERC *ERO Sanction Guidelines* and other applicable penalty guidelines approved by FERC pursuant to 18 C.F.R. Section 39.7(g)(2). Any hearing conducted pursuant to these Rules of Procedure shall be conducted before the Public Utility Commission of Texas (“Commission”), as is further provided herein.

1.1.2 Deviations and Exceptions

- (a) To the extent permitted by law, any provision in these Rules of Procedure may be waived, suspended or modified by the Presiding Officer or the Hearing Body, as defined in Section 1.1.5, for good cause shown, either upon the Presiding Officer’s or the Hearing Body’s own motion or upon the motion of any Party.
- (b) Where an issue is not addressed by the terms of these Rules, the Hearing Body shall use the Chapter 22 Procedural Rules.
- (c) The following provisions of Chapter 22 shall not be applicable to proceedings brought under these Procedural Rules:
 - (1) P.U.C. PROC. R. § 22.32;
 - (2) P.U.C. PROC. R. § 22.33;
 - (3) P.U.C. PROC. R. § 22.35;
 - (4) P.U.C. PROC. R. §§ 22.51-22.54;
 - (5) P.U.C. PROC. R. § 22.56;
 - (6) P.U.C. PROC. R. § 22.71(j);
 - (7) P.U.C. PROC. R. §§ 22.102(a)(3), (4) and (c);
 - (8) P.U.C. PROC. R. §§ 22.103-22.105;
 - (9) P.U.C. PROC. R. §§ 22.125-22.126;
 - (10) P.U.C. PROC. R. § 22.202(e);
 - (11) P.U.C. PROC. R. §§ 22.206-22.207;
 - (12) P.U.C. PROC. R. §§ 22.241-22.246;

- (13) P.U.C. PROC. R. §§ 22.251-22.252;
 - (14) P.U.C. PROC. R. § 22.263(d); and
 - (15) P.U.C. PROC. R. §§ 22.281-22.284.
- (d) For purposes of this Attachment 2—Rules of Procedure, the following shall supplement the terms of a Chapter 22 Rule, as specified:
- (1) P.U.C. PROC. R. § 22.31. The following subsection (d) shall be added:
 - “(d) The Hearing Body Clerk shall designate each proceeding brought under these rules as a docket.”
 - (2) P.U.C. PROC. R. § 22.72(e). The following sentence shall be added at the end of this subsection:
 - “A party or its authorized representative shall also provide in its signature block one or more electronic mail addresses to which service may be made.”
 - (3) P.U.C. PROC. R. § 22.74(b). The following sentence shall be added at the end of this subsection:
 - “(b) . . . Service may be made by electronic mail to the email address included in a signature block of a party or its authorized representative.

* * *

 - “(4) Service by email shall be complete upon transmission of the communication from the electronic mail server of the serving party.”
- (e) All proceedings filed under these rules shall be conducted under the Commission’s Chapter 22 Procedural Rules, as modified herein, but may not be referred to the State Office of Administrative Hearings.

1.1.3 Standards for Discretion

The Hearing Body’s discretion under these Rules of Procedure shall be exercised to accomplish the following goals:

- (a) Integrity of the Fact-Finding Process - The principal goal of the hearing process is to assemble a complete factual record to serve as a basis for a correct and legally sustainable ruling, decision or order.
- (b) Fairness - Persons appearing in Compliance Enforcement Authority proceedings should be treated fairly. To this end, Parties should be given fair notice and opportunity to present explanations, factual information, documentation and legal argument. Action shall be taken as necessary to eliminate any disadvantage or prejudice to a Party that would otherwise result from another Party’s failure to act diligently and in good faith.

- (c) Independence - The hearing process should be tailored to protect against undue influence from any Person, Party or interest group.
- (d) Balanced Decision-Making - Decisions should be based solely on the facts and arguments of record in a proceeding and by individuals who satisfy the Compliance Enforcement Authority's conflict of interest policy.
- (e) Impartiality - Persons appearing before the Hearing Body should not be subject to discriminatory or preferential treatment. Registered Entities should be treated consistently unless a reasonable basis is shown in any particular proceeding to depart from prior rulings, decisions or orders.
- (f) Expedition - Proceedings shall be brought to a conclusion as swiftly as is possible in keeping with the other goals of the hearing process.

1.1.4 Interpretation

- (a) These Rules of Procedure shall be interpreted in such a manner as will aid in effectuating the Standards for Discretion set forth in Section 1.1.3, and so as to require that all practices in connection with the hearings shall be just and reasonable.
- (b) Unless the context otherwise requires, the singular of a term used herein shall include the plural and the plural of a term shall include the singular.
- (c) To the extent that the text of a rule is inconsistent with its caption, the text of the rule shall control.

1.1.5 Definitions

- (a) Unless otherwise defined, as used in these Rules of Procedure (i) definitions in Section 1.1 of the NERC Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Program shall apply, and (ii) the following terms shall have the following meanings:

"Bulk-Power System," for the purposes of these Rules of Procedure, has the meaning set forth in 16 U.S.C. §824o(a)(1).

"Chapter 22" or "Commission Procedural Rules" shall mean the Chapter 22 Procedural Rules of the Commission, 16 TEX. ADMIN. CODE ch. 22., and be cited as "P.U.C. PROC. R. § []."

"Chief Compliance Officer" means the Chief Executive Officer of the Texas Regional Entity.

"Commission" means the Public Utility Commission of Texas.

"Compliance Enforcement Authority Clerk," as designated by the Compliance Enforcement Authority.

"Compliance Enforcement Authority" means the Regional Entity, by and through its Chief Compliance Officer.

"Compliance Enforcement Authority's area of responsibility" means the Texas Regional Entity's corporate region.

“Critical Energy Infrastructure Information” means information about proposed or existing critical infrastructure that: (i) relates to the production, generation, transportation, transmission, or distribution of energy; (ii) could be useful to a person in planning an attack on critical infrastructure; and (iii) does not simply give the location of the critical infrastructure.

“Critical infrastructure” means existing and proposed systems and assets, whether physical or virtual, the incapacity or destruction of which would negatively affect security, economic security, public health or safety, or any combination of those matters.

“Cybersecurity Incident” means any malicious act or suspicious event that ~~disrupts~~~~compromises~~, or was an attempt to ~~compromisedisrupt~~, the ~~operation~~ Electronic Security Perimeter or Physical Security Perimeter of those programmable electronic devices and communications networks including hardware, software, and data that are essential to the Reliable Operation of the ~~facilities, systems, and equipment which, if destroyed, degraded or otherwise rendered unavailable, would affect the reliability or operability of the~~ Bulk-Power System ~~(a “Critical Cyber Asset”), or disrupts, or is an attempt to disrupt, the operation of a Critical Cyber Asset.~~

“ERO” means the Electric Reliability Organization, currently the North American Electric Reliability Corporation, or any successor organization, certified by FERC pursuant to 18 C.F.R. §39.3.

“FERC” means the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

“Filing Clerk” or “Hearing Body Clerk” means the Central Records filing clerk of the Public Utility Commission of Texas.

“Hearing Body” means the Public Utility Commission of Texas.

“Mitigation Plan” means an action plan developed by a Registered Entity to (i) correct a violation of a Reliability Standard and (ii) prevent reoccurrence of the violation. A Mitigation Plan is ~~usually~~ required when ~~ever~~ a Registered Entity violates a Reliability Standard as determined by any means including Compliance Enforcement Authority Decision, settlement agreement, or otherwise.

“Party” means any Person who is allowed or required to participate in a proceeding conducted pursuant to these Rules of Procedure. The term “Party” as used herein shall include the members of the Compliance Staff of the Compliance Enforcement Authority that participate in a proceeding.

“Penalty” as used herein includes all penalties and sanctions that may be imposed pursuant to 16 U.S.C. §824o-1 and applicable regulations, including but not limited to a monetary or non-monetary penalty; a limitation on an activity, function, operation or other appropriate sanction; or the addition of the Registered Entity to a reliability watch list composed of major violators. Penalties must be within the range set forth in the

NERC *ERO Sanction Guidelines* approved by FERC pursuant to 18 C.F.R. Section 39.7(g)(2), and shall bear a reasonable relation to the seriousness of a Registered Entity's violation and take into consideration any timely efforts made by the Registered Entity to remedy the violation.

"Person" means any individual, partnership, corporation, limited liability company, governmental body, association, joint stock company, public trust, organized group of persons, whether incorporated or not, or any other legal entity.

"Presiding Officer" or "Hearing Examiner" means an individual employed or contracted by the Hearing Body and designated by the Hearing Body to preside over hearings conducted pursuant to these Rules of Procedure.

"North American Electric Reliability Council" or "NERC" means North American Electric Reliability Corporation.

"Registered Entity" means each user, owner and operator of the Bulk-Power System within the United States that is required to register with the Regional Entity pursuant to 18 C.F.R. § 39.2.

"Regional Entity" means Texas Regional Entity or Texas RE, a division of Electric Reliability Council of Texas.

"Reliable Operation" has the meaning set forth in Section 215 of the Federal Power Act.

"Reliability Standards" means standards approved by FERC pursuant to Section 215 of the Federal Power Act and 18 C.F.R. Section 39.5, as such standards are authorized and in effect from time to time.

"Remedial Action Directive" means an action (other than a penalty or sanction) required that (1) is to bring a Registered Entity into compliance with a Reliability Standard or to avoid a Reliability Standard violation, and (2) is immediately necessary to protect the reliability of the Bulk Power System from an imminent threat of harm.

"Respondent" means the Registered Entity who is the subject of the Notice of Alleged Violation or contested Mitigation Plan that is the basis for the proceeding, whichever is applicable.

"Staff" or "Compliance Staff" means individuals employed or contracted by the Compliance Enforcement Authority who have the authority to make initial determinations of Registered Entities' compliance with or violation of the Reliability Standards and associated Penalties and Mitigation Plans.

"Technical Advisor" means any Staff member, Hearing Body employee, third-party contractor, or industry stakeholder who satisfies the Compliance Enforcement Authority's conflict of interest policy and is selected to assist in a proceeding by providing technical advice to the Presiding Officer and/or the Hearing Body.

- (b) For purposes of this Attachment 2--Rules of Procedure and in application to any proceeding brought under these rules, the following terms shall be substituted for the term used in a Chapter 22 rule:

"Administrative law judge" shall mean and refer to the defined term "Presiding Officer."

"Central records" shall mean "Hearing Body Clerk."

"Final order" shall mean "final recommendation."

"Proposal for decision" shall mean "draft recommendation."

"Public utility" shall mean "party."

- (c) If a term is defined in this Attachment 2—Rules of Procedure and in Chapter 22, the meaning expressed herein shall prevail.

1.1.6 Interventions Are Not Permitted

The Respondent(s) and Compliance Staff shall be Parties to the proceeding. Unless otherwise authorized by FERC, no other Persons shall be permitted to intervene or otherwise become a Party to the proceeding.

1.1.7. Proceedings Open to the Public

All hearings, oral arguments, and meetings of the Hearing Body shall be open to the public, and every notice, ruling, order or any other issuance of the Presiding Officer or Hearing Body, and any transcript, made in any proceeding shall be publicly released unless a Party has requested that it be kept confidential in accordance with Texas law, and the Presiding Officer or Hearing Body determines that the information should not be released publicly.

1.1.8 Numbering and Docketing System

The Staff of the Compliance Enforcement Authority shall maintain a system of numbering proceedings before they are sent to the Hearing Body for a hearing under these procedures. A numbered proceeding shall be created within the Compliance Enforcement Authority upon the issuance of a notice of Alleged Violation. Unless NERC provides a different docketing system that will be used uniformly by the Compliance Enforcement Authority, proceeding numbers shall be assigned sequentially beginning with a two digit number that relates to the last two digits of the year in which the docket is initiated, followed by a dash ("-"), followed by the letters "[RE]", followed by a dash ("-"), followed by a four digit number that will be "0001" on January 1 of each calendar year and ascend sequentially until December 31 of the same calendar year. If the proceeding is not settled and becomes a contested matter before the Hearing Body, the Hearing Body's numbering and docketing system shall govern the tracking of such filings while under the Hearing Body's administration.

1.2 Hold Harmless

A condition of a Party invoking these Rules of Procedure and participating in a hearing is that the Party agrees that the Compliance Enforcement Authority, including without limitation its members, board of directors or trustees, compliance committee, any other

committees or subcommittees, Staff, contracted employees, attorneys and experts (outside or in-house), Hearing Body members, Presiding Officers and Technical Advisors, shall not be liable, and shall be held harmless against the consequences of, or any action or inaction arising out of, the hearing process, or of any agreement reached in resolution of a dispute or any failure to reach agreement as a result of a proceeding. This “hold harmless” provision does not extend to matters constituting gross negligence, ~~or~~ intentional misconduct, or breach of confidentiality.

1.3 Initiation of the Hearing Process

Except when contesting a Remedial Action Directive pursuant to section 1.5 of these Rules of Procedure, a Registered Entity may file a response or complaint with the Compliance Enforcement Authority and the Filing Clerk requesting a hearing if:

- (a) The Registered Entity contests a Notice of Alleged Violation as to the existence or scope of the alleged violation, the proposed Penalty, or both; or
- (b) The Registered Entity contests the Compliance Enforcement Authority’s rejection of Registered Entity’s Mitigation Proposal in whole or in part.

A Registered Entity must file its hearing request within forty (40) days after (i) the Registered Entity files its response to the notice of Alleged Violation; or (ii) the Compliance Staff submits to the Registered Entity its statement identifying a disagreement with the Registered Entity’s Mitigation Proposal, whichever is applicable. If the Registered Entity does not file a hearing request within the time period set forth in this Section, then the Registered Entity will be deemed to have agreed and waived any objection to the proposed Penalty, the Alleged Violation or the Compliance Staff’s stated position on the Registered Entity’s Mitigation Proposal, whichever is applicable.

Either a notice of Alleged Violation issued to a Registered Entity or a Staff statement setting forth its disagreement with a Registered Entity’s Mitigation Proposal shall clearly state that the Registered Entity has the option to contest the Alleged Violation, proposed Penalty, or both, or the Compliance Staff’s position on the Registered Entity’s Mitigation Proposal.

A Registered Entity shall attach to a request for hearing whichever of the following are applicable:

- (a) The Registered Entity’s Self-Reporting of a violation;
- (b) The Notice of Alleged Violation and the Registered Entity’s response thereto; or
- (c) The Registered Entity’s Mitigation Proposal and the Compliance Staff’s statement identifying its disagreement with the Registered Entity’s Mitigation Proposal.

1.4 General Hearing Procedure

Except as otherwise specified in this Attachment 2—Rules of Procedure, the procedures and timelines set forth in Chapter 22 shall govern the conduct of a hearing arising under these rules.

1.4.1 Hearing Body

The Hearing Body, consisting of a quorum of the Commission, shall hear all proceedings brought under these Rules of Procedure, unless the Commission elects to delegate all or part of the proceeding to a Presiding Officer who is a member of the Commission Staff. The Hearing Body is vested with the exclusive authority to issue a final recommendation to the Chief Compliance Officer for the resolution of the issue(s) presented. The following procedures shall also apply:

- (a) The Hearing Body or any individual member thereof may, but is not required to, attend any prehearing conference, status hearing or evidentiary hearing, or to submit questions to the Presiding Officer to submit to a Party or any witness at any such hearing. No more than one member of the Hearing Body may be present for any prehearing conference, status hearing, or evidentiary hearing unless the Hearing Body has complied with the Open Meetings requirements of Texas law.
- (b) The Hearing Body shall resolve the issue(s) in every hearing through the issuance of a final recommendation to the Chief Compliance Officer. In issuing a final recommendation to the Chief Compliance Officer, the Hearing Body shall consider the Presiding Officer's draft recommendation but shall have the authority to reject, modify or approve the draft recommendation in whole or in part in issuing its final recommendation.

1.4.2 Technical Advisor

The Presiding Officer or the Hearing Body may elect to use one or more Technical Advisors to assist in any proceeding. Such an election may be made at any time during the course of a proceeding. Any Staff member who serves as a Technical Advisor shall not have been involved in or consulted at any time in regard to in any Compliance Staff investigation, initial determination of Alleged Violation or Penalty, or assessment of a Registered Entity's proposed Mitigation Proposal Plan that resulted in the proceeding in which technical advice would be rendered, and shall not otherwise participate in the proceeding on which such technical advice would be rendered.

If the Presiding Officer or Hearing Body uses a Technical Advisor to assist in any hearing, the Presiding Officer or Hearing Body shall disclose the identity, employment history and professional affiliations of the Technical Advisor within two (2) days of the Technical Advisor's assignment to the proceeding, and Parties to the hearing may raise objections to the Technical Advisor's participation within 10 business days of disclosure.

1.5 Initiation of Remedial Action Directive Hearing

Staff may issue a Remedial Action Directive to a Registered Entity at any time, including during any proceeding related to an alleged violation of a Reliability Standard. The

Compliance Enforcement Authority will notify NERC within two (2) days after its Staff issues a Remedial Action Directive.

The Registered Entity may contest the Remedial Action Directive in accordance with these Rules of Procedure and Delegation Agreement, Exhibit D, Attachment 1, §10, by filing a written notice with the Compliance Enforcement Authority that states that the Registered Entity contests the Remedial Action Directive and that the Registered Entity requests a Remedial Action Directive hearing. The Registered Entity shall attach a copy of the Remedial Action Directive to its written notice. The Registered Entity must provide such notice within two (2) business days following issuance of the Remedial Action Directive. If the Registered Entity does not give written notice to the Compliance Enforcement Authority within the required time period, the Registered Entity shall be deemed to have waived its right to contest the Remedial Action Directive.

The Registered Entity shall simultaneously file with the Hearing Body Clerk a copy of the notice that it is contesting the Remedial Action Directive.

The Hearing Body Clerk shall assign a docket number, and issue a Notice of Hearing that sets forth the date, time and place at which the hearing will convene.

Exhibit E — Funding

1. Scope of activities funded through the ERO funding mechanism

The Texas Regional Entity Division of Electric Reliability Council of Texas, Inc. ("Texas RE") shall include in its annual budget submission to the North American Electric Reliability Corporation ("NERC") amounts for costs it will incur in support of delegated activities and activities that are in furtherance of NERC's responsibilities as the ERO under the Act, as specified in the NERC Rules ("Statutory Functions"). These activities shall include:

- Reliability Standard Development (Section 300)
- Compliance Enforcement (Section 400)
- Organization Registration and Certification (Section 500)
- Reliability Readiness Audit and Improvement (Section 700)
- Reliability Assessment and Performance Analysis (Section 800) (including necessary data gathering activities)
- Training and Education (Section 900)
- Situational Awareness and Infrastructure Security (Section 1000)

2. Allocation of Costs

Texas RE shall allocate its dues, fees, and other charges for its activities pursuant to the delegation agreement among all load-serving entities on the basis of net-energy-for load, unless a different method or methods of allocating and calculating such dues, fees, or charges is expressly provided in the annual business plan and budget Texas RE and NERC submit to the Commission pursuant to 18 C.F.R. §39.4. Texas RE shall submit to NERC annually at the same time it submits its budget request a list of the load-serving entities within its geographic boundaries and their proportionate net-energy-for load or such other data or information as is necessary to allocate and calculate Texas RE's dues, fees, or charges under any other method of allocation or calculation that is to be used.

3. Collection of Funding

NERC, Texas RE, and Electric Reliability Council of Texas, Inc. ("ERCOT") have agreed that ERCOT shall act as the billing agent on behalf of NERC to bill and collect assessments for the costs of activities under the Act from load-serving entities, ERCOT Qualified Scheduling Entities (QSEs), or such other entities as agreed by NERC, Texas RE, and ERCOT. ERCOT and Texas RE agree that ERCOT shall: (i) issue all invoices to load-serving entities, QSEs, or other agreed entities in a prompt and timely manner after receipt from NERC of the information needed to issue the invoice; (ii) exercise commercially reasonable efforts to collect invoices that are not paid as of the due date(s); and (iii) transfer all funds collected to NERC quarterly, in a timely manner. Texas RE shall confirm that ERCOT complies with these obligations, and shall notify NERC promptly of any compliance failures.

NERC shall submit invoices on a quarterly basis to Electric Reliability Council of Texas, Inc. ("ERCOT") covering the NERC and Texas RE budgets approved for collection for the ERCOT region. Within ten (10) business days after receiving this quarterly invoice, ERCOT will electronically transfer to NERC the amount reflected in the invoice, in immediately available funds, unless ERCOT has been unable to collect and does not reasonably believe it can collect such amount in from load-serving entities, QSEs, or other agreed entities, after exercise of commercially reasonable efforts. On the same day as ERCOT makes each electronic transfer of

funds to NERC, ERCOT or Texas RE will send an e-mail to the Chief Financial Officer of NERC either (i) confirming that the full invoiced amount has been electronically transmitted to NERC or (ii) stating that ERCOT is unable to collect the full amount of the NERC invoice and reasonably believes that it will not be able to collect the full amount of the NERC invoice from load-serving entities, QSEs, or other agreed entities after exercise of commercially reasonable efforts and confirming the amount that has been transmitted to NERC. In the event ERCOT is unable to transfer to NERC the full invoiced amount, ERCOT shall also send to NERC and Texas RE a listing of any load-serving entity, QSE or other agreed entity that has not fully paid its load ratio share and an itemization of the collections that ERCOT received, by entity and amount. ERCOT will maintain a detailed list of the entities from which payments were collected and the amount collected from each entity.

ERCOT and Texas RE agree that they shall not in any way use their position as billing or collection agent for NERC to attempt to influence NERC's policies or decisions on matters relating to adoption of reliability standards (including regional standards and differences), administration of the compliance monitoring and enforcement matters, determination and imposition of penalties and sanctions, budgeting matters including review and approval of Texas RE's budgets and business plans, or any other NERC decisions, including by issuing invoices, engaging in collection activities or transferring funds collected to NERC in an untimely manner or other than in accordance with this agreement. ERCOT's confirmation of its agreements as set forth in this Paragraph 3 is attached hereto as Attachment 1.

NERC shall pursue any non-payments and shall request assistance from applicable governmental authorities as necessary to secure collection.

Upon approval of the annual funding requirements by applicable governmental authorities, NERC shall fund Texas RE's costs for Statutory Functions, as identified in the approved budget attached hereto, on a quarterly basis in four equal payments, within ten (10) business days after receiving the remittance from ERCOT.

4. Application of Penalties

Texas RE shall offset penalty monies it receives from unaffiliated Registered Entities against its next-year's annual budget for carrying out Statutory Functions. All penalty monies received by Texas RE from its affiliated operational entity, ERCOT, shall be sent to NERC and applied as a general offset to the entity's budget requirements for Statutory Functions under this Agreement for the subsequent fiscal year. Funds from financial penalties shall not be directly applied to any program maintained by the investigating entity.

5. Description of Non-Statutory Activities

The Texas RE shall also conduct non-Federal Power Act, §215 activities ("Non-Statutory Activities"). Texas RE's Non-Statutory Activities include:

- Investigation of market participants' compliance with the ERCOT Protocols and assistance or cooperation in enforcement of violations ("ERCOT Compliance Activities"), so long as the ERCOT Compliance Activities do not conflict with the Delegated Authority;
- Development of policies, processes, standards, and procedures to implement the ERCOT Compliance Activities; and
- Other activities not in implementation or exercise of Delegated Authority.

ERCOT, of which Texas RE is [an](#) independent division, operates as an independent system operator under the jurisdiction of the Public Utility Commission of Texas.

6. Time and Expense Tracking to Ensure Separate Funding of Non-Statutory Activities

Texas RE shall implement a time recording and expense management system under which employee time and expenses incurred in the conduct of Non-Statutory Activities will be tracked to ensure that they are not funded by NERC remittances intended for the funding of Statutory Functions.

Attachment 1 to Exhibit E

Confirmation of Electric Reliability Council of Texas, Inc. (ERCOT)

ERCOT has agreed to act as the billing agent on behalf of NERC to bill and collect assessments for the costs of activities under Section 215(c) of the Federal Power Act from load-serving entities, Qualified Scheduling Entities (QSEs), or such other entities as agreed by North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC), Texas Regional Entity (Texas RE), and ERCOT. ERCOT agrees that ERCOT shall: (i) issue all invoices to load-serving entities, QSEs, or other agreed entities in a prompt and timely manner after receipt from NERC of the information needed to issue the invoice; (ii) exercise commercially reasonable efforts to collect invoices that are not paid as of the due date(s); and (iii) transfer all funds collected to NERC on a quarterly basis, in a timely manner.

On a quarterly basis, NERC will send ERCOT an invoice covering the NERC and Texas RE budgets approved for collection for the ERCOT region. Within ten (10) business days after receiving this invoice, ERCOT will electronically transfer to NERC, in immediately available funds, the amount reflected in the NERC invoice, unless ERCOT has been unable to collect and does not reasonably believe it will be able to collect this amount from load-serving entities, QSEs, or other agreed entities after exercise of commercially reasonable efforts. On the same day as ERCOT makes its electronic transfer of funds to NERC, ERCOT will send an e-mail to the Chief Financial Officer of NERC, copying the Director, Legal Affairs of Texas RE, either (i) confirming that the full invoiced amount has been electronically transmitted to NERC; or, (ii) stating that ERCOT is unable to collect the full amount of the NERC invoice and reasonably believes that it will not be able to collect the full amount of the NERC invoice from load-serving entities, QSEs, or other agreed entities after exercising commercially reasonable efforts and confirming the amount that has been transmitted to NERC. In the event ERCOT is unable to transfer to NERC the full invoice amount, ERCOT shall also send to NERC and Texas RE a listing of any load-serving entity, QSE, or other agreed entity that has not paid its load ratio share and an itemization of the collections that ERCOT received by entity and amount. ERCOT shall maintain a detailed list of the entities from which payments are collected and the amount collected from each entity.

ERCOT agrees that it shall not in any way use its position as billing or collection agent for NERC to attempt to influence NERC's policies or decisions on matters relating to adoption of reliability standards (including regional standards and differences), administration of the compliance monitoring and enforcement matters, determination and imposition of penalties and sanctions, budgeting matters including review and approval of Texas RE's budgets and business plans, or any other NERC decisions, including by issuing invoices, engaging in collection activities or transferring funds collected to NERC in an untimely manner or other than in accordance with this agreement.

Electric Reliability Council of Texas, Inc.

By: _____

Name: _____

Title: _____